The City of San Diego
M E M O R A N D U M

DATE: November 14, 2022

TO: Dominic Bloemendaal, POII #7828

FROM: Anthony Dupree, Captain, Internal Affairs

SUBJECT: Internal Affairs Investigation # IA2022-0553

This is to inform you that you have been identified as a subject officer in an Internal Affairs investigation. The investigation is being conducted as the result of a citizen's complaint. This investigation concerns the following allegations:

1. FORCE
2. PROCEDURE

Additional allegations may arise during the course of the investigation.

This investigation stems from an incident which occurred on October 13, 2022/1236 hours at 3001 Clairemont Drive. The arrestee is [redacted]. Please review any reports or other documents you prepared in connection with this incident, prior to your interview. Detective Sergeant Tyler Doherty at the Internal Affairs Unit will contact you to schedule an interview.

As the subject officer you will be allowed to have a representative present during your interview. Representatives must not be connected with this investigation.

You are hereby ordered not to discuss this investigation or the allegations with anyone other than the investigating supervisor or your legal representative. Your failure to comply with this order will be deemed insubordination and subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination. This requirement is set forth in Section 9.4 (Obedience to Lawful Orders Policy) of the San Diego Police Department Policy Manual.

You are also ordered to answer all questions directed to you during your interview fully and truthfully, as required by Section 9.29 (Truthfulness Policy) of the San Diego Police Department Policy Manual. Your failure to answer all questions fully and truthfully will subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination.

I have received a copy of this memorandum and agree to comply with the orders.

[redacted] 11/17/2022

Subject Officer Signature Date

This memorandum has been presented to me by:

[redacted] 577 11/17/22

Supervisor Name/Rank/PD ID# Date

Supervisor: Once the employee has signed the form, the original will be returned to the Internal Affairs Unit, MS-709 within 10 days.

AD/td

SWORN PERSONNEL ADMONISHMENT
(WITH LYBARGER/GARRITY WARNING)
SUBJECT

This is a confidential sworn personnel investigation.

You may be subject to discipline as a result of this confidential sworn personnel investigation, should the findings indicate that such action is warranted. Therefore, you have the right to have a representative present at this time.

The purpose of this confidential sworn personnel investigation is to obtain information to assist the City of San Diego in determining whether administrative disciplinary action is warranted against you. The City is not questioning you for the purpose of bringing or substantiating any criminal charge against you.

You are directed to answer all of the questions posed to you completely, truthfully, and to the best of your knowledge. You may consult with your representative before answering any question, but your representative may not answer the question for you. If you refuse to answer a question, it will be considered insubordination and you may be subject to discipline.

If information indicates that you may be or have been charged with a criminal offense, you have the right to remain silent and the right to the presence and assistance of counsel. However, you do not have the right to refuse to answer any question during this confidential sworn personnel investigation, including on self-incrimination grounds. While you have the right to remain silent and not incriminate yourself generally, your silence or refusal to answer during this administrative confidential sworn personnel investigation will be considered insubordination and may lead to administrative discipline, up to and including termination.

Therefore, any statement made by you during this confidential sworn personnel investigation, compelled by the threat of discipline, as well as any fruits of those statements, cannot be used against you in any criminal proceeding.

The City of San Diego has a strong interest in protecting the integrity of its confidential sworn personnel investigations, preserving evidence, preventing fabrication, and protecting witnesses from harassment, intimidation, and retaliation. You are directed not to engage in any harassing, intimidating, or retaliatory conduct toward anyone. You also must not try to interfere with the investigation in any way. Any violation of these directives may result in discipline.

If you fully understand these instructions, please sign your name below.

[redacted] 12/04/2023
Date

[redacted] 12/04/23
Date

The City of San Diego
M E M O R A N D U M

DATE: November 14, 2022

TO: [redacted]

FROM: Anthony Dupree, Captain, Internal Affairs

SUBJECT: Internal Affairs Investigation # IA2022-0553

This is to inform you that you have been identified as a subject officer in an Internal Affairs investigation. The investigation is being conducted as the result of a citizen's complaint. This investigation concerns the following allegations:

1. PROCEDURE

Additional allegations may arise during the course of the investigation.

This investigation stems from an incident which occurred on October 13, 2022/1236 hours at 3001 Clairemont Drive. The arrestee is [redacted]. Please review any reports or other documents you prepared in connection with this incident, prior to your interview. Detective Sergeant Tyler Doherty at the Internal Affairs Unit will contact you to schedule an interview.

As the subject officer you will be allowed to have a representative present during your interview. Representatives must not be connected with this investigation.

You are hereby ordered not to discuss this investigation or the allegations with anyone other than the investigating supervisor or your legal representative. Your failure to comply with this order will be deemed insubordination and subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination. This requirement is set forth in Section 9.4 (Obedience to Lawful Orders Policy) of the San Diego Police Department Policy Manual.

You are also ordered to answer all questions directed to you during your interview fully and truthfully, as required by Section 9.29 (Truthfulness Policy) of the San Diego Police Department Policy Manual. Your failure to answer all questions fully and truthfully will subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination.

I have received a copy of this memorandum and agree to comply with the orders.

[redacted] 1596 11-15-2022

[redacted]ature Date

This memorandum has been presented to me by:

[redacted] 11-15-2022

Supervisor Name/Rank/PD ID# Date

Supervisor: Once the employee has signed the form, the original will be returned to the Internal Affairs Unit, MS-709 within 10 days.

AD/td

SWORN PERSONNEL ADMONISHMENT
(WITH LYBARGER/GARRITY WARNING)
SUBJECT

This is a confidential sworn personnel investigation.

You may be subject to discipline as a result of this confidential sworn personnel investigation, should the findings indicate that such action is warranted. Therefore, you have the right to have a representative present at this time.

The purpose of this confidential sworn personnel investigation is to obtain information to assist the City of San Diego in determining whether administrative disciplinary action is warranted against you. The City is not questioning you for the purpose of bringing or substantiating any criminal charge against you.

You are directed to answer all of the questions posed to you completely, truthfully, and to the best of your knowledge. You may consult with your representative before answering any question, but your representative may not answer the question for you. If you refuse to answer a question, it will be considered insubordination and you may be subject to discipline.

If information indicates that you may be or have been charged with a criminal offense, you have the right to remain silent and the right to the presence and assistance of counsel. However, you do not have the right to refuse to answer any question during this confidential sworn personnel investigation, including on self-incrimination grounds. While you have the right to remain silent and not incriminate yourself generally, your silence or refusal to answer during this administrative confidential sworn personnel investigation will be considered insubordination and may lead to administrative discipline, up to and including termination.

Therefore, any statement made by you during this confidential sworn personnel investigation, compelled by the threat of discipline, as well as any fruits of those statements, cannot be used against you in any criminal proceeding.

The City of San Diego has a strong interest in protecting the integrity of its confidential sworn personnel investigations, preserving evidence, preventing fabrication, and protecting witnesses from harassment, intimidation, and retaliation. You are directed not to engage in any harassing, intimidating, or retaliatory conduct toward anyone. You also must not try to interfere with the investigation in any way. Any violation of these directives may result in discipline.

[redacted]ese instructions, please sign your name below.

_____ 01/19/23
Date

637 _____ 01/19/23
Date

The City of San Diego
M E M O R A N D U M

DATE: November 14, 2022

TO: [redacted]

FROM: Anthony Dupree, Captain, Internal Affairs

SUBJECT: Internal Affairs Investigation # IA2022-0553

This is to inform you that you have been identified as a subject officer in an Internal Affairs investigation. The investigation is being conducted as the result of a citizen's complaint. This investigation concerns the following allegations:

1. PROCEDURE

Additional allegations may arise during the course of the investigation.

This investigation stems from an incident which occurred on October 13, 2022/1236 hours at 3001 Clairemont Drive. The arrestee is [redacted]. Please review any reports or other documents you prepared in connection with this incident, prior to your interview. Detective Sergeant Tyler Doherty at the Internal Affairs Unit will contact you to schedule an interview.

As the subject officer you will be allowed to have a representative present during your interview. Representatives must not be connected with this investigation.

You are hereby ordered not to discuss this investigation or the allegations with anyone other than the investigating supervisor or your legal representative. Your failure to comply with this order will be deemed insubordination and subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination. This requirement is set forth in Section 9.4 (Obedience to Lawful Orders Policy) of the San Diego Police Department Policy Manual.

You are also ordered to answer all questions directed to you during your interview fully and truthfully, as required by Section 9.29 (Truthfulness Policy) of the San Diego Police Department Policy Manual. Your failure to answer all questions fully and truthfully will subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination.

I have received a copy of this memorandum and agree to comply with the orders.

[redacted] 11/19/22
Su Date

This memorandum has been presented to me by:

[redacted] 7935 11/19/22
Supervisor Name/Rank/PD ID# Date

Supervisor: Once the employee has signed the form, the original will be returned to the Internal Affairs Unit, MS-709 within 10 days.

AD/td

SWORN PERSONNEL ADMONISHMENT
(WITH LYBARGER/GARRITY WARNING)
SUBJECT

This is a confidential sworn personnel investigation.

You may be subject to discipline as a result of this confidential sworn personnel investigation, should the findings indicate that such action is warranted. Therefore, you have the right to have a representative present at this time.

The purpose of this confidential sworn personnel investigation is to obtain information to assist the City of San Diego in determining whether administrative disciplinary action is warranted against you. The City is not questioning you for the purpose of bringing or substantiating any criminal charge against you.

You are directed to answer all of the questions posed to you completely, truthfully, and to the best of your knowledge. You may consult with your representative before answering any question, but your representative may not answer the question for you. If you refuse to answer a question, it will be considered insubordination and you may be subject to discipline.

If information indicates that you may be or have been charged with a criminal offense, you have the right to remain silent and the right to the presence and assistance of counsel. However, you do not have the right to refuse to answer any question during this confidential sworn personnel investigation, including on self-incrimination grounds. While you have the right to remain silent and not incriminate yourself generally, your silence or refusal to answer during this administrative confidential sworn personnel investigation will be considered insubordination and may lead to administrative discipline, up to and including termination.

Therefore, any statement made by you during this confidential sworn personnel investigation, compelled by the threat of discipline, as well as any fruits of those statements, cannot be used against you in any criminal proceeding.

The City of San Diego has a strong interest in protecting the integrity of its confidential sworn personnel investigations, preserving evidence, preventing fabrication, and protecting witnesses from harassment, intimidation, and retaliation. You are directed not to engage in any harassing, intimidating, or retaliatory conduct toward anyone. You also must not try to interfere with the investigation in any way. Any violation of these directives may result in discipline.

If you fully understand these instructions, please sign your name below.

[redacted] 1500 — 1/26/23 (Date)

Sgt [redacted] — 01/26/23 (Date)

The City of San Diego

M E M O R A N D U M

DATE: November 14, 2022

TO: [redacted]

FROM: Anthony Dupree, Captain, Internal Affairs

SUBJECT: Internal Affairs Investigation # IA2022-0553

This is to inform you that you have been identified as a subject officer in an Internal Affairs investigation. The investigation is being conducted as the result of a citizen's complaint. This investigation concerns the following allegations:

1. PROCEDURE

Additional allegations may arise during the course of the investigation.

This investigation stems from an incident which occurred on October 13, 2022/1236 hours at 3001 Clairemont Drive. The arrestee is [redacted]. Please review any reports or other documents you prepared in connection with this incident, prior to your interview. Detective Sergeant Tyler Doherty at the Internal Affairs Unit will contact you to schedule an interview.

As the subject officer you will be allowed to have a representative present during your interview. Representatives must not be connected with this investigation.

You are hereby ordered not to discuss this investigation or the allegations with anyone other than the investigating supervisor or your legal representative. Your failure to comply with this order will be deemed insubordination and subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination. This requirement is set forth in Section 9.4 (Obedience to Lawful Orders Policy) of the San Diego Police Department Policy Manual.

You are also ordered to answer all questions directed to you during your interview fully and truthfully, as required by Section 9.29 (Truthfulness Policy) of the San Diego Police Department Policy Manual. Your failure to answer all questions fully and truthfully will subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination.

I have received a copy of this memorandum and agree to comply with the orders.

[redacted] 1666 11-17-2022

Subject Officer Signature Date

This memorandum has been presented to me by:

[redacted] 11-17-2022

Supervisor Name/Rank/PD ID# Date

Supervisor: Once the employee has signed the form, the original will be returned to the Internal Affairs Unit, MS-709 within 10 days.

AD/td

SWORN PERSONNEL ADMONISHMENT
(WITH LYBARGER/GARRITY WARNING)
SUBJECT

This is a confidential sworn personnel investigation.

You may be subject to discipline as a result of this confidential sworn personnel investigation, should the findings indicate that such action is warranted. Therefore, you have the right to have a representative present at this time.

The purpose of this confidential sworn personnel investigation is to obtain information to assist the City of San Diego in determining whether administrative disciplinary action is warranted against you. The City is not questioning you for the purpose of bringing or substantiating any criminal charge against you.

You are directed to answer all of the questions posed to you completely, truthfully, and to the best of your knowledge. You may consult with your representative before answering any question, but your representative may not answer the question for you. If you refuse to answer a question, it will be considered insubordination and you may be subject to discipline.

If information indicates that you may be or have been charged with a criminal offense, you have the right to remain silent and the right to the presence and assistance of counsel. However, you do not have the right to refuse to answer any question during this confidential sworn personnel investigation, including on self-incrimination grounds. While you have the right to remain silent and not incriminate yourself generally, your silence or refusal to answer during this administrative confidential sworn personnel investigation will be considered insubordination and may lead to administrative discipline, up to and including termination.

Therefore, any statement made by you during this confidential sworn personnel investigation, compelled by the threat of discipline, as well as any fruits of those statements, cannot be used against you in any criminal proceeding.

The City of San Diego has a strong interest in protecting the integrity of its confidential sworn personnel investigations, preserving evidence, preventing fabrication, and protecting witnesses from harassment, intimidation, and retaliation. You are directed not to engage in any harassing, intimidating, or retaliatory conduct toward anyone. You also must not try to interfere with the investigation in any way. Any violation of these directives may result in discipline.

[redacted]ons, please sign your name below.

[redacted] 01/19/2023
Date

Sgt [redacted] 01/19/23
Date

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Case 2022-0553

Reporting Officer: Tyler Doherty, Sergeant ID: 6372 Division: Internal Affairs

Approved By: Tristan Schmottlach, Lieutenan[redacted] Date of Report: April 17, 2024

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

San Diego Police Department
Investigator's Report

Date of Incident: October 13, 2022

Time of Incident: 1236 hours

Location of Incident: 3001 Clairemont Drive San Diego Ca, 92117

Subject: Internal Investigation I.A. #2022-0553

CONFIDENTIAL: **THIS REPORT IS FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE AND/OR CITY ATTORNEY.**

ARRESTEE: [redacted]

SUBJECT OFFICER: **BLOEMENDAAL, Dominic, M., POII, ID #7828**
Date of Hire: 05-31-18
S.D.P.D., Northern Division - Patrol
(858) 552-1700 (W)
W/M, [redacted]-years-old, 6'-00"/180 lbs.

SUBJECT OFFICER: [redacted]

WITNESS OFFICER: [redacted]

Reporting Officer: Tyler Doherty, Sergeant ID: 6372 Division Internal Affairs

Approved by: Tristan Schmottlach, Lieutenan[redacted] Date of Report: April 17, 2024

WITNESS OFFICER: [redacted]

CIVILIAN WITNESS: [redacted]

CIVILIAN WITNESS: [redacted]

SUMMARY:

On October 13, 2022, at approximately 1236 hours, Northern Division officers responded to a radio call at the Starbucks Coffee shop at 3001 Clairemont Drive, San Diego, CA. The radio call was a priority one (415V) violent disturbance (Event #E22100019247). The notes on the call stated that an aggressive male at the location threw a rock at the store, causing damage, and the suspect was yelling at employees. A description was provided, and [redacted] advised that the description sounded like [redacted]

Officer D. Bloemendaal #7827 later advised over the radio that he had located [redacted] at 2700 Clairemont Drive and then advised that he was in a foot pursuit. During the foot pursuit, Officer Bloemendaal attempted to take [redacted] to the ground, and Officer Bloemendaal became injured during the struggle.

Additional officers arrived and assisted Officer Bloemendaal in getting [redacted] into custody. Once [redacted] was handcuffed, Officer Bloemendaal used [redacted] Taser and discharged the Taser into [redacted] was evaluated by medics and then booked into San Diego County Jail for 69 PC (Violently Resisting), 594(B)(1) PC (Vandalism), and other narcotic-related charges.

On October 18, 2022, Northern Division notified the Internal Affairs Unit of this incident and requested that we review the incident.

ALLEGATIONS:

1. **FORCE**

Officer Bloemendaal used force against [redacted].

2. **FORCE**

[redacted] used force against [redacted].

INVESTIGATION:

The Department became aware of potential misconduct on October 13, 2022. The Internal Affairs opened an investigation on October 19, 2022. The case was tolled from January 29, 2023, until November 22, 2023, for 297 days due to Officer Bloemendaal's being on Industrial Leave per Government Code 3304(d)(5).

On October 18, 2022, Northern Division notified the Internal Affairs Unit of CAD Event #E22100019247 and requested that we review the force for this incident. This was opened as an Internal Investigation.

On October 19, 2022, I was assigned Case #IA2022-0553.

On October 19, 2022, I reviewed the CAD printout. The incident was formatted as a 415V – Disturbing the Peace with Violence. The incident began at the Starbucks located at 3001 Clairemont Drive in the Bay Park Neighborhood of San Diego. The following times and comments were noted in CAD:

- 12:36 hours: [redacted]3001 CLAIREMONT DRIVE – AGGRESSIVE MALE AT LOC, THREW A ROCK AT THE STORE DOING DAMAGE AND YELLING AT EMPS
- 12:36 hours: MALE IS NOW LEAVING LOC, DOT WB ON CLAIREMONT DRIVE
- 12:37 hours: WMA, 30S, 6F5, AVG, ORANGE HAIR, WRNG BRN SHIRT, BLK PANTS, DRK BACKPACK//NO WPNS SEEN, NO 647F/11550.
- 12:38 hours: RP ADV MALE IS KNOWN TO EMPS, MALE HAS BEEN BANNED FROM LOC BEFORE. // **RP REQ PD CONTACT**
- 12:41 hours: 110PT1 – SOUNDS LIKE [redacted]
- 12:43 hours: 116J1 – EMERGENCY TONE ** RUNNING SB
- 12:45 hours: 116J1 – TASER DEPLOYED
- 12:45 hours: 116J1 – PMS T4 ON INJURY TO OFFICER AND ALSO THE TASER DEPLOYMENT. MEDICS CLRD IN.
- 12:47 hours: 113A1 – SUSP IN CUFF, STILL UNCOOP
- 12:49 hours: 171S – CODE 4 **

- 12:51 hours: ([redacted]DRIVING) RP RECEIVED CALL FROM [redacted] [redacted] HEARD HIM SCREAMING "STOP, STOP, STOP," …. THINKS HE IS BEING PHYSICALLY ASSAULTED.

- 13:03 hours: 113A1 – OFFICER BEING TRANSPORTED TO SCRIPPS LA JOLLA

- 13:05 hours: 116G1 – VALID 594 TO STARBUCKS

- 13:15 hours – 113A1 – SUSP BEING TRANSPORTED BY MED 50 TO SHARP MEMORIAL

I reviewed the Arrest Report prepared by [redacted]. In his report, he wrote that [redacted] resisted arrest and fled from Officer Bloemendaal. He noted that Officer Bloemendaal suffered a dislocated left shoulder and minor abrasions while attempting to take [redacted] into custody. He also wrote that [redacted] caused $1,000 in damages to the business door of Starbucks.

[redacted] wrote that Officer Bloemendaal was transported to Scripps La Jolla, where he was evaluated for his injuries. [redacted] was transported to Sharp Memorial and complained of pain in his head, neck, back, and hip. [redacted] noted that [redacted] had two Taser probes approximately one inch apart in his mid-right inner calf. He listed San Diego County Sheriff's Deputy [redacted] as a witness who assisted with [redacted] apprehension. He listed [redacted] and [redacted] as witnesses who observed the vandalism to the Starbucks.

[redacted] wrote that when he arrived on the scene, officers had already detained [redacted] and [redacted] was sitting down and appeared to be covered in mud. [redacted] stated he obtained a brief statement from Officer Bloemendaal. [redacted] wrote the following in his report regarding the statement he obtained from Officer Bloemendaal:

> *"Officer Bloemendaal essentially told me he saw* [redacted] *walking westbound on Clairemont Drive and recognized him based off the suspect description for the vandalism that occurred at Starbucks. Officer Bloemendaal clearly identified himself as a San Diego Police Officer to* [redacted] *and told him to stop.* [redacted] *ran away from Officer Bloemendaal and then proceeded to face him and take on a fighting stance. Officer Bloemendaal tackled* [redacted] *in an attempt to subdue him. While tackling* [redacted] *Officer Bloemendaal fell on his left shoulder and sustained an injury. During the altercation, Officer Bloemendaal was required to deploy a Taser in order to subdue* [redacted] *and prevent further injury for himself and assisting officers."*

I reviewed the Officer's Report prepared by [redacted]. [redacted] noted there was an officer safety advisement on file for [redacted] for being known to be violent toward officers. [redacted] arrived on the scene and began checking the area for [redacted] He wrote that while he was checking the area for [redacted] he heard Officer Bloemendaal say over the radio that he was in a foot pursuit with the suspect

southbound on Clairemont Drive. [redacted] located Officer Bloemendaal's vehicle parked along the northwest curb line at Clairemont Drive and Hartford Court. He continued south and located Officer Bloemendaal and off-duty [redacted] struggling with [redacted]

[redacted] noted that officers were struggling with [redacted] approximately 400 feet away from Officer Bloemendaal's patrol vehicle. [redacted] wrote that he ran up to assist as Officer Bloemendaal and [redacted] handcuffed [redacted] [redacted] wrote that he placed both of his hands on top of [redacted] left shoulder and used his body weight to keep [redacted] from standing up and to prevent further injuries.

[redacted] noted that while [redacted] was handcuffed, he was bucking his head and shoulders while kicking his feet in an attempt to strike officers. [redacted] ordered [redacted] to stop resisting approximately six times. [redacted] wrote that while [redacted] resisted, Officer Bloemendaal asked for his Taser. [redacted] wrote the following:

> *"Officer Bloemendaal asked me for my department-issued Taser. I released my department-issued Taser with my left hand from my left waist and provided it to Officer Bloemendaal Officer Bloemendaal deployed the Taser approximately two inches from* [redacted] *right calf and placed the Taser directly on the middle of* [redacted] *back. Officer Bloemendaal deployed the Taser for approximately five seconds."*

[redacted] noted that [redacted] calmed down once the Taser had deployed, and he began listening to officer commands. Once [redacted] was calm, officers sat him up and leaned him against a plant. [redacted] then searched [redacted] backpack incident to arrest and located a controlled substance and drug paraphernalia inside.

I reviewed the Officer Report prepared by [redacted]. In his report, he stated he was driving his personal motorized vehicle eastbound on 2700 Clairemont Drive when he observed Officer Bloemendaal in a foot pursuit with [redacted] Officer Bloemendaal was chasing [redacted] alone, and no cover officers were seen in the foot pursuit. [redacted] parked his vehicle and ran over to assist Officer Bloemendaal. [redacted] documented the following in his report:

> *"I observed* [redacted] *lying on the ground on his back, actively kicking his feet toward Officer Bloemendaal. Officer Bloemendaal was standing, and I was unable to tell if* [redacted] *had kicked him. I informed Officer Bloemendaal that I was an off-duty deputy, and he told me that his shoulder had been dislocated.* [redacted] *was yelling unintelligibly, and Officer Bloemendaal was giving commands. However, I could not hear specifically what was said. I instructed* [redacted] *to roll over and put his hands behind his back. Using both of my hands, I grabbed* [redacted] *left arm and, with the assistance of Officer Bloemendaal, rolled* [redacted] *to his stomach into the prone position. Once on his stomach,* [redacted] *proceeded to kick his feet backward toward Officer Bloemendaal as he yelled unintelligibly. To prevent* [redacted] *from kicking myself or Officer*

> *Bloemendaal, I used both of my knees to place downward pressure on [redacted] right thigh as I simultaneously grabbed his right arm, pulled it behind his back, and utilized a rear-bent wrist lock to keep his hand behind his back. [redacted] spontaneously asked, 'Why am I being detained?' and threw his left elbow back toward Officer Bloemendaal as he attempted to get off the ground. With the assistance of Officer Bloemendaal, [redacted] was placed into handcuffs. As I maintained downward pressure on [redacted] I could feel his body muscles tense, and he continued to thrash around on the ground, kicking his legs. Multiple other SDPD officers arrived on the scene to assist, and I heard someone instruct [redacted] to 'stop kicking or he would be tased.' I released the downward pressure on [redacted] and he continued to thrash his body back and forth on the ground making it difficult for us to control him. A CED (conductive energy device) was deployed on [redacted] from another SDPD officer. As there were multiple officers on scene now, I removed myself as [redacted] was taken into custody."*

I reviewed the Officer Report prepared by [redacted]. She described responding to the radio call to contact the reporting party. She stated she diverted to assist Officer Bloemendaal upon hearing him engage in a foot pursuit. When she arrived on the scene, [redacted] was fighting with two officers in the dirt. She stated [redacted] was already in handcuffs but was not complying with officers' commands to stop resisting. She wrote the following:

> *"Officer Bloemendaal deployed [redacted]' Taser on [redacted] Myself, [redacted], and [redacted] assisted by holding [redacted] down until more officers responded on scene to assist."*

I reviewed the Officer Report prepared by [redacted]. In his report, he wrote that he responded to the cover call, and upon arriving on the scene, he saw [redacted] and another citizen attempting to control [redacted] stated he was trying to pull the citizen off [redacted] wrote the following in his report:

> *While the Taser was on, the citizen moved away, and I put pressure on [redacted] back so he would not move. [redacted] then started to move. I proceeded to grab his right arm and push it to the ground so he wouldn't move. [redacted] informed officers that he was in pain and that he could not breathe. [redacted] and I helped [redacted] sit up so that [redacted] could breathe."*

I reviewed the Officer Report prepared by [redacted]. In his report, he stated that [redacted] was detained before his arrival. [redacted] went in the ambulance with [redacted] to Sharp Memorial Hospital for medical evaluation. He noted that [redacted] had Taser probes on the inside of his right calf and had a complaint of pain to his head, neck, back, and hips. [redacted] took photos of [redacted] was cleared for jail, [redacted] transported [redacted] to Northern Division for processing. [redacted] and [redacted] then transported [redacted] to San Diego County Jail.

I reviewed the Officer Report prepared by [redacted] In his report, [redacted] interviewed the two witnesses at Starbucks, [redacted] and [redacted]. [redacted] wrote that the door to Starbucks would not open, and the latching system was not working properly. [redacted] could not determine if the door was permanently damaged or if there was a simple fix within the door's mechanism. [redacted] observed a scuff mark on the outer portion of the door.

I reviewed the Officer Report prepared by [redacted] who took an initial statement from [redacted] later prepared an ARJIS-9 for his involvement in the incident.

I reviewed the Investigators Report prepared by [redacted]. [redacted] reinterviewed [redacted] and Officer Bloemendaal. [redacted] also obtained a statement from [redacted] wrote that he observed Officer Bloemendaal's left shoulder sagging slightly, and he appeared to be in pain. [redacted] wrote that Officer Bloemendaal had an approximately three-inch abrasion at the base of his right hand.

[redacted] walked the scene and the overall path of travel of the foot pursuit. He noted that Officer Bloemendaal contacted [redacted] around 2800 Clairemont Drive. [redacted] then ran west to the intersection of Galveston Street and Clairmont Drive, where Officer Bloemendaal tackled him at 2700 Clairemont Drive along the south curb line. [redacted] noted that [redacted] was the subject of an earlier radio call that officers had responded to, which occurred around 1020 hours (CW- Event #E22100018825). He noted that officers had gone to the previous radio call, and [redacted] had refused to speak to them then. [redacted] also informed [redacted] that in past incidents, [redacted] had previously threatened violence toward police officers.

The statement of [redacted] was documented in [redacted] investigative report as follows:

> [redacted] *stated he was initially worried about his mother earlier in the day. He went to the Starbucks to file a complaint. As he was attempting to file his complaint, an unknown customer told him to leave. This made* [redacted] *upset.* [redacted] *stated he did throw things, "but nothing was damaged."* [redacted] *stated the items he threw consisted of clumps of dirt. He threw the dirt at the wall outside.* [redacted] *then left the establishment and was contacted by police. He stated the police were very aggressive on their arrival. That is why he ran.*
>
> *I asked if he recognized the police officer uniform and pointed to an officer standing in the room, at the base of his bed.* [redacted] *stated he did recognize the uniform.*
>
> *I asked if he knew that when an officer stopped him, it was his lawful duty to stop.* [redacted] *stated he did know that, but the officer appeared to be aggressive. So, he decided to run.* [redacted] *insisted that he did not fight. He only wanted to get away.* [redacted] *stated the force that was used on him was excessive. He did not deserve to "be tased."*

The statement of Officer Bloemendaal was documented in [redacted] investigative report as follows:

> *Officer Bloemendaal stated that earlier in the day, at approximately 1020 hours, he responded to a check the welfare radio call for someone who may need a mental health evaluation. The subject of that radio call was [redacted]. [redacted] sent a text message to the non-emergency line. The message stated he did not want police contact because police would subject him to County Mental Health Staff. He accused the staff of sexually abusing him, as well as the officers.*
>
> *Officer Bloemendaal stated he contacted [redacted] at his residence at [redacted]. [redacted] refused to talk to Officer Bloemendaal. Officer Bloemendaal then left the residence. As he was leaving, [redacted] walked up to the front door and saw [redacted] approach the front door as he was leaving.*
>
> *Approximately two hours later, Officer Bloemendaal responded to the radio call at Starbucks. Upon arrival, Officer Bloemendaal saw [redacted] walking at 2800 Clairemont Dr. He recognized [redacted] from the prior call and tried to stop him.*
>
> *Officer Bloemendaal identified himself as a police officer and told [redacted] to stop. [redacted] ignored him. Officer Bloemendaal activated his Code-3 lights. [redacted] said he was not detained and continued to walk. Officer Bloemendaal yelled, "[redacted] you're being detained." Officer Bloemendaal started walking toward [redacted] [redacted] looked at Officer Bloemendaal and ran away. Officer Bloemendaal chased after him.*
>
> *Officer Bloemendaal caught up with [redacted] and tackled him at the south corner of the intersection of Galveston Street and Clairemont Drive. Officer Bloemendaal fell with [redacted] to the ground. As he was falling to the ground Officer Bloemendaal hit the elevated curb next to the south planter. He hit the curb with his left shoulder, causing his shoulder to dislocate.*
>
> *As soon as [redacted] fell to the ground he immediately got up and tried to flee. Officer Bloemendaal grabbed [redacted] backpack. [redacted] then tripped and fell to the ground. Once on the ground Officer Bloemendaal tried to hold [redacted] on the ground. Officer Bloemendaal remembers [redacted] kicking frantically and trying to get up.*
>
> *[redacted] helped hold [redacted] and Officer Bloemendaal was able to handcuff [redacted] As soon as [redacted] arrived [redacted] started kicking and standing up. Officer Bloemendaal grabbed [redacted] Taser and yelled that if he did not stop kicking, he was going to deploy the Taser. [redacted] continued to kick, and Officer Bloemendaal deployed the Taser twice. Once in the right calf and the other in the top shoulder. [redacted] stopped kicking at that point and complied.*

There was no record of any Officer Reports prepared by Officer Bloemendaal.

I looked for information related to [redacted] criminal prosecution for this arrest. I discovered that on October 17, 2022, [redacted] was released from San Diego County Jail, and the District Attorney chose not to file charges.

I reviewed the BWC footage from SDPD Event #E22100019247. There were a total of (21) BWC videos. I changed the titles of the BWC video files to make them more easily identifiable, and I added them to the case file.

I reviewed the BWC footage from SDPD Event #E22100018825 from the previous call where Officer Bloemendaal and [redacted] had contacted [redacted] on a Check the Welfare. During that radio call, [redacted] refused to come out to talk with the officers and the officers cleared the call without further contact. There were (2) BWC videos from this incident. I changed the titles of the BWC video files to make them more easily identifiable, and I added them to the case file.

I reviewed Officer Bloemendaal's BWC, labeled "Bloemendaal BWC," which is 5 minutes and 50 seconds long. There is no audio for the first two minutes. I observed the following in my review of the footage:

- 01:01 – Officer Bloemendaal grabs the radio mic with his right hand, then puts the radio mic down and makes a quick U-turn. His right hand hovers over the camera lens.

- 01:07 – Officer Bloemendaal removes his seatbelt. His right hand moves to his computer and then hovers over his camera lens for several seconds.

- 01:18 – Officer Bloemendaal activates his overhead emergency lights.

- 01:21 – [redacted] can be seen walking on the sidewalk to the right of Officer Bloemendaal's windshield. [redacted] looks back at Officer Bloemendaal as Officer Bloemendaal pulls up alongside of him.

- 01:23 – Officer Bloemendaal exits his patrol vehicle.
- 01:25 – Officer Bloemendaal stands between the open driver-side door and the A-frame pillar and appears to communicate with [redacted] [redacted] turns and faces Officer Bloemendaal but is walking backward.
- 01:28 – Officer Bloemendaal closes the door to his patrol vehicle and moves toward [redacted] Officer Bloemendaal motions for [redacted] with his left hand.

- 01:30 – Officer Bloemendaal moves his hand over his BWC as he advances toward [redacted] [redacted] continues looking over his shoulder as he walks away from Officer Bloemendaal.
- 01:34 – [redacted] turns back and faces Officer Bloemendaal while walking backward.
- 01:36 – Officer Bloemendaal runs toward [redacted] and [redacted] runs away.
- 01:37 – [redacted] steps into the street. Officer Bloemendaal's right hand covers the camera lens for several seconds.

- 01:41 – [redacted] begins running in the bicycle lane. A white commercial van attempts to box [redacted] in to keep [redacted] from fleeing. As [redacted] is running, he continues to look over his shoulder. He then turns and faces Officer Bloemendaal while running backward.

- 01:50 – [redacted] turns from Officer Bloemendaal and bursts into a full sprint. He runs through the choke point between the van and the guardrail and crosses into traffic.



- 01:52 – [redacted] begins running across Clairemont Drive in a diagonal direction.

- 01:53 – Officer Bloemendaal quickly brings his right hand over the camera lens and back down. As [redacted] runs, he turns his head, looks over his shoulder, and tracks where Officer Bloemendaal is. [redacted] moves in a zig-zag path as he runs.

- 01:58 – Officer Bloemendaal begins to gain ground on [redacted] Officer Bloemendaal reaches out and grabs [redacted]

- 01:59 – The camera goes black as Officer Bloemendaal's camera contacts [redacted] backpack. The contact with the backpack appears to turn on the BWC. The audio to the camera turns on.

- 02:01 – The camera disconnects from Officer Bloemendaal's uniform and falls to the ground with the viewer pointing up. Officer Bloemendaal's head is resting on the sidewalk, and he says, "Ah fuck!" while grimacing in pain.

- 02:02 – [redacted] is also on the ground. [redacted] begins standing up as Officer Bloemendaal begins to stand up. [redacted] can be heard saying, "Please, please, I didn't do anything."

- 02:04 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "Fuck." He cradles his left arm briefly with his right hand as he stands up and turns to confront [redacted]

- 02:05 – Officer Bloemendaal uses his right forearm to defensively block [redacted] hands. As [redacted] holds up both hands, he swats at Officer Bloemendaal's arm. [redacted] begins walking backward as Officer Bloemendaal pushes [redacted] Officer Bloemendaal says, "Get on the ground, get on the ground." Officer Bloemendaal's left side of his duty belt is exposed, revealing (2) pistol magazines, (1) AR-15 magazine, and his radio. No less than lethal force options are seen on the left side of Officer Bloemendaal's gun belt.

- 02:07 – [redacted] and Officer Bloemendaal walk backward and temporarily out of the camera's view.

- 02:08 – [redacted] says, "Whoa, whoa, whoa, oh," as they walk back into the camera's view. Officer Bloemendaal is on [redacted] left side and grabs [redacted] near the top of his right shoulder. Officer Bloemendaal appears to be applying downward pressure on the shoulder while yelling, "Get on the ground." [redacted] raises his hands in front of his body in a defensive posture.

- 02:09 – As Officer Bloemendaal is grabbing onto [redacted] [redacted] is moving away from Officer Bloemendaal. Officer Bloemendaal pushes [redacted] away and disengages from him.

- 02:10 – Officer Bloemendaal reaches to the left side of his waistband with his right hand and looks down at his gun belt as if trying to retrieve another force option (i.e., conducting a cross draw of a Taser). Officer Bloemendaal realizes he does not have another force option on his belt. Officer Bloemendaal quickly looks up to address [redacted]

- 02:10 – [redacted] says, "Okay, calm down, calm down. Let me sit down." [redacted] is backing away from Officer Bloemendaal with his hands raised in front of his chest.

- 02:12 – Officer Bloemendaal advances toward [redacted] to close the gap. He points at [redacted] saying, "Get on the ground."

- 02:13 – Officer Bloemendaal and [redacted] walk out of camera view. [redacted] can be heard saying off-screen, "I am an off-duty Deputy. Hands, hands." [redacted] says, "Puts your hands on the ground."

- 02:22 – [redacted] says, "Put your fucking hands behind your back." [redacted] says, "Stop, I didn't do anything. Why are you doing this?" Sirens can be heard in the background, drowning out the voices of [redacted] Officer Bloemendaal, and [redacted].

- 02:51 – [redacted] briefly walks into view and can be seen directing units to where the officers are taking [redacted] into custody. More sirens can be heard approaching drowning out the voices of everyone on the scene.

- 03:05 – [redacted] runs past the camera's view.

- 03:10 – [redacted] runs past the camera's view.

- 03:13 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "I am going to tase you."

- 03:14 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "Stand back." [redacted] picks up Officer Bloemendaal's camera and points it at officers struggling with [redacted]

- 03:15 – A pop can be heard as the Taser is deployed.

- 03:16 – Five officers can be seen around [redacted] [redacted] is in the dirt with his head oriented toward the street. Officer Bloemendaal is near [redacted] feet. [redacted] has a knee on top of [redacted] right shoulder. [redacted] is located near [redacted] head. [redacted] walks up behind [redacted]. [redacted] right arm is behind his back, and he appears handcuffed. [redacted] is lying face down in the dirt and appears to be kicking while the Taser is cycling.

- 03:22 – [redacted] walks away, and [redacted] moves to take [redacted] spot on the right side of [redacted]
- 03:25 – [redacted] squats down near [redacted] head. [redacted] can be seen on the left side of [redacted] [redacted] says, "Stop resisting."
- 03:27 – Officer Bloemendaal yells, "You are going to get one more fucking charge if you keep this up!"
- 03:34 – [redacted] says, "You just scared me. I'm sorry.
- 03:36 – [redacted] says, "I didn't do anything. I'm confused."
- 03:39 – [redacted] says, "Please stop. Ow."
- 03:47 – [redacted] says, "Please stop." Officer Bloemendaal straightens up and looks toward the bay. He is holding a Taser in his right hand. He appears to be catching his breath.
- 03:49 – [redacted] says, "Just let me go."
- 03:51 – [redacted] says, "Just let me stand up." Several officers say, "No."

- 03:54 – Officer Bloemendaal is cradling his left arm. Looks down at [redacted] as someone says, "Relax." Officer Bloemendaal says, "I'm…I'm going to tase you again if you don't fucking relax!"
- 03:57 – [redacted] says, "I am... relaxed."
- 04:00 – [redacted] says, "Just stop talking."

- 04:04 – [redacted] says, "I'm sorry…. Don't take me to the county psych (inaudible)"
- 04:14 – [redacted] says, "I am okay. You guys just scared me I'm sorry. I am not going to hurt you. I am not going to hurt you. I didn't do anything."
- 04:22 – Officer Bloemendaal hands [redacted] Taser back and says, "Here, take your Taser." He then walks away from [redacted] who is lying on the ground.

- 04:26 – Officer Bloemendaal walks toward [redacted], and on the right side of his gun belt, his firearm, a tourniquet, and handcuffs can be seen. No other less-than-lethal options are observed.

- 04:30 – Officer Bloemendaal grabs the camera from [redacted] and attaches it to his uniform.

- 04:45 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "Oh fuck! I pulled my shoulder (inaudible)".

- 05:00 – Officer Bloemendaal walks to a nearby patrol vehicle and sits. He asks [redacted], "That's him, right?" [redacted] says, "Yeah. He's a…yeah, that's what I told you, he's a big guy."

- 05:03 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "I've never met him before." [redacted] says, "He is always eleven-five. Do you want to take your vest off?" Officer Bloemendaal has his right arm across the camera. Officer Bloemendaal says, "No, not yet."

- 05:15 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "Dude, fucking popped out of place. I tackled him right here. I got him pulling from me." Officer Bloemendaal points to the sidewalk on the southeast corner near the pedestrian sidewalk ramp.

- 05:35 – [redacted] says, "This guy was walking and helped you? (Referring to [redacted]).

- 05:39 – Officer Bloemendaal points and says, "I popped, I got him on the ground. And then, I separated …. I am going to go off (referring to turning off the camera). I tackled him, and then (Officer Bloemendaal's camera turns off).

I reviewed [redacted] BWC, labeled '[redacted] BWC," which is 28 minutes and 54 seconds long. There is no audio for the first two minutes. The first two minutes and 53 seconds show [redacted] driving a police vehicle to the cover call. I observed the following in my review of the footage:

- 02:54 – [redacted] steps out of the patrol vehicle and begins running around the back of his patrol vehicle.

- 02:58 – [redacted] is lying face down in the dirt. His feet are oriented toward the sidewalk his head is oriented toward the planter's box. Officer Bloemendaal is near [redacted] left shoulder. Off-duty [redacted] has a knee on [redacted] right shoulder. [redacted] is handcuffed. Officer Bloemendaal is cradling his left shoulder with his right hand.

- 02:59 – [redacted] is twisting as [redacted] tries to hold him down. [redacted] moves toward [redacted] head. [redacted] still has a backpack on.

- 03:01 – [redacted] puts his hands on [redacted] back and applies downward pressure. [redacted] right knee rests on [redacted] right arm. [redacted] says, "I am not going to fight." [redacted] is seen restrained in handcuffs with both his arms behind his back.

- 03:04 – [redacted] grabs [redacted] left wrist with his left hand. [redacted] says, "Stop fighting, stop fighting, stop resisting." [redacted] backpack can be seen high on his back and up near his neck. The backpack is far away from [redacted] hands.

- 03:08 – [redacted] remains on his chest in the dirt. But he is squirming and rotating his body clockwise as officers struggle to hold him down. [redacted] says, "Stop resisting, stop resisting," as he grabs the handcuffs and applies pressure to the handcuffs.

- 03:13 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "Give me your Taser."
- 03: 15 – [redacted] moves his right hand from [redacted] back and moves his hand toward his gun belt and then back to [redacted] back.
- 03:17 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "I am going to tase you if you keep going."

- 03:18 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "I am going to fucking tase you if you keep going."
- 03:20 – [redacted] says, "I can't breathe." [redacted] and [redacted] continue to try and pin [redacted] down while he continues to rotate his body clockwise while his chest remains in the dirt.
- 03:22 – [redacted] says, "Stop moving."
- 03:24 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "I am going to tase you."
- 03:25 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "Stand back."
- 03:26 – A pop is heard, followed by the arc of the Taser. [redacted] begins to scream and is still rotating on the ground while being pinned down by officers.
- 03:28 – Officer Bloemendaal has the Taser in direct contact with [redacted] back. [redacted] says, "110PT Taser deployed."

- 03:34 – [redacted] says, "Stop resisting."
- 03:36 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "You are going to get one more fucking charge if you keep this up." [redacted] lies still. He appears to have rotated approximately 180 degrees from when [redacted] first arrived on the scene. [redacted] head is now oriented toward the street, and his feet are toward the planter's box.
- 03:43 – [redacted] says, "You just scared me, I am sorry. I didn't do anything. I'm confused."
- 03:58 – Officers are holding their hands on [redacted] but are no longer applying force. [redacted] is no longer resisting. [redacted] says, "Just let me go."
- 04:00 – [redacted] says, "No." [redacted] says, "Just let me stand up." [redacted] says, "No," and [redacted] says, "No, you have to stay down." [redacted] says, "Okay."
- 04:05 – [redacted] starts to roll to his left. [redacted] uses his body weight to hold [redacted] down. [redacted] says, "Stop." Officer Bloemendaal says, "I'm gonna … I'm gonna tase you again if you don't fucking relax."
- 04:08 – [redacted] says, "I am. I'm relaxed".
- 04:10 – [redacted] says, "Just stop talking."

- 04:11 – [redacted] asks via radio, "110PT do we have medics enroute?"
- 04:15 – [redacted] says, "I'm sorry." [redacted] continues to hold [redacted] down on the ground with both hands.
- 04:18 – [redacted] says, "Just don't… don't take me to the County Psych Hospital."
- 04:22 – [redacted] says via radio, "Affirm no injuries."
- 04:23 – [redacted] asks the officers, "No injuries? No injuries?"
- 04:26 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "Yeah, my shoulder…" (voice drifts off).

- 04:31 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "Yeah, I'll talk to them; you want to take your Taser."
- 04:33 – Officer Bloemendaal hands the Taser back to [redacted].

- 04:36 – [redacted] says, "That hurt a lot." [redacted] says, "Don't move."
- 04:38 – [redacted] says, "I told you to stop talking."
- 05:07 – [redacted] says, "Can you guys just let me sit up? It hurts."
- 05:10 – [redacted] says, "You guys can have him sit up since he is handcuffed."
- 05:15 – [redacted] stands up as officers help [redacted] into a seated position.
- 05:18 – [redacted] says, "All right, no more fighting, all right?"
- 07:43 – Officers continue standing over [redacted] [redacted] walks over to [redacted] and asks, "Did you tase him?"
- 07:44 – [redacted] says, "No. No, he used my Taser, though." (Chuckles).
- 07:47 – [redacted] says, "Because I was like, it's not yours."
- 07:50 – [redacted] says, "Yeah, no. Because he used my Taser.

- 07:57 – [redacted] says something inaudible. [redacted] says, "He was like, where is your Taser? Where is your Taser?"
- 09:06 – [redacted] asks [redacted], "Did you do anything?"
- 09:08 – [redacted] says, "I just used physical strength to hold …." [redacted] says something to [redacted], but his voice is low and inaudible.
- 09:30 – [redacted] says, "I know, I came out of the…up the street and I heard the 'in a footie,' And I'm … I'm trying to find out. He went south. I was like, where is he? I saw his vehicle, but I was like looking for someone."
- 10:02 – [redacted] says, "I don't know how I am going to get my cartridge (fades out)." [redacted] tells [redacted] he can get his Taser cartridge replaced at Ops Support. They discuss the replacement process.
- 14:34 – While officers determine who is writing reports and who the case agent is, [redacted] says, "It was my Taser, but Dom used it."
- 14:47 – An officer asks, "So you pretty much have a use of force on him?"
- 14:44 – "Yeah, I just have a blue team for a hold."
- 16:38 – Medics arrive on the scene to evaluate [redacted]
- 17:43 – [redacted] says to the medics, "Supposedly, there was some kind of vandalism going on at Starbucks, and he ([redacted] left on foot. The officer got into a footie. An off-duty officer contacted him here and put him into cuffs. He was still resisting arrest, and then that is when we had to tase him."
- 23:50 – [redacted] helps [redacted] stand up and walks him to the medical gurney.
- 24:10 – [redacted] sits down on the gurney. Two Taser prongs can be seen in [redacted] right calf.
- 24:20 – [redacted] rips the Taser wires and follows the wires back to the Taser cartridge, which he then picks up.
- 27:09 – [redacted] places the Taser cartridge in a brown bag and in the back of his patrol vehicle.

- 28:54 – [redacted] turns off his body-worn camera.

I reviewed [redacted] BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC," which is 8 minutes and 16 seconds long. There is no audio for the two minutes of the video. The video shows [redacted] sitting in the parking lot of Starbucks. I observed the following in my review of the footage:

- 00:17 – [redacted] exits the patrol vehicle and stands to talk with the security guard.
- 00:35 – [redacted] quickly gets back into her patrol vehicle and drives out of the lot as she responds to the COVER call.
- 01:57 – [redacted] exits the patrol vehicle and runs toward where officers struggle with [redacted] activates her body-worn camera, and the audio turns on.

- 02:01 – [redacted] runs up to officers who are surrounding [redacted] Officer Bloemendaal is toward [redacted] feet. [redacted] near [redacted] head, and off-duty [redacted] is on [redacted] right side. Officer Bloemendaal says, "I'm going to tase him."

- 02:03 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "Stand back." He moves toward [redacted] right calf. [redacted] is partially on his right side, and his left leg moves to the left, and then he brings his left knee up toward his chest. Officer Bloemendaal holds [redacted] right ankle with his left hand. In his right hand, he is holding [redacted] Taser. Officer Bloemendaal deploys the Taser into the back of [redacted] right calf.

- 02:04 – Officer Bloemendaal brings the Taser up to the middle of [redacted] lower back and drives the Taser into the lower back. The spool of wires reveals a deployed Taser cartridge. [redacted] begins screaming.

- 02:11 – Off-duty [redacted] walks away. [redacted] moves toward where [redacted] head is positioned. Officer Bloemendaal is pointing the Taser at [redacted] and it is clear [redacted] has his hands behind his back while handcuffed.



- 02:14 – [redacted] has both hands on [redacted] back. He is using his body weight to hold [redacted] to the ground. [redacted] says, "Stop resisting."

- 02:16 – Officer Bloemendaal leans over [redacted] and says, "You are going to get one more fucking charge if you keep this up."

- 02:36 – [redacted] says, "Just let me go." [redacted] says, "No."

- 02:39 – [redacted] says, "Let me stand up." [redacted] says, "No, you have to stay down. Relax." [redacted] is no longer struggling and is lying still.

- 02:40 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "I'm gonna …. I'm gonna tase you again if you don't fucking relax." Officer Bloemendaal is gripping his left hand near the neckline of his outer Kevlar vest and is holding the Taser in his right hand.

- 03:07 – Officer Bloemendaal tells [redacted], "Yeah, my shoulder is literally out of place."

- 03:14 – Officer Bloemendaal hands the Taser to [redacted] and walks away.

- 05:12 – [redacted] pulls out the expended Taser cartridge and holsters his Taser.

- 06:25 – [redacted] asks [redacted] if he tased [redacted] [redacted] smiles and says, "No. He used my Taser, though."

- 06:32 – [redacted] says, "He used my Taser." [redacted] then reenacts the moment by reaching with his left hand toward his gun belt. He unholsters the Taser and holds it out. He then holsters the Taser, and it clicks into place.

- 06:35 – [redacted] says, "He said where is your Taser? Give me your Taser."

- 08:21 – [redacted] turns off the camera.

I reviewed [redacted] BWC, labeled [redacted] BWC," which is 18 minutes and 22 seconds long. There is no audio for the first two minutes. I observed the following in my review of the footage:

- 01:02 – [redacted] drives to the COVER call with his overhead lights activated.

- 02:00 – [redacted] points to where the officers struggle with [redacted] [redacted] [redacted] runs toward Officer Bloemendaal, off-duty [redacted], and [redacted].

- 02:01 – [redacted] is lying on his right side. Officer Bloemendaal is standing over [redacted] torso straddling him. [redacted] is using his body weight to push into [redacted] [redacted] is on the left of [redacted]

- 02:02 – Officer Bloemendaal holds [redacted] Taser in his right hand. And says, "I am going to tase you if you keep going."

- 02:03 – Officer Bloemendaal says, 'I am going to fucking tase you if you keep going." [redacted] says, "I can't breathe."

- 02:07 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "I am going to tase you if you keep going."

- 02:09 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "Stand back." [redacted] attempts to push [redacted] off [redacted]

- 02:16 -Officer Bloemendaal can be seen driving the Taser into [redacted] back.

- 02:20 – [redacted] moves to [redacted] right. [redacted] tells [redacted] to "Stop resisting."
- 02:22 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "You're going to get one more fucking charge if you keep this up." [redacted] is no longer resisting.

I reviewed [redacted] BWC, labeled '[redacted] BWC." The video is 14 minutes and 00 seconds in length. The video shows [redacted] driving to the cover call with [redacted] and arriving after other officers. [redacted] and [redacted] walk past [redacted] and toward Officer Bloemendaal, who is seated on a patrol vehicle and holding his arm.

- 09:59 – [redacted] asks Officer Bloemendaal, "You alright?" Bloemendaal says, "Fuck yeah!" Officers gather around Officer Bloemendaal and begin joking with him. He describes what occurred.
- 10:29 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "Yeah, so then [redacted] looks at me and acknowledges that's his name, and he says, 'No.' It's like, 'You're being detained. Stop.' He's like, 'Nah.' It's like, 'No stop.' Then he starts running, and then some dude in a van starts blocking him for me. So, then he ended up blocking me on accident. So, then he started running, running, running. He ran over here (points to the yellow pedestrian pad near the ramp), And then he shouted, 'Stop, stop.' And then that's when I wrapped up and tackled him. And then I hit the ground (points to the yellow pedestrian pad). Then my shoulder, I don't know if I hit my head, but I ran into the curb. Then he

popped up. And I was like, 'holy shit, my shoulder is on fire,' so I grabbed him, and I was pulling on him one-handed. And then I tried shoving him, and this thing was throbbing (He grabs his left arm).

- 11:57 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "This guy is running or jogging (points toward [redacted], who is off camera). And we're in the dirt (points to where [redacted] was taken into custody). I don't even know what I said to him. 'This guy is being arrested; I need a hand'. I put a handcuff on him, and I put it on backward. So, this guy (points to [redacted]) hero."

Officers are talking with Bloemendaal and [redacted]. The words are inaudible due to other officers talking nearby.

- 12:37 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "He's helping me, and I am like one arming it. He is doing most of the work. I'm like backward. So, I cuff him upside down...essentially upside down. He just throws it on there."

A K-9 officer then interrupts to determine who is driving Officer Bloemendaal's car while Officer Bloemendaal goes to the hospital. Officer Bloemendaal doesn't finish the story on camera.

The following BWCs were reviewed, which provided an overall depiction and varying vantage points of the scene but offered no further evidence related to the nature of the allegation:

- [redacted] second BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC 2," is 6 minutes and 7 seconds long. In the video [redacted] speaks with [redacted]
- [redacted] BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC," is 16 minutes and 00 seconds long.
- [redacted] BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC," is 14 minutes and 16 seconds long.
- [redacted] BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC," is 4 minutes and 36 seconds long.
- [redacted] BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC1," is 2 minutes and 34 seconds long.
- [redacted] BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC2," is 2 minutes and 17 seconds long.
- [redacted] BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC 1," is 13 minutes and 41 seconds long.
- [redacted] second BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC," is 13 minutes and 27 seconds long.
- [redacted] BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC 1," is 12 minutes and 42 seconds long.

- [redacted] second BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC 2," is 13 minutes and 25 seconds long.
- [redacted] BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC," is 7 minutes and 25 seconds long.
- [redacted] first BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC 1," is 3 minutes and 41 seconds long.
- [redacted] second BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC Scene 2," is 5 minutes and 59 seconds long.
- [redacted] third BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC Scene 3," is 5 minutes and 31 seconds long.
- [redacted] fourth BWC, labeled "[redacted] Hospital," is 18 minutes and 45 seconds long. The video shows [redacted] being interviewed by [redacted].
- [redacted] fifth BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC PT Northern," is 15 minutes and 58 seconds in long.
- [redacted] second BWC, labeled "[redacted] Pris Trans CJ," is 40 minutes and 51 seconds long.
- [redacted] BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC," is 11 minutes and 25 seconds long.
- [redacted] second BWC, labeled "[redacted] Prisoner Transport CJ," is 48 minutes and 32 seconds long.
- [redacted] BWC, labeled "[redacted] BWC," is 11 minutes and 26 seconds long.

On October 24, 2022, I reviewed the Dispatch Audio for this COVER call. The following transmissions were noted that were broadcasted by Officer Bloemendaal

- 06:11 – "15J got him 2700 Clairemont."
- 06:36 – "Footie going southbound."
- 06:45 – ***EMERGENCY ACTIVATION***
- 07:22 – "Hey, roll medics for me, my shoulder is out of place."
- 08:19 – "Taser Deployed"
- 10:56 – 113A advises suspect is in custody.

On November 14, 2022, I sent out Command Notifications and Officer Notifications to Northern Division.

I downloaded photos from Evidence.com which were taken to document the overall scene. Figures 1 and 2 depict Officer Bloemendaal's uniform, duty belt, and lack of less lethal options. Figures 3 and 4 depict [redacted] and the Taser barbs that penetrated his calf.

Figure 1:



Figure 2:

(Firearm) (Handcuff Case) (Handgun Magazines) (AR Magazine) (BWC) (Radio Lapel Mic)

(Tourniquet and Maximum Restraint Cord)

Figure 3:

Figure 4:



On November 21, 2022, I conducted a BWC Audit Trail for Officer Bloemendaal's camera. The following data was noted from Officer Bloemendaal's BWC:

- On 10/13/22 at 10:26:32 - Officer Bloemendaal activates his BWC for an unrelated event. His BWC was working correctly prior to the COVER call and had a 66% Battery Life.

  10/13/2022 10:26:32.794 -0700 Event button pressed

  10/13/2022 10:26:32.864 -0700 Event button released

  10/13/2022 10:26:32.914 -0700 Event button pressed

  10/13/2022 10:26:32.931 -0700 Audio recording enabled due to video recording default

  10/13/2022 10:26:32.994 -0700 Event button released

  10/13/2022 10:26:33.128 -0700 Recording started due to button press Battery 66%

  10/13/2022 10:29:17.756 -0700 Event button pressed

  10/13/2022 10:29:20.760 -0700 Recording stopped due to button press Battery 65%

- The following shows AXON Data from Officer Bloemendaal's BWC near the time of this incident. His BWC has a 50% battery life.

  10/13/2022 12:36:14.365 -0700 Axon application connected to device

10/13/2022 12:37:05.961 -0700 Audit log created Battery 50%

- At 12:42:47 Officer Bloemendaal exits his vehicle to contact [redacted]
- At 12:42:56 Officer Bloemendaal begins following [redacted] on foot (walking).
- At 12:43:01 Officer Bloemendaal begins running after [redacted] and chasing him on foot.

10/13/2022 12:43:04.696 -0700 Axon application disconnected from device

- At 12:43:04 Officer Bloemendaal is in a foot pursuit with [redacted]
- At 12:43:23 Officer Bloemendaal's BWC makes contact with [redacted] backpack and activates.

10/13/2022 12:43:23.194 -0700 Event button pressed

10/13/2022 12:43:23.314 -0700 Event button released

10/13/2022 12:43:23.654 -0700 Event button pressed

10/13/2022 12:43:23.668 -0700 Audio recording enabled due to video recording default

10/13/2022 12:43:23.714 -0700 Event button released

10/13/2022 12:43:23.800 -0700 Recording started due to button press Battery 49%

10/13/2022 12:43:24.614 -0700 Select button pressed

10/13/2022 12:43:24.644 -0700 Select button released

10/13/2022 12:43:25.387 -0700 Marker added to video due to button

10/13/2022 12:47:11.444 -0700 Event button pressed

10/13/2022 12:47:14.450 -0700 Recording stopped due to button press Battery 48%

On January 4, 2023, I emailed [redacted] and [redacted], scheduling their interviews for January 19, 2023, at 0900 and 0930 hours. Both officers confirmed the date and time provided.

I emailed [redacted] and scheduled his interview for January 26, 2023. [redacted] confirmed the date and time provided.

I emailed SDSO [redacted] and requested he call me to schedule his interview. [redacted] and I spoke over the phone, and he agreed to meet with me for his interview on January 24, 2023.

I called [redacted] and spoke to him over the phone. I requested that he have [redacted] contact me so we could set up her interview. [redacted] called me and confirmed an Interview date for January 26, 2023.

On January 19, 2023, at 0908 hours, I interviewed [redacted] at the Internal Affairs Unit.

On January 19, 2023, at 0939 hours, I interviewed [redacted] at the Internal Affairs Unit.

[redacted] and [redacted] were initially listed as subject officers but were later changed to witnesses once their interviews were complete.

On January 19, 2023, I emailed Officer Bloemendaal and scheduled his interview for Monday, January 30, 2023, at 0900 hours.

On January 23, 2023, Officer Bloemendaal had still not responded to my email. I emailed him again and requested that he respond and confirm the interview date.

On January 24, 2023, Officer Bloemendaal emailed me, "Great, see you then. Thank you."

On January 24, 2023, at 0610 hours, I interviewed [redacted] at the Lakeside Sheriff's station.

On January 25, 2023, I contacted Operational Support and requested an equipment inventory of equipment issued to Officer Bloemendaal. The following equipment items of note were issued to Officer Bloemendaal:

- June 4, 2018: (TASER) TASER INTL/X26P - Serial # X12005WVA.
- November 15, 2018: (PEPPER SPRAY) DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY/MK-4 - Serial # 123012
- November 15, 2018: (TASER CARTRIDGE) TASER INTL/21 FT – Serial #C3105ECMT
- November 16, 2018: (BODY CAM) AXON/BODY 2 – Serial #X81104080
- April 19, 2019: (PEPPER SPRAY) DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY/MK-6 – Serial #325885
- February 10, 2021: (BODY CAM) AXON/BODY3 – Serial #X6030165T
- January 20, 2022: (PEPPER SPRAY) DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY/ MK-6 – Serial #336837

On January 26, 2023, at 1005 hours, I interviewed [redacted] at the Internal Affairs Unit.

On January 26, 2023, at 0529 hours, I interviewed [redacted] at the Internal Affairs Unit.

On January 28, 2023, [redacted] called me to advise me that Officer Bloemendaal was injured and was on industrial leave. [redacted] requested that Officer Bloemendaal's interview be postponed.

On January 30, 2023, I contacted the Medical Assistance Unit and verified that Officer Bloemendaal was injured and on industrial leave. Upon confirmation, I tolled the case pending Officer Bloemendaal's return to work.

I sent Officer Bloemendaal an email letting him know I was aware of his industrial leave, and I requested that he contact me as soon as he returned to work so we could reschedule his interview. I never received a response.

From February 2023 to November 2023, I conducted regular monthly checks with the Medical Assistance Unit to verify Officer Bloemendaal's work status.

On November 27, 2023, I was notified by the Medical Assistance Unit that Officer Bloemendaal had returned to work and was no longer on Industrial Leave, and I then un-tolled the case.

On November 27, 2023, I emailed Officer Bloemendaal that his interview date was scheduled for December 4, 2023, at 0800 hours. [redacted] called me and requested that we move the interview back to 0930 hours. I agreed to adjust the interview time.

On December 4, 2023, at 0937 hours, I interviewed Officer Bloemendaal at the Internal Affairs Unit.

On December 6, 2023, I met with In-Service Training Sergeant Mike Miranda and reviewed the case with him. I requested that the In-Service Training Unit conduct a force analysis on this case.

On February 21, 2024, after reviewing the Axon Audit, I contacted Operational Support [redacted] #[redacted] to confirm if Officer Bloemendaal's BWC (Serial #X6030165T) had ever been turned in for service because of malfunctions to the camera. [redacted] advised me that Officer Bloemendaal's BWC had never been turned in for repairs.

I also asked [redacted] questions regarding the Audit Trail. I sought to clarify what it meant in the audit report when it said the "Application was Connected to the Device" or "Disconnected to the Device." [redacted] conducted a test using a different BWC and told me the audit report documented when the Axon View Application connected and disconnected from the BWC. According to the Audit Trail, he advised me that the BWC was operating as normal. [redacted] provided an Audit Trail for his test, which I uploaded to the case file.

On February 26, 2024, I received Officer Bloemendaal's Taser training certificate from In-Service Training Coordinator [redacted]. Officer Bloemendaal received his Taser Training Certificate on November 26, 2014, for the X-26P Taser. He also received an updated "refresher" course during AOT cycles 2020 and 2022. The Taser Training Certificate was uploaded into the case file.

On March 13, 2024, I received the Use of Force Review prepared by In-Service Training Officer S. Franken #7423. The following was noted from the report:

> At 12:44:39 **zD**, Officer Bloemendaal's left hand holds down the ankle of [redacted] as he deploys the Taser into the right calf of [redacted] with a follow up drive stun to his lower back.
>
> At 12:44:46 **zD,** Officer Bloemendaal takes his finger off the Taser trigger and the drive stun stops. Approximately seven (7) seconds transpires from the start of the Taser deployment to the completion.
>
> ***(The Taser X-26 and X-26p do not shut off automatically after a 5 second cycle when the trigger is held down. Therefore, the Taser with drive stun exceeded the standard 5 second cycle and would be considered two Taser applications.)***

Officer Franken's Use of Force Analysis noted that [redacted] behavior was consistent with active resistance only, and no life-threatening behavior was noted or observed. Officer Franken did not believe Officer Bloemendaal's Use of Force was within policy. (See conclusions for further details.)

All interviews were audio recorded unless otherwise noted, and the recordings will be maintained with the Internal Affairs file. The statements are "in essence" synopses of the interviews. (Refer to the audio recordings for exact and complete details of the interviews.)

[redacted] **Witness Interview:**

On January 19, 2023, at 0908 hours, Detective Sergeant B. Miles #5473 and I interviewed [redacted] at the Internal Affairs Unit. POA Attorney [redacted] was present as [redacted] representative. I read [redacted] the Sworn Personnel Admonishment form containing the Lybarger and Garrity Warning. [redacted] and I signed the admonishment form, which was uploaded into IA Pro. The following is an "in essence" synopses of the interview. (Refer to the audio recording for exact and complete interview details.)

[redacted] informed me that she had reviewed her officer's report and BWC before the interview. [redacted] has been with the department since October 2021.

On October 13, 2022, [redacted] was working first watch, Northern Division Patrol, as a single officer unit. [redacted] stated she responded to 3001 Clairemont Drive to a felony vandalism call which later turned into a COVER call. [redacted] noted that the location of the radio call was at Starbucks, and the suspect was reportedly leaving the scene before her arrival.

[redacted] was the first officer on the scene and planned to contact the reporting party. She stated that while she was at the crime scene, Officer Bloemendaal aired over the radio that he had located the suspect. She stated that Officer Bloemendaal contacted the suspect near a church on Clairemont Drive. While speaking with a security guard, Officer Bloemendaal broadcasted "Cover Now," and she immediately responded to his location.

[redacted] confirmed that the subject on the radio call was [redacted]. She stated she has never contacted [redacted] before but knows he is a chronic 5150. She remembered the PERT unit airing that the subject on the radio call was possibly [redacted] based on the description given. [redacted] stated she was unaware of [redacted] history with Law Enforcement and if he had previously made threats of violence toward police. [redacted] was unaware if [redacted] had a history with weapons and was not made aware of any weapons being possessed by [redacted] on this radio call.

[redacted] stated the Cover Now began with Officer Bloemendaal airing a foot pursuit. [redacted] activated her lights and siren and responded to his location to assist. She stated that Officer Bloemendaal was approximately three blocks away from her location.

[redacted] stated that when she arrived on scene, she observed Officer Bloemendaal and two other officers on the ground struggling with [redacted] She stated that as she ran up to help, the first thing she heard was, *"I'm going to tase you…. stand back."* So, she immediately backed off.

[redacted] said in her interview, *"Officer Bloemendaal was standing over* [redacted] *but I believe* [redacted] *was handcuffed."* [redacted] was asked if she realized [redacted] was handcuffed before he was tased. She stated, *"It all happened so fast. I didn't really have a second to process what was going on*

because I was still trying to gather what was happening. I just heard him say, 'I am going to tase you…stand back,' and I kind of put my hand out. I didn't want to interfere and accidentally get tased. He did it so quickly. I didn't really know what I had gotten myself into."

[redacted] named the other officers on the scene as [redacted], [redacted], and an unknown civilian. She stated that her role on the scene was to assist the other officers. She stated that initially, she just stood there for a second, but once Officer Bloemendaal walked away to be evaluated for his injuries, she helped maintain control of [redacted] and she told him to stop talking and just to relax. [redacted] stated that Officer Bloemendaal did appear injured. She stated he was breathing heavily and told officers on the scene, *"My shoulder fell out."*

When she first arrived on scene [redacted] said [redacted] was lying face down on his stomach, handcuffed with his hands behind his back. She remembers he was asking many questions and squirming around. Officers Bloemendaal, [redacted] and [redacted] were around [redacted] along with the civilian she believed was an off-duty deputy. [redacted] believed [redacted] squirming around and behavior was active resistance to some extent. [redacted] did not observe any assaultive behavior and did not know about how Officer Bloemendaal sustained his injury until after the event had concluded. She also stated she did not observe any weapons near [redacted] but did observe that [redacted] was wearing a backpack. [redacted] did not observe [redacted] exhibiting any life-threatening behavior at any point.

[redacted] could hear [redacted] tell [redacted] to stop resisting, and she heard Officer Bloemendaal say, *"If you keep this up, I am going to tase you again."*

[redacted] did not observe [redacted] hand his Taser over to Officer Bloemendaal. She stated that the Taser was already in Officer Bloemendaal's hands. [redacted] observed Officer Bloemendaal tase [redacted] but claimed it happened immediately after she arrived on the scene. [redacted] became aware that Officer Bloemendaal had not used his own Taser when Officer Bloemendaal handed the Taser back to [redacted] and said, *"Here, you want your Taser back."*

[redacted] did not know why Officer Bloemendaal would need [redacted] Taser. [redacted] noted that Officer Bloemendaal deployed the Taser as a normal deployment. She stated she did not see him conduct a drive stun on [redacted] with the Taser. [redacted] could not say where the barbs of the Taser impacted [redacted]

[redacted] remembers [redacted] screaming after being tased, but then he seemed to calm down and stopped resisting. [redacted] only observed Officer Bloemendaal deploy the Taser once.

[redacted] was asked, based on what she observed [redacted] doing if Officer Bloemendaal's deployment of the Taser seemed reasonable. She replied, "*I was surprised that he did that. I wouldn't have done that. So, I would say no."*

[redacted] stated she has received training on the use of the Taser and she has received training on defensive tactics and use of force. She stated she was familiar with Department Procedure 1.04 – Use of Force and was not familiar with Department Procedure 1.07 – Taser Procedure. [redacted] believed, per Department Procedure 1.04, that we could use the Taser on assaultive suspects, but she was unaware of any other times when the Taser could be deployed per the force matrix. [redacted] was asked if we could deploy a Taser on handcuffed prisoners, and she said, *"No."*

[redacted] stated she was familiar with department procedures 1.55 -De-escalation Procedure and 1.56 – Duty to Intervene Procedure. She stated she received training on both procedures in the academy through defensive tactics.

[redacted] was asked if she believed she should have intervened in Officer Bloemendaal's use of force. [redacted] stated, *"Yes, if I could have, then I would have. It all happened so fast that I didn't really have a full second to process that he was going to tase him. Because there are times when officers may say 'oh -I am going to tase you.' I did not think he was going to tase him. Then he said stand back, and immediately before he could even finish that sentence, he tased him."*

[redacted] said Officer Bloemendaal sustained a dislocated shoulder from this incident.

[redacted] said Officer Bloemendaal continued to threaten [redacted] after the Taser had been deployed. [redacted] said, *"Yes, he did threaten* [redacted] *that he would give him something along the lines of another charge if he kept up his behavior."* She stated the threat was given after the Taser had been deployed. [redacted] stated that because the Taser was out and pointed at [redacted] she interpreted the word *"charge"* to mean that Officer Bloemendaal was threatening to tase [redacted] again. [redacted] said, *"He (*[redacted] *was still talking a lot and asking a lot of questions. He was still trying to turn and look, and that was all."* [redacted] did not believe [redacted] was exhibiting active resistance when Officer Bloemendaal threatened [redacted]

[redacted] recalled Officer Bloemendaal saying that he would give [redacted] "*one more fucking charge,"* but she did not remember any other threats made toward [redacted] [redacted] did not believe the language used by Officer Bloemendaal was appropriate given the situation. [redacted] said, "*Yeah, it was a very tense situation that I knew I had walked into."* Sergeant Miles asked [redacted] if the language used would have been something that would have embarrassed her had it been witnessed by a passing civilian. [redacted] nodded in agreement and said, "*Potentially, yeah."*

[redacted] observed Officer Bloemendaal hand the Taser back to [redacted] and stated that Officer Bloemendaal walked away to where the PERT Clinician was located. She remembered [redacted] just holding the Taser.

[redacted] said that Officer Bloemendaal was on her squad, and they had worked together for approximately a shift and a half, and she had never partnered with him. [redacted] was unaware that Officer Bloemendaal had no less lethal options on his duty belt.

After the incident, [redacted] took photos of the overall scene. She stated that [redacted] was transported to the hospital by medics, and another officer rode in the ambulance with him. She could not recall any observable injuries to [redacted] She was unsure if Officer Bloemendaal was medically transported, and she stated that no other officers were injured.

Sergeant Miles asked [redacted] if it is common for officers to wear AR-15 magazines on their duty belts. [redacted] said, "No, I see extra magazines for our duty gun, but no. I have seen them on a plate carrier but never on an actual duty belt.

POA representative [redacted] asked, "How long from the time you arrived on the scene until the Taser was deployed?" [redacted] stated, "*It happened within like 5 seconds.*" [redacted] asked, "So right when you showed up? [redacted] nodded in agreement. [redacted] asked [redacted] based on the short time frame, if she would have been able to make a difference by trying to intervene. [redacted] said, *"I think Officer Bloemendaal would have done what he did anyway."* [redacted] clarified that [redacted] was wearing a backpack and wanted to know if the backpack was obstructing the view of [redacted] being handcuffed. [redacted] said, *"Immediately when the Taser went off, it was me just assessing the situation. Okay, is he handcuffed? I think so. But again…it was…now he tased him. What is going on? I don't want to get tased."* [redacted] did not believe the backpack obstructed her view from determining whether or not [redacted] was handcuffed. [redacted] confirmed she knew there was a Taser policy, but she did not know the exact language of Department Procedure 1.07.

The interview concluded at 0932 hours.

[redacted] **Witness Interview:**

On January 19, 2023, at 0939 hours, Detective Sergeant B. Miles #5473 and I interviewed [redacted] at the Internal Affairs Unit. POA Attorney [redacted] was present as [redacted] representative. I read [redacted] the Sworn Personnel Admonishment form containing the Lybarger and Garrity Warning. [redacted] and I signed the admonishment form, which was uploaded into IA Pro. The following is an "in essence" synopses of the interview. (Refer to the audio recording for exact and complete interview details.)

[redacted] informed me that he had reviewed his officer's report and BWC footage before the interview. He stated that he has been a police officer for almost one year.

On October 13, 2022, he was working first watch, Northern Division Patrol, as a single officer unit. [redacted] responded to 3001 Clairemont Drive, which he described as a disturbance call at the Starbucks. He could not remember the details of the call but stated he was enroute to the disturbance when it turned into a cover call. He responded Code-3 toward where the cover call was aired.

[redacted] confirmed that the subject on the radio call was [redacted] He stated he has no history with [redacted] and has never contacted [redacted] [redacted] did not know [redacted] experience or history with law enforcement.

[redacted] remembers Officer Bloemendaal locating the suspect, attempting to contact him, and then airing a foot pursuit. [redacted] responded from the Starbucks parking lot and located Officer Bloemendaal's vehicle, approximately three blocks away. [redacted] could not locate Officer Bloemendaal when he arrived on the scene. He eventually spotted Officer Bloemendaal, [redacted], and an off-duty deputy with [redacted] west of the patrol vehicle. When he saw the officers with [redacted] they were standing, and eventually, they all fell to the ground. He couldn't see exactly what was occurring but noted the officers were "hands-on" with [redacted] when they fell backward into a planter's box near a church. [redacted] stated he was approximately 70-100 yards away when he first saw officers struggling with [redacted] [redacted] was too far away to determine what [redacted] was doing, and when officers took him to the ground. [redacted] drove to their location, and when he exited the patrol vehicle, a PERT clinician was standing and pointing to where the officers were. He observed the officers on the ground struggling with [redacted]

[redacted] stated that when he arrived on the scene, he could not immediately tell that Officer Bloemendaal was injured. He also could not see what [redacted] was doing as the other officers were on top of [redacted] blocking his view. [redacted] stated he could not initially tell if [redacted] was handcuffed, and he focused on pulling the off-duty deputy off [redacted] [redacted] later stated he believed the off-duty deputy was just a civilian.

Once he could see [redacted] observed him flailing his legs and wiggling around. He could see the off-duty deputy's leg on top of [redacted] shoulder and stated that the leg appeared to be on [redacted] back. As [redacted] began pushing the off-duty deputy out of the way, [redacted] moved in to take the deputy's spot. That is when [redacted] heard, "You are going to get tased," and he heard, "Taser, Taser, Taser." [redacted] couldn't remember which leg was tased but stated the Taser was deployed into one of [redacted] legs. Then a drive stun was used as Officer Bloemendaal deployed the Taser into [redacted] back. [redacted] grabbed [redacted] backpack, put it more on his back, and put a hand on him. He stated he didn't put much pressure on the back because he was concerned about being tased. He stated that is when he first noticed [redacted] was handcuffed.

[redacted] stated that the Taser was deployed only once for five seconds. He stated that once Officer Bloemendaal shot the Taser into [redacted] leg, Officer Bloemendaal immediately brought the Taser up to [redacted] back and deployed it in one full motion. [redacted] described this maneuver as a drive stun technique used to obtain a "full lock."

[redacted] clarified that when he saw the other officers, two of the officers were wearing a uniform, and the off-duty deputy was wearing civilian clothes. [redacted] stated that the off-duty deputy wore a black t-shirt and shorts. He stated that his biggest concern when he saw the off-duty deputy was just to get him away. [redacted] did not realize the off-duty deputy was law enforcement; he believed he was just a civilian, and his focus was to get a civilian away from the struggle and to intervene. [redacted] didn't find out the civilian was an off-duty deputy until after the call. [redacted] stated that the deputy was not throwing punches or doing anything excessive. [redacted] clarified that his focus when he first ran up was on the off-duty deputy until he heard the Taser go off.

[redacted] observed [redacted] on the ground kicking his legs and turning his torso. [redacted] stated that when he initially arrived on scene, it looked like [redacted] was just resisting, but he was unaware that [redacted] was handcuffed. [redacted] did not believe that it looked like he was assaulting any officers. He stated that [redacted] never exhibited life-threatening behavior. [redacted] stated [redacted] was not in close proximity to a weapon except for what was on the officer's gun belts. [redacted] remembers Officer Bloemendaal saying, *"If he ([redacted] didn't stop, he would tase him. And then pretty shortly after, he just kind of did it."*

[redacted] stated he heard Officer Bloemendaal say, *"I am going to tase you if you keep moving, I am going to fucking tase you if you keep moving."* [redacted] realized [redacted] was handcuffed approximately 2 seconds into the Taser being deployed.

[redacted] did not see [redacted] hand Officer Bloemendaal his Taser. [redacted] did not know it was [redacted] Taser until after the incident. [redacted] was asked if he knew why Officer Bloemendaal would need to use [redacted] Taser. [redacted] said, *"No, I don't think he would have needed the Taser because he was handcuffed. Post…after everything had happened, I didn't think the Taser was necessary because he was in handcuffs. I mean, I would understand if he was kicking*

at his kneecaps or shins or something; that would kind of make more sense. From what I saw, it didn't look like he was kicking at him." [redacted] was unsure if [redacted] had changed his behavior from being actively resistant to another level of resistance before the deployment of the Taser. [redacted] did not believe the Taser deployment was reasonable.

[redacted] stated he has received training on the use of the Taser and training on use of force tactics. He stated he was familiar with Department Procedure 1.04 – Use of Force and Department Procedure 1.07 – Taser Procedure. [redacted] stated he received training in the academy, which covered how to deploy the Taser and when to deploy it per the force matrix. [redacted] stated that per Department Procedure 1.04, we could deploy the Taser when suspects exhibited assaultive behavior. He was unaware that the Taser could be deployed at other times per the force matrix. [redacted] was asked if we could deploy a Taser on handcuffed prisoners, and he said, *"I would say no."*

[redacted] was familiar with department procedures 1.55 -De-escalation Procedure and 1.56 – Duty to Intervene Procedure. He received training on both procedures in the academy.

[redacted] was asked if he believed there should have been an intervention on Officer Bloemendaal's use of force. [redacted] paused and said, *"Yes, I do."* [redacted] was asked at what point he thought he should have intervened. [redacted] said, *"Probably when I realized…. I should have said something … I don't know why I didn't, but right when I saw the handcuffs, I probably should have said, hey, stop, I don't know, maybe done something different."* [redacted] said, *"For me … I don't think tasing someone in handcuffs was right … for that specific incident. So, I probably should have done it."* [redacted] later stated in his interview that he only had a few seconds to intervene, and he did not believe he had enough time to intervene between recognizing [redacted] was handcuffed and Officer Bloemendaal deploying the Taser.

[redacted] confirmed that Officer Bloemendaal had sustained a dislocated shoulder from this event. I asked [redacted] if Officer Bloemendaal appeared angry or out of control. [redacted] said, *"No."*

[redacted] was asked if he heard Officer Bloemendaal threaten to tase [redacted] once the Taser was already deployed, and he was unsure. [redacted] was asked if he heard Officer Bloemendaal say, "*You are going to get one more fucking charge if you keep this up*." [redacted] said, *"Yes, I did."* [redacted] was asked what that statement meant, and he said he thought it meant that if the suspect did some type of behavior again, which [redacted] had not observed, he would discharge the Taser again. [redacted] understood the term "charge" to be in reference to the Taser.

[redacted] stated that directly after the tasing, [redacted] just laid there and did not resist. [redacted] stated that when he got on the scene, [redacted] was actively resistant, and once the Taser was deployed, he was compliant.

[redacted] was asked if he heard Officer Bloemendaal say approximately 30 seconds after the first Taser deployment, "I am going to tase you again if you don't fucking relax." [redacted] could not remember. [redacted] confirmed that he had only heard Officer Bloemendaal issue one threat toward [redacted] after the Taser deployment.

[redacted] was asked what he was doing while Officer Bloemendaal held the Taser. [redacted] told me that he had moved the backpack to the center of [redacted] back and had his hands resting on the backpack. He stated he wasn't applying much pressure. He was just lightly holding his hands on the backpack and holding [redacted] in place.

[redacted] did not see Officer Bloemendaal hand the Taser back to [redacted] did not remember seeing [redacted] holding the Taser once it was deployed. [redacted] then observed Officer Bloemendaal walk away from [redacted] toward the intersection."

[redacted] said Officer Bloemendaal is not on his squad and works on his sister squad in the same service area. [redacted] said they worked one day together, and he had only run into Officer Bloemendaal once or twice before this incident. [redacted] was unaware that Officer Bloemendaal had no less lethal options on his belt.

[redacted] did not remember if [redacted] was transported to the hospital and did not remember seeing any injuries on [redacted] believed Officer Bloemendaal was transported to the hospital for his injuries and was unaware of any injuries sustained by any other officers.

[redacted] was asked clarifying questions on his intervention on Officer Bloemendaal's level of force. [redacted] was advised that we were not asking whether he should have intervened from a 20/20 hindsight perspective. He was asked if he had enough time to intervene at the moment. Officer Whitson said, "*I would say no*."

The interview concluded at 1012 hours.

[redacted] **Witness Interview:**

On January 24, 2023, at 0610 hours, Detective Sergeant J. Denny #6371 and I interviewed [redacted] in an interview room at the Lakeside Sheriff's station. [redacted] was informed that he was a witness to the incident, and he consented to the interview being recorded. The following is an "in essence" synopses of the interview. (Refer to the audio recording for exact and complete interview details.)

[redacted] stated he is currently a patrol deputy at the Lakeside substation and has been with the department for 9-years. Currently, [redacted] works the night shift from 1800-0600 hours. [redacted] reviewed his officer's narrative before the interview.

On October 13, 2022, [redacted] stated he was off duty when he assisted San Diego Police Officers with a cover call in the arrest and capture of [redacted]. [redacted] was driving eastbound on Clairemont Drive and observed a San Diego Police vehicle with its lights activated in front of him. The patrol vehicle was stopped in the middle of the road. [redacted] observed a uniformed San Diego Police officer chasing a white male and believed the officer was in a foot pursuit near Galveston Street and Clairemont Drive. [redacted] remembers the officer was traveling westbound, running down the hill's incline and toward Mission Bay. He stated they were running toward [redacted] personally owned vehicle but had not reached his vehicle. [redacted] lost sight of them as they ran toward the intersection near the foliage. [redacted] stated he parked his vehicle on the curb, proceeded to where he lost sight of the officer and suspect, and then located them both. He identified himself as a deputy by saying, "Off-duty deputy, can I assist?" Officer Bloemendaal told [redacted] his shoulder had been dislocated. The suspect Officer Bloemendaal had been chasing was lying on his back and was kicking his feet. [redacted] stated Officer Bloemendaal's shoulder was slouching, but he could not observe any obvious signs of injury.

[redacted] remembers [redacted] lying on his back, and Officer Bloemendaal was standing over him as [redacted] was kicking toward the officer. [redacted] could not tell how far away Bloemendaal was from [redacted] and he was unsure if [redacted] had kicked the officer. [redacted] remembers Officer Bloemendaal was standing near [redacted] feet. [redacted] reiterated that he had lost sight of the officer and [redacted] during the foot pursuit. He stated that when he located them both again, [redacted] was already on his back, and he did not observe a takedown or was aware of how [redacted] ended up landing on his back.

[redacted] remembers Officer Bloemendaal giving commands to [redacted] but he could not decipher what those commands were. He stated that [redacted] was also yelling unintelligibly.

[redacted] helped Officer Bloemendaal roll [redacted] onto his stomach, pulling his arm behind his back and putting downward pressure on him to try and stop him from kicking. He also assisted in getting him handcuffed. Upon first approaching Officer Bloemendaal, [redacted] stated he believed [redacted] was assaultive toward Officer Bloemendaal because he saw [redacted] kicking at the officer.

[redacted] said both he and Officer Bloemendaal handcuffed [redacted] using Officer Bloemendaal's pair of handcuffs. He couldn't remember who handcuffed each wrist, but he remembered having [redacted] in a rear-bent wrist lock, and he locked the cuffs around one wrist, but he couldn't remember how the other cuff became adhered to [redacted] wrist. [redacted] stated he didn't have the luxury of having body-worn camera video footage to refresh his recollection since the incident occurred while he was off duty.

[redacted] remembers that once [redacted] was handcuffed, [redacted] continued to resist by moving his body and kicking his feet while lying on the ground and actively yelling. [redacted] interpreted [redacted] movements as him trying to push his body off the ground as he was attempting to get up from the ground. [redacted] felt [redacted] pushing upwards as [redacted] was providing downward pressure to keep him on the ground. [redacted] stated [redacted] was still face down, and he believed he did not have any difficulty breathing or talking because he was yelling the entire time. [redacted] said [redacted] made spontaneous statements asking why he was being detained. He stated [redacted] then threw his elbow back toward Officer Bloemendaal. [redacted] confirmed that even though he was pushing himself up off the ground, [redacted] was, in fact, handcuffed with his arms behind his back.

[redacted] was asked while [redacted] was handcuffed if his level of resistance changed at all from being assaultive. [redacted]ton said, *"I would say no. I would say he was still being assaultive at that point because he was still kicking his feet back toward Officer Bloemendaal who was still standing."* [redacted] was asked if [redacted] was kicking at Officer Bloemendaal or just kicking. [redacted] stated, *"Yeah, he was initially kicking at him, he was still on his side, and he was still flailing his feet around in a kicking motion. From a reasonable officer standard, if I was in Officer Bloemendaal's position, I would interpret it as being kicked at."* [redacted] was asked if he felt [redacted] was targeting Officer Bloemendaal or if he was kicking as if he was throwing a tantrum. [redacted] stated he couldn't give a definite answer.

[redacted] remembers other San Diego Police Officers eventually arriving on the scene. [redacted] did not remember the first police officer who arrived on the scene, and he did not see a police officer hand a Taser to Officer Bloemendaal. He did not hear Officer Bloemendaal request a Taser. [redacted] remembers a Taser being deployed but does not remember who deployed it and only remembers a sea of blue around him. [redacted] remembers that when the Taser was deployed, [redacted] was handcuffed. [redacted] does not believe he was in contact with [redacted] once the Taser was deployed. He stated he may have walked away from [redacted] before the Taser was deployed or simultaneously as the Taser was being deployed. [redacted] stated he did not have tools on his belt, and he didn't want to be around [redacted] once the Taser was being deployed because he didn't want to feel the electrical charge.

[redacted] did not know how many times the Taser was deployed. He also could not determine [redacted] behavior because he was backing away and had lost a visual of [redacted] could not say if [redacted] was still kicking at officers before the Taser was deployed. [redacted] did not

observe [redacted] exhibiting life-threatening behavior while he was handcuffed or before being handcuffed. He also stated that [redacted] did not have access to any weapons he could see.

[redacted] stated that his department's policy on the use of a Taser is for assaultive behavior only. [redacted] was asked if his department allows for their deputies to deploy a Taser on handcuffed suspects. [redacted] stated, "I wouldn't say allow. It's circumstantial. If the suspect is handcuffed and he is reaching for a gun, absolutely, they can get tased. It depends on the circumstances." Given the circumstances, [redacted] was asked if he believed the deployment of the Taser on [redacted] was reasonable. [redacted] could not answer the question because he was backing away from [redacted] and could no longer see [redacted] behavior when the Taser was deployed. [redacted] was asked if he was surprised by the deployment of the Taser. [redacted] said he wasn't surprised because he had observed [redacted] exhibiting assaultive behavior earlier on.

[redacted] did not recall if Officer Bloemendaal had any less lethal options on his duty belt. [redacted] did not recall hearing Officer Bloemendaal threaten [redacted] regarding deploying the Taser once the Taser was deployed. [redacted] was asked if Officer Bloemendaal appeared angry during his interaction with [redacted] stated, "*I don't know if angry is the right word for it .... like the adrenaline was going through him; you could definitely see that. Angry, I don't know if I would say that, but definitely the adrenaline was going through him.*" [redacted] was asked if Officer Bloemendaal appeared out of control at any point, and he said, "*When I was in contact with him, no.*" [redacted] stated he separated himself from [redacted] and there was a point in time where he could no longer observe what was occurring. He stated at that point he could no longer observe Officer Bloemendaal or speak to his level of control.

Upon being separated from the incident, [redacted] stated he sat down and observed other officers arriving on scene, and an ambulance arriving on scene. He waited around to speak with investigators, did his interview, and was told he was free to leave. [redacted] stated he did speak with Officer Bloemendaal after the incident to ask if he was okay and see how his shoulder was doing. He stated that Officer Bloemendaal told him his shoulder was definitely dislocated. He doesn't remember any other conversation with Officer Bloemendaal, and he has never met Officer Bloemendaal before.

The interview concluded at 0631 hours.

[redacted] **Witness Interview:**

On January 26, 2023, at 1005 hours, Detective Sergeant B. Miles #5473 and I interviewed [redacted] at the Internal Affairs Unit. [redacted] agreed to us recording the interview. The following is an "in essence" synopses of the interview. (Refer to the audio recording for exact and complete interview details.)

[redacted] was assigned to SDPD Northern Division working first watch on October 13, 2022. She was partnered with [redacted] Currently, she is assigned to the San Diego County Sheriff's Office, working out of the San Marcos substation. She has been a [redacted] for almost two years.

[redacted] remembered the event that occurred on October 13, 2022. She did not review any reports or look at BWC video footage. [redacted] was not issued a BWC. [redacted] reminded us that because she is a mental health professional, she was bound by HIPAA laws, limiting some of the content to her answers. [redacted] stated she generally rode with [redacted] once or twice a week and had never partnered with Officer Bloemendaal.

[redacted] remembers going to a radio call at Starbucks and driving around checking for the subject on the radio call. She stated that while enroute to the radio call, Officer Bloemendaal aired that he was contacting the subject and was in a foot pursuit with that subject. [redacted] stated she and [redacted] responded to assist. She stated she and [redacted] were only about a block away from Officer Bloemendaal's location and arrived on the scene within 15 seconds.

[redacted] said when they arrived on the scene, "*Dom's car was on the right, and we couldn't find him. Then we looked cattycorner, and there was a church there, and you could see a scuffle going on.*" [redacted] exited the patrol vehicle. She remembers seeing Officer Bloemendaal stand up, and she could see clearly that his arm was out of the socket and dangling. She also remembers a citizen on the scene trying to help detain the suspect. She said her partner, [redacted], ran over to help. She stated that the person they were trying to detain was very large, and she could see "*wrestling going on.*"

[redacted] stated she pointed out Officer Bloemendaal's and [redacted] locations for responding officers. [redacted] located Officer Bloemendaal's camera on the ground, picked it up, and pointed it toward where the officers were struggling with the subject.

[redacted] stated that the subject was a very big guy. She stated he was over 6'0" and over 200 pounds. She remembers he was very loud and was screaming. She believed from her professional training that this subject might have been under the influence of some sort of substance. She remembered observing squirming and donkey kick-like movements coming from the subject. She believed the subject was trying to get officers away from him. She stated that her partner '[redacted] was very small compared to the subject they were trying to detain. She believed '[redacted] was really struggling to gain control of him.

[redacted] was asked if the subject was trying to assault the officers or if he was just trying to get away from the officers. [redacted] said, *"I don't think I can say either way. I have my own personal opinion, which I can't speak to given HIPAA. But I think there was more malicious intent."*

[redacted] stated she was approximately 25 feet away from the subject. She could not articulate the subject's positioning on the ground but stated that at some point, the subject ended up on his back. She stated she could not see if the subject was handcuffed.

[redacted] remembered that when [redacted] arrived on the scene, Officer Bloemendaal stood up and walked over to her briefly before returning to where the subject was on the ground. She stated that at that point, she handed Officer Bloemendaal his body-worn camera, and she told Officer Bloemendaal to take a seat on the bumper of the patrol vehicle. She stated they both looked over, and it appeared "[redacted] was struggling with the subject and was having difficulty getting him under control. She stated, "*Dom*," even though he was injured, saw "[redacted] clearly needed help and returned to assist. She stated this occurred before the subject was tased. [redacted] stated that within a few moments after the Taser deployment, Officer Bloemendaal was back with her, and she was assessing his injuries.

[redacted] stated that the subject was clearly donkey-kicking repeatedly. She doesn't remember if any officers were near the subject's legs. [redacted] remembers Officer Bloemendaal being near [redacted] head. She could hear officers saying, "*Stop resisting. Give us your hands. Stop kicking*."

[redacted] never saw [redacted] hand the Taser to Officer Bloemendaal, but she said, "*I heard Dom ask for a Taser*." [redacted] believed that because Officer Bloemendaal had a shoulder out of socket, she was unsure if he was unable to reach his own Taser. [redacted] never saw Officer Bloemendaal deploy the Taser, but she heard it, and when she looked over, she saw the Taser in Officer Bloemendaal's hand. She remembers seeing the Taser barbs in the subject's leg, but she was unsure which leg. She was unsure if the subject was handcuffed at the point the Taser was deployed. [redacted] states she just remembers hearing Officer Bloemendaal say, "*Stop Kicking*," asking for the Taser and then saying, "*Stop kicking, or I am going to tase you*."

[redacted] remembers the subject stopped resisting once the Taser was deployed. [redacted] was asked if she observed any life-threatening behavior toward the officers. She stated it was difficult to answer because the subject was much larger than all the officers, especially compared to [redacted] She stated that the subject might be under the influence, which made it that much more dangerous for the officers.

[redacted] stated she does not know how a Taser works but thinks the Taser was only deployed once. [redacted] was asked if she felt Officer Bloemendaal was angry at the time the Taser was deployed. She said, "*Appropriately for the situation in that it was … he was obviously injured and experiencing pain, but I think it was more of a frustration of the situation in like we just didn't have enough manpower there to get the subject under control*." [redacted] did not feel that Officer

Bloemendaal was out of control at any point. She did not hear Officer Bloemendaal issue any threats stating he would tase the subject again.

[redacted] stated that, given her perspective of the situation as a civilian, she felt the force used was appropriate because, from her perspective, the subject was not detained. She also stated that the shrubbery present partially obstructed her view. From her perspective, she observed a very large individual going up against two smaller officers who just couldn't get him contained. She stated that nothing she observed on the scene was shocking to her.

[redacted] said she never saw Officer Bloemendaal return the Taser to [redacted]. She did not remember [redacted] saying anything to her about the use of force in the incident.

[redacted] remembered seeing Officer Bloemendaal walk away but did not recall where he had gone following the incident. She did not remember having a conversation with Officer Bloemendaal after the incident. [redacted] stated she did not know the lack of less lethal options on Officer Bloemendaal's duty belt.

The interview was concluded at 1025 hours.

[redacted] Subject Interview:

On January 26, 2023, at 0529 hours, Detective Sergeant J. Denny #6371 and I interviewed [redacted] at the Internal Affairs Unit. POA Attorney [redacted] was present as [redacted] representative. I read [redacted] the Sworn Personnel Admonishment form containing the Lybarger and Garrity Warning. [redacted] and I signed the admonishment form, which was uploaded into IA Pro. There are two recordings of this interview: [redacted] Interview Part 1 and [redacted] Interview Part 2. Two recordings were due to technical issues requiring me to switch recorders during the interview. The following is an "in essence" synopses of the interview. (Refer to the audio recording for exact and complete interview details.)

[redacted] reviewed his officer's report and BWC before the interview. He has been a police officer for approximately two years. On October 13, 2022, he worked Northern Division Patrol during first watch. [redacted] was assigned as a PERT unit and worked with [redacted]. [redacted] remembers responding to a vandalism call at 3001 Clairemont Drive. He stated that the details on the call involved a subject who threw a rock at the Starbucks window. [redacted] responded to the initial crime scene when the call was aired.

[redacted] stated that the subject on the radio call was [redacted]. [redacted] had contacted [redacted] earlier in the day at his residence and stated that [redacted] was very aggressive and didn't want police interaction at that time. [redacted] remembers these two calls as his only interactions with [redacted]

[redacted] stated that the earlier call had been a text to 911. He stated that the call mentioned that [redacted] didn't want [redacted] to contact him because he had been taken to CMH and drugged multiple times in the past. [redacted] remembers speaking with [redacted] on that radio call very briefly when they were at the front door of his residence. [redacted] was still inside his bedroom, and [redacted] mom did not want officers to enter the house. He remembered [redacted] was yelling at the officers stating that he did not need assistance. [redacted] stated that Officer Bloemendaal was present with him on that call. [redacted] stated on the first radio call that they had no reason to detain [redacted]

While driving to the radio call on Clairemont Drive, [redacted] remembers the suspect description being aired, and it matched [redacted] description from the previous radio call. [redacted] had no direct knowledge of [redacted] past violence or history with Law Enforcement but stated that his PERT clinician did. [redacted] did confirm that [redacted] was known to be violent toward law enforcement. He could not specify if the history involved physical violence or just threats. [redacted] was unsure if [redacted] had a history with weapons. [redacted] stated that the time difference between the two radio calls was approximately 2 hours.

While enroute to Starbucks, [redacted] remembers Officer Bloemendaal locating [redacted] and then airing a foot pursuit. [redacted] was a block or two away when he responded to assist. He responded Code-3 to the call and arrived on the scene within 30 seconds.

When he arrived on the scene, [redacted] located Officer Bloemendaal's vehicle approximately 300 feet up the street, and he located Officer Bloemendaal on the south side of Clairemont Drive in the bushes, wrestling with [redacted] A civilian was assisting him. The civilian later told [redacted] he was an off-duty Sheriff's Deputy. [redacted] remembers they were still struggling with [redacted] but they had just finished handcuffing him. [redacted] immediately recognized the subject as [redacted] noted that when he arrived on scene Officer Bloemendaal's left shoulder was sagging. Later Officer Bloemendaal informed him that his left shoulder was injured.

[redacted] noted that [redacted] was lying on his stomach while he was maintaining pressure on [redacted] left shoulder blade using both of his hands. [redacted] continued to buck and kick and was attempting to get up. [redacted] stated that [redacted] hands were behind his back, and he was handcuffed. [redacted] remembers [redacted] saying he wasn't fighting and couldn't breathe. [redacted] said he and the off-duty sheriff's deputy were just holding [redacted] down and maintaining pressure to keep him from injuring himself or injuring someone else. When [redacted] was kicking, no one was near his feet; however, it appeared [redacted] was attempting to stand up or gain traction to get onto his feet. [redacted] did not see [redacted] targeting anyone with his kicks, but he was generally kicking.

[redacted] used physical strength to hold [redacted] down as the deputy was attempting to gain control of [redacted] right side. [redacted] noted that he believed [redacted] was exhibiting assaultive behavior. [redacted] was asked why he believed it was assaultive, and he said, *"Just because he was trying to headbutt and he was trying to get up. I think it was more than actively resisting."* [redacted] stated [redacted] was headbutting when they were trying to keep his shoulder pinned to the ground, and [redacted] was throwing his head back and forth.

[redacted] was asked when [redacted] was headbutting if he was targeting anyone specifically or if he was just throwing his head around in general. [redacted] said, "*I would say he was just throwing his head around in general."* [redacted] was asked if he would describe that behavior as assaultive. [redacted] said, *"With his size if he was able to get up, he would be able to easily headbutt one of us at the time."*

[redacted] said he has been a police officer for two years, and he has handcuffed a lot of people. [redacted] was asked if he has used a lot of force during his time on and said, "*Not a lot."* [redacted] was asked if it was easy for someone lying handcuffed on the ground, lying prone, with their arms behind their back to stand up. [redacted] said, *"No."* [redacted] stated that in this case, it was a concern that the suspect would stand up. [redacted] was asked what made him concerned [redacted] would stand up in this case, and [redacted] stated, "*Just because in the struggle, it appeared as if he was trying to get up."*

[redacted] was asked if [redacted] ever assaulted any of the officers, and he said, *"No."* [redacted] was asked if [redacted] ever assaulted him during the struggle, and he said, *"No."* [redacted] was asked if [redacted] was in close proximity to a weapon or if he was believed to be armed. [redacted] stated he didn't know that [redacted] had a backpack on his back, and he had not been patted down or searched. [redacted] was asked, with [redacted] hands handcuffed behind his back, if he would have the ability to readily access his backpack. [redacted] stated it was hard to say because someone could have a knife or a gun in their backpack. Sergeant Denny asked if anyone attempted to search the backpack. [redacted] said, *"Not at the time, because he was still trying to fight with us."*

[redacted] said that while they were struggling with [redacted] [redacted] was giving him commands by saying, "*Stop resisting, stop fighting.*" [redacted] could not remember if Officer Bloemendaal gave any commands. [redacted] was asked what Officer Bloemendaal was doing while he was attempting a shoulder pin and still actively struggling with [redacted] [redacted] stated that Officer Bloemendaal walked away to speak with the PERT Clinician and estimated he was gone for approximately 10-15 seconds. [redacted] was asked why Officer Bloemendaal would have walked over to speak with the clinician, and [redacted] said, *"It would be because his arm was injured at the time."*

[redacted] stated that during the struggle Officer Bloemendaal asked for his Taser. [redacted] admitted to handing Officer Bloemendaal the Taser. [redacted] was asked why he gave up his Taser, and he said, "Because he is trained with the Taser just like I am. He is a senior officer, and I wasn't sure if he saw something else I could not see." [redacted] stated he was aware that [redacted] was handcuffed when he handed the Taser over to Officer Bloemendaal. [redacted] was asked if he believed Officer Bloemendaal would deploy the Taser on [redacted] [redacted] said, "I don't know what Officer Bloemendaal was thinking, if he would or would not have, or if he was just trying to intimidate him." [redacted] was asked if it was likely Officer Bloemendaal would use the Taser when he handed over the Taser to him. [redacted] said, "It was likely."

[redacted] stated he observed Officer Bloemendaal deploy the Taser on [redacted] [redacted] stated that the Taser was shot into [redacted] right calf and then driven into [redacted] lower back for approximately 5 seconds. The maneuver occurred in one motion, and [redacted] was only tased once. [redacted] reiterated that while [redacted] had been kicking and throwing his head around, [redacted] was not attempting to target or strike a specific officer. [redacted] stated that before the Taser was deployed, [redacted] behavior had not changed at all from what was initially observed when the Taser was deployed. [redacted] never heard Officer Bloemendaal say anything to give him a belief that there was a reason for the Taser deployment. [redacted] stated in error that [redacted] was exhibiting passive resistance. He then clarified that initially, when he was on the scene, he believed [redacted] to exhibit assaultive behavior, and when the Taser was deployed, what he meant to say was that [redacted] was exhibiting active resistance. [redacted] stated [redacted] was still actively resisting, attempting to get away from officers' control, and trying to get up. [redacted] never observed [redacted] exhibiting life-threatening behavior.

[redacted] stated he has received training on using the Taser in the academy and training on use of force in the academy. He stated he was familiar with Department Procedure 1.04 – Use of Force and was not familiar with Department Procedure 1.07 – Taser Procedure. [redacted] stated that per Department Procedure 1.04, we could use the Taser on suspects actively resisting. [redacted] was asked if we could deploy a Taser on handcuffed prisoners, and he said, "No, unless they are exhibiting life-threatening *behavior."*

[redacted] stated he was unfamiliar with Department Procedures 1.55 -De-escalation Procedure. [redacted] was shown the procedure and was allowed to read it before continuing with the interview. After reading the procedure, [redacted] stated that the procedure looked familiar to him. He stated he was aware the procedure existed but that he had been unfamiliar with the entire content of the procedure. [redacted] stated he received training on de-escalation. [redacted] stated he was familiar with Department Procedure 1.56 – Duty to Intervene Procedure. He didn't recall receiving training on the duty to intervene in the academy.

[redacted] was asked if Officer Bloemendaal's deployment of the Taser seemed reasonable to him. [redacted] said, *"No."* [redacted] was asked if, at the time, it seemed reasonable, and he stated, "*At the time, it did, but after the fact … no."* [redacted] stated, "*During the totality of the circumstances … at the time it did."*

[redacted] was asked if handing his Taser to Officer Bloemendaal escalated the situation. [redacted] said, *"No."* [redacted] said, "*He might have used more force, but as soon as* [redacted]*) was tased he calmed down immediately, and we were able to talk to* [redacted] *and get him to sit up."* [redacted] stated that the Taser deployment was effective.

[redacted] was reminded that he had provided an earlier answer that we can't tase handcuffed prisoners unless there was life-threatening behavior. [redacted] said, *"Correct."* [redacted] was asked if he knew that at the time of the incident. [redacted] said, *"At the time … no."* [redacted] was asked when he learned that we could not tase handcuffed prisoners, and he said, *"After the incident and after reviewing the policies and procedures*."

[redacted] was asked if he believed he should have intervened when [redacted] was tased. [redacted] said, *"After the fact, yes."* [redacted] was asked at what point he should have intervened. [redacted] said, *"Before* [redacted] *got tased."* [redacted] was asked if, after the fact, he believed he should have handed his Taser to Officer Bloemendaal. [redacted] said, *"No."*

[redacted] was asked if he knew why Officer Bloemendaal would need his Taser. [redacted] said, "*I found out after the fact that he had his Taser off his belt. But at the time he asked for it, I didn't know if* [redacted] *possibly had it. Did it get lost in the struggle? I didn't know where his Taser was at the time."*

[redacted] was asked how he found out Officer Bloemendaal was not carrying his Taser. [redacted] stated that a few days later (after the event), he saw Officer Bloemendaal putting the Taser back on his gun belt. Officer Bloemendaal told [redacted] that he wasn't wearing the Taser then.

[redacted] discussed how he provided the Taser to Officer Bloemendaal. He stated that while he was doing a shoulder pin on [redacted] he used his left hand, reached down, unholstered the Taser, and then handed it to Officer Bloemendaal. [redacted] stated he provided it to him because he asked for it. [redacted] was asked if anyone had ever asked him for his Taser. [redacted] said, *"No."* [redacted] was asked if that was a normal request in the field. [redacted] said, *"Not normally, no."* [redacted] was asked if he believed it was odd that Officer Bloemendaal would request his Taser. [redacted] said, *"I did."*

[redacted] believed Officer Bloemendaal had a dislocated shoulder from this event. [redacted] remembers Officer Bloemendaal appeared angry during the incident. [redacted] was asked if Officer Bloemendaal appeared out of control at any point. [redacted] said, *"I don't think out of control, but angry."*

[redacted] stated he heard Officer Bloemendaal threaten to tase [redacted] after the Taser was deployed. [redacted] stated he heard Officer Bloemendaal say, *"You are going to get one more fucking charge if you keep this up."* [redacted] interpreted that to mean that Officer Bloemendaal would pull the trigger on the Taser for a second time. [redacted] confirmed that once the Taser completed its full cycle [redacted] became compliant. [redacted] was asked if [redacted] was doing anything that would warrant Officer Bloemendaal to make that threat. [redacted] said, "*He was just lying on the ground. He was not kicking. He was not headbutting.*" [redacted] stated that [redacted] was not resisting in any way. [redacted] stated that he did not feel it was reasonable at that time. [redacted] did not hear Officer Bloemendaal make a second threat toward [redacted]

[redacted] heard Officer Bloemendaal issue the threat toward [redacted] approximately 5-10 seconds after the first Taser deployment. [redacted] was asked if he believed intervention would have been warranted at that point. He said, *"Um…yes. But myself and the off-duty sheriff's deputy were the only ones on scene at that point."* [redacted] was asked if he had received any training from the department regarding intervention. [redacted] said, *"Not that I recall."*

[redacted] was asked how he would intervene when an officer was using an unreasonable amount of force. [redacted] said, *"To take him away from the situation in an attempt to de-escalate."* [redacted] described a physical intervention. [redacted] was asked if there was another way to intervene. [redacted] said, *"Just tell them not to, or tell them just stand over there."* [redacted] described a verbal intervention. [redacted] was asked in this situation he could have said or done anything that would have helped de-escalate the situation. [redacted] said, "*I could have.*"

POA representative [redacted] asked, "How much time did you have to do that?" [redacted] said, *"It's hard to say; it happened so quickly. In real life, it felt like it was minutes; in reviewing my body-worn camera, the whole incident occurred over 44 seconds."*

[redacted] stated that the only force he used on [redacted] was the shoulder pin. [redacted] was asked if any other force options would have been more appropriate to use on [redacted] aside from the Taser. [redacted] said, *"Probably physical strength."* [redacted] stated that a total of four officers were present, and many more officers showed up at a later point, but [redacted] stated that within the first 40 seconds, it was just himself, the off-duty deputy, and Officer Bloemendaal. [redacted] believed that at the time the Taser was deployed, it was just the three of them struggling with [redacted] [redacted] believes it took another 30 seconds to a minute before responding officers arrived, but he couldn't recall. [redacted] stated he never requested a WRAP for [redacted]

POA representative [redacted] asked for clarification on the positioning when the Taser was released. [redacted] acknowledged that he had both arms on [redacted] during the shoulder pin when Officer Bloemendaal requested the Taser. [redacted] admitted to releasing the Taser with his left hand. When the tasing occurred, [redacted] stated that Officer Bloemendaal was to his left, but his focus was looking down at [redacted] POA Representative [redacted] asked if Officer Bloemendaal requested the Taser. [redacted] said, *"I felt someone on my hip, and I heard him ask, 'Give me your Taser' … I don't recall if those were the exact words. And that is when I provided…"* POA Representative [redacted] asked when that was in the 44 seconds and if it was mid-way through. [redacted] said, "*Yeah, maybe midway."* POA Representative [redacted] asked, "So you had 20-25 seconds to intervene?" [redacted] said, *"Correct."* POA Representative [redacted] had [redacted] describe [redacted] [redacted] stated [redacted] was 6'05," medium build, 230-260 pounds.

Sergeant Denny asked if the Taser seemed surprising to [redacted]. [redacted] stated that it did seem surprising. Sergeant Denny asked what about it was surprising. [redacted] said, "*It was the first time I actually have seen the Taser deployed out in the field."*

[redacted] was asked if he heard Officer Bloemendaal use the "F-bomb" frequently in his interaction with [redacted] [redacted] said, *"Correct."* [redacted] was asked if he believed using that language was appropriate. [redacted] quickly said, *"No."*

The interview concluded at 0616 hours.

Officer Bloemendaal Subject Interview:

On December 4, 2023, at 0937 hours, Detective Sergeant J. Denny #6371 and I interviewed Officer Dominic Bloemendaal #7828 at the Internal Affairs Unit. POA Attorney [redacted] was present as Officer Bloemendaal's representative. I read Officer Bloemendaal the Sworn Personnel Admonishment form containing the Lybarger and Garrity Warning. Officer Bloemendaal and I signed the admonishment form, which was uploaded into IA Pro. The following is a transcription of the interview. (Refer to the audio recording for exact and complete interview details.)

SGT. DOHERTY: Officer Bloemendaal, what's your current height?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *6 Foot.*

SGT. DOHERTY: How much do you weigh?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *180 lbs.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What division are you currently signed to?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Northwestern Division*

SGT. DOHERTY: What watch?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *B Watch.*

SGT. DOHERTY: How long have you been with the San Diego Police Department?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Five years.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did you review BWC from this incident?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did you review any reports?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What reports?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *My report.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Which was …?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *My investigation.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Was it …
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *My interview.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Your interview from an investigator?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes, sir.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Were you working on October 13th, 2022?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL *I was.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What division were you working at that time?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Northern.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What watch?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I believe it was first watch.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Were you working as a single officer unit or two officer unit?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Single officer unit.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Were you in full police uniform and a marked patrol vehicle at that time?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I was.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did you respond to a radio call at 3001 Clairmont Drive?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I did.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What type of call did you respond to?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *It was a gentleman by the name of* [redacted] *who was banned from that location. And the radio call, from what I remember, was him attacking people and I think he threw a rock through one of the windows inside the location.*

SGT. DOHERTY: And where did you respond to initially?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *What do you mean?*

SGT. DOHERTY: When you got the radio call, where did you go? Did you go to the location of Starbucks?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I was heading toward Starbucks.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did you eventually come into contact with [redacted]?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I did.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Do you have any previous experience with him?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Only a single experience prior to that.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What was that previous experience?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *It was a 5150 call where he called in on himself, at his residence, just up the street from the Starbucks.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Do you know … or can you describe any history that [redacted] has had with his previous dealings with law enforcement?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *From when I debriefed with the PERT Clinician after the first initial contact with him earlier that day was that he was violent toward law enforcement and has a restraining order against his mother. He's 5150, off his medications, and a big user of methamphetamine.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did you know that prior to contacting him on this incident?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Do you know if he's made any violent threats toward law enforcement in the past that you're aware of?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I know that he stated that he wanted to be violent toward law enforcement in the past, but I've never personally witnessed that statement. That was just all from the PERT clinician when we debriefed.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Does he have a history of having weapons that you're aware of?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Not that I know of.*

SGT. DOHERTY: And you stated earlier in the day that you had gone to a 5150 call?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes, Sir.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Is that a [redacted]?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I believe so … if that's his residence.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did you conduct a 5150 assessment on [redacted] during that call?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I attempted to.*

SGT. DOHERTY: And what happened with that?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He refused to come and speak to me at the door. His mother answered the door for him. So, we weren't going to push the issue and walked away to de-escalate it.*

SGT. DOHERTY: As you're going to this 594 call, did you eventually locate [redacted]?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL*: I did.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Where did you locate him?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Just east of the intersection of Galveston and Claremont Dr.*

SGT. DOHERTY: And what was he doing?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He was walking …westbound on Claremont Dr. toward the five.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did you make a decision to contact him?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I did.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Were you alone or did you have a cover officer with you?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I was alone.*

SGT. DOHERTY: The decision to contact him, what was the reason for that?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *To prevent him from fleeing and contact him.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Was it …
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Detain him for the crime he just committed inside Starbucks.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What was that crime?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *The felony vandalism and potentially 242.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Is there a reason why you contacted him without waiting for a cover officer?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Because he was, he was still walking away.*

SGT. DOHERTY: While enroute to this call, did you activate your body-worn camera?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I did.*

SGT. DOHERTY: You activated it while enroute to the call?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes.*

SGT. DOHERTY: At what point?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *While I was driving there. I was watching the body-worn camera footage. It shows me hitting it multiple times throughout that whole interaction before contacting* [redacted] *and then right when I finally made physical contact with* [redacted] *when I started recording.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Describe what happened when you contacted [redacted]
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *What specifically?*

SGT. DOHERTY: What happened? How did you contact him?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I got out of my patrol car. I called him by his name. First of all, I had my … I turned my overhead lights on and hit my siren. He was in front of me. He turned around. I exited my patrol vehicle and called him by his name. I told him, come here. You're being detained. And he said, no, I am not. I don't know what you're talking about. And he said I'm not* [redacted] *And I said no,* [redacted] *I know who you are. I just talked to you 2 hours ago. Attempted to make contact. That's when he began running away from me. As we were running westbound on Clairemont Drive… umm…. a motorist tried to cut off* [redacted] *with his van. Kind of slowed him down. But then he began running, continuing down westbound Clairemont Drive and then crossing over the street into oncoming traffic at the intersection of Galveston.*

And that's when I was chasing after him and in a foot pursuit. He kept on trying to. What I believed was turning at me to either square up or fight me. At that point, I was running behind him, and I gained a lot of ground, and that's when I tackled him to the ground.

SGT. DOHERTY: So, you initially make contact with him … he tries to run from you and it becomes a foot pursuit?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes.*

SGT. DOHERTY: How far did you end up chasing him?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I don't know.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Less than a block? Two blocks? Do you remember?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Maybe a couple 100-feet.*

SGT. DOHERTY: You said as you were chasing him, you ended up tackling him?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *That is correct.*

SGT. DOHERTY: At that point, as he's running away from you up to the point that you end up tackling him, what was his level of resistance at that point?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Well, he is running. I believed him to be stopping because he kept on stutter-stepping to stop and turnaround, which I thought he was going to square up and fight me. So active resistance.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Once you tackle him, what happens?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Um. We hit the ground. I hit my head on the curb. I dislocate my shoulder. I tear my labrum. He gets back up. And the fight is still on.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Does he get up before you are able to get up, or how? How? How does that occur? Do you remember?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He gets up, and then I pull myself up. Um. And we continue to fight. He continued to try to run away, and then I was able to get him on the ground.*

SGT. DOHERTY: During the takedown, did you notice that [redacted] level of resistance changed at any point from active resistance?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *It went from active resistance to assaultive behavior.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Okay, at what point?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *After I tackled him and after he got back up.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Okay and describe for me what was assaultive.
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He was donkey-kicking me. He was flailing his arms at me.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Is this at the point you guys end up in the dirt?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Correct.*

SGT. DOHERTY: So, prior to that, before you tackle him. Um, you both end up getting up. At this point is there any change in his resistance level?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He is still actively resisting.*

SGT. DOHERTY: You mentioned that, um, you fell on the ground, you ended up hitting your head. What injuries did you sustain from that?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I have a documented traumatic brain injury from that, and then I also dislocated my shoulder and tore the rear portion of my labrum.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did you know at the time that your shoulder was dislocated?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I did. I'm pretty sure I aired it over the radio.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Have you torn your shoulder before? Or dislocated it before?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *No.*

SGT. DOHERTY: How did you know it was dislocated?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Just based on the feeling of it and not having control over it and hearing a pop.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Were your capabilities of taking [redacted] into custody diminished at any point?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Absolutely.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Describe that.
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I was dazed and concussed. I have now only one arm. And the ability to only fight and try to apprehend somebody with one arm and in excruciating pain.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did your camera fall off at any point?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *It did.*

SGT. DOHERTY: At what point did it fall off? Do you remember?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *When … after reviewing BWC it is when I actually tackled* [redacted].

SGT. DOHERTY: When you guys both stand up, are you giving him commands at all?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes, I am.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What commands are you given?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I believe the commands were, "Get on the ground, get on the ground."*

SGT. DOHERTY: As you both stand up did you eventually reengage him?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I did.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Tell me what happened next.
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He was wearing a backpack, so I attempted to grab him by the backpack with my right arm because my left arm is … immobile and I was trying to pull him to the ground and swing him around using my right arm to grab under his backpack. Eventually, I was able to push him to the ground and into the planter box. And attempt to hold him down on the ground.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Prior to going to the ground, did you at any point disengage from [redacted]
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *No.*

SGT. DOHERTY: How did [redacted] end up back on the ground?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *From when I initially tackled him?*

SGT. DOHERTY: Yes.
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I was able to push him back down to the ground.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Okay, so you both stand up and then is that what you are saying …. with your right arm, you were able to hold on to his backpack and get him to the ground?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Correct. And just use my right arm to push him to the ground.*

SGT. DOHERTY: While on the ground, what was he doing?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He was a donkey kicking, flailing, thrashing his arms and elbows from side to side, and attempting to strike me.*

SGT. DOHERTY: So, per our force matrix, what type of behavior was [redacted] exhibiting at that point?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *It's assaultive behavior.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did an off-duty deputy eventually arrive on the scene to help you take [redacted] into custody?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He did.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What happened?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He came over. I forget that what he was saying to me, but he was saying, I'm here to help and he was able to help me place [redacted] in handcuffs.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did he handcuff [redacted] or did you?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I don't remember.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did [redacted] eventually arrive on the scene?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He did.*

SGT. DOHERTY: At any point, once [redacted] arrived on the scene, did you walk away at any point? When [redacted] showed up immediately after?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I don't remember.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Once [redacted] was handcuffed, what occurred?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *So, we got [redacted] in handcuffs. We are waiting for an additional unit to arrive so we can get him in a WRAP. And he continued to thrash, and he attempted to get up and run away from me. Um. And roll over. To get, to gain that leverage, to get up, but he continued to the donkey kick, thrash his arms left and right, with intent to strike me and that other off-duty deputy that was there.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Okay, so this is while [redacted] is on scene with you?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Uh…. Yes, yeah, yeah, yeah. Because once [redacted] was there, he continued that assaultive behavior.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did you ask [redacted] for his Taser?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I did.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Why?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Because it was right in front of me.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What was right in front of you?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *His Taser was right in front of me. I could easily access it with my right hand.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Why did you need his Taser?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Because it was easier. It was the easiest tool I could grab that was right in front of me that I could just take without having to change my body position. Because I was in a vulnerable state. I couldn't use my left arm or anything, so that was the best option.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Where was your Taser?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *It was not on my belt.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Do you know where it was?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *It was in my locker.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did [redacted] hand you his Taser or did you grab it?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I think he handed me his Taser.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Do you ever remember saying, "I'm gonna tase you if you keep going. I'm gonna, fucking tase you if you keep going."
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes, I just heard that on the footage.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Okay. At this point, what was [redacted] doing?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Is this prior to him initially being tased?*

SGT. DOHERTY: When you make that statement, what is he doing at that point?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Continues to fight, kick, and thrash his body.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did you eventually deploy [redacted] Taser into [redacted]
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Why did you decide to deploy the Taser?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Because I believe that level of resistance was going from assaultive behavior to now life-threatening.*

SGT. DOHERTY: How so?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Well, I was in an incredible amount of pain. I had just knocked my head on a curb. I was starting to get tunnel vision. I was extremely hyper-vigilant of the situation, and the only thing I saw was myself, [redacted], and [redacted] and he was going to overpower us based on his height, his weight, and his ability to fight being under the influence of a controlled substance and myself being in a vulnerable state. And being disabled essentially.*

SGT. DENNY: Was he in handcuffs already at that point?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He was.*

SGT. DOHERTY: You had two other officers on the scene at that point, too, correct?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I had tunnel vision during that scene. I saw myself, [redacted] and that was it.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What was the life-threatening behavior that he was exhibiting?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He could have easily overpowered me.*

SGT. DOHERTY: While handcuffed?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Absolutely.*

SGT. DOHERTY: How so?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He probably weighs 100 lbs. on me. He was 4 inches taller than me. I have a dislocated shoulder. I'm in exclusionary pain. I just hit my head. I'm concussed. I'm scared.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Okay, so keeping in mind …. you noticed that [redacted] was there. You said you had tunnel vision…
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes,* [redacted] *maybe weighs 100 lbs. with his gun belt on. He has a reputation for losing fights with suspects. So, I'm scared that this gentleman is going to overpower myself and* [redacted].

SGT. DOHERTY: Was [redacted] at this point lying on his stomach?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He was, but he was in an attempt to get up, and he was getting himself off the ground by bringing his knees into his waistline and gaining leverage that way. So, he was not… he was not proned out.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Where did the Taser impact [redacted]
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *The calf and his back.*

SGT. DOHERTY: And why was it deployed in that manner?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Well, we were trained with our Tasers that you want to have the biggest spread you can. And based on the distance I had … we were taught in videos of how you can use a drive stun method. When I was in the Academy and that's what I did. I put one into the calf and one in and one then drive stunned into the center of his back.*

SGT. DOHERTY: How many times did you deploy the Taser on [redacted]
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Once.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Was the Taser in contact with [redacted] for a full 5 seconds?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He rode a charge for five seconds. I couldn't tell you if the drive-stun stayed in contact with him for five seconds.*

SGT. DOHERTY: After the Taser was deployed, was it effective?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did he continue to resist?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *No.*

SGT. DOHERTY: And then you stated that you only saw yourself and [redacted]. Do you remember the SDSO deputy being there because of your tunnel vision?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I remember him coming in and helping me handcuff* [redacted]. *And then I know I think he walked away. I didn't know, but I don't remember seeing him after him helping me.*

SGT. DOHERTY: At what point did you realize there were other officers on scene?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *After I got up and walked away to go be relieved and get treatment for my shoulder.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did you ever make a request for a WRAP or a maximum restraint device?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I think other officers did.*

SGT. DOHERTY: After [redacted] was tased, did you say, "You're going to get one more fucking charge if you keep this up"?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What did you mean by charge? Were you referring to the Taser?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What was [redacted] doing at that point that would warrant another Taser deployment?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He made movements indicating that he wanted to continue fighting, like bringing his knees into his chest, like if you going to lift himself off the ground. And try and attempt to stand.*

SGT. DOHERTY: You mentioned him bringing his knees to his chest was him trying to get up. You're saying, him bringing his knees to his chest, was that him trying to assault you?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *It would indicate him trying to get up and flee. After, we already gave him clear instructions to stay where he's at and do not move. It's also his leg would be in a cocked position to deliver more knee strikes that I've already … was hit by. Sorry, not knee strikes, donkey kicks with his foot.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What was his level resistance at this point?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *At this point?*

SGT. DOHERTY: Yes.
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Active to assaultive.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Okay, so no longer life-threatening at this point?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *No longer life-threatening.*

SGT. DENNY: What changed between the life threatening and what he was doing then in your perspective?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He complied after. Initially. Or being uh,*

SGT. DENNY: What about him trying to get up or continue fighting was no longer life threatening to you?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *It took the fight out of him. His demeanor changed. He was. Like more relaxed than before the Taser deployment. He wasn't actively kicking or thrashing his arms or trying to you know, assault myself or. Um, [redacted] there.*

SGT. DOHERTY: I'm wanting to go back to that whole life-threatening thing and just get clarification on that. I know you stated that you're having tunnel vision and that you're experiencing hyper-vigilance. You said that you're worried about him overtaking you, but you also stated that he has his hands behind his back. Describe to me where the life-threatening behavior comes in.
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Well. I have a dislocated shoulder. My left arm is completely immobile. I'm scared. I don't know how many times I was shown videos and taught, and it's been addressed to us in the Academy or AOT that just because somebody's handcuffed doesn't mean that's Code-4, it doesn't mean he can't assault you, doesn't mean he can't attack you, doesn't mean he can't take your gun from you. So that's why I was scared. I never felt more vulnerable. Ever in that type of situation where I feel like I'm fighting for my life and fighting for my safety.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Do you remember standing up and standing above him is he still …
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *No, I remember …. I know that that I could catch the end of the BWC where I was standing over him and my BWC where the PERT Clinician showed that I was standing. I do not remember standing. I remember being on my knees and wrestling with him on the ground and trying to hold him pinned.*

[redacted] When you're describing for Sergeant Doherty …. What you were just discussing, are you giving him a legal analysis of the situation, or are you telling him how you felt at the time based on the totality of the circumstances?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *That's how that was my feeling at the time, based on everything that was going on in that situation.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Do you remember him being in close proximity to a weapon while he was handcuffed?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Everything on my gun belt. And he also was wearing a backpack. He was not patted down. He was not searched. He could have easily accessed any weapon in his pocket, such as a pocketknife. Anything off my gun belt if he really uses ….*

SGT. DOHERTY: But he was lying prone at that point, correct? With his hands behind his back?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *He was on his stomach but attempting to get up and kicking myself and thrashing his arms.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did you eventually hand the Taser back to [redacted]?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *From what I saw in a body-worn camera footage, I did.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did you remain with the officers and [redacted] or did you eventually walk away?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I walked away.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Where did you go?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *To the front of a patrol vehicle.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Were you eventually treated for your injury?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I was. I was taken to a hospital.*

SGT. DOHERTY: And you didn't prepare a report for this incident, correct?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I was told not to.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Investigators responded to the scene and they took your statement?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Uh, as best they could.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Are you familiar with Department Procedure 1.07 which is the procedure specific to the use of Tasers?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I'm familiar.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did you receive training on the use of Taser and deployment of Tasers?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I did.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What type of training have you received?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Our department-specific training at Advanced Officer Training and, of course, training in the Academy.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Are you familiar with our 1.04 Use of Force procedure?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Use of force policy, yes.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Have you received training on use of force?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I have.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What type of training have you received?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *All the department training. All the training mandated by POST, and everything in the Academy.*

SGT. DOHERTY: You kind of touched on it, but I will rephrase it again. Per 1.04 and the force matrix, when are we able to tase somebody?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Assaultive behavior. Of course, life-threatening.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Per 1.07, can we tase handcuffed prisoners?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Per policy no.*

RICK PINCKARD: Well, that's not entirely accurate, but ...
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *We can, but it has to be within, you know? Certain situations.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What would those situations be?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Life-threatening behavior. An officers injured.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Do you believe tasing the [redacted] while handcuffed was reasonable?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Why was that?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Because he's not. Because he's not, you know, getting struck. He's riding an electric charge, which locks his body out and immobilizes them with no significant injuries. It was the safest way to deescalate the situation and the safest, safest way to prevent any injuries.*

SGT. DOHERTY: You mentioned de-escalation. Are you familiar with our department Secure 1.55 which deals with de-escalation?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Did you receive training on de-escalation?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I have.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What type of training have you received?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *The department training … we are mandated to.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Do you believe you took steps to de-escalate this contact?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes, for the totality of the situation and everything else I dealt with, yes, I do.*

SGT. DOHERTY: What did you do to de-escalate?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I first tried to calmly talk to him and have him just come over and talk to me. And say, hey, you're being detained, just come over here and talk, you know, and have him come over and sit with me. I tried to apprehend him safely. Which ended resulting in my injury. And then from there on out, it was his decision to continue the fight, and that's when I had to make decisions to protect myself.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Once, he was handcuffed. And he was on the ground. Where did you attempt to de-escalate?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *From that point, we were just we're just waiting for … what time? Waiting for him to calm down.*

SGT. DENNY: You described several times, as we're going through it, that he was using what you said, donkey kicks. Can you explain what you meant by that or what exactly he was doing?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *We have all seen a donkey and how they or a horse or any equestrian animal and how they kick. That's very similar to that to where if you're lying on your stomach, you're cocking your leg, bending, cocking your knee and then fully extending it.*

SGT. DENNY: Where were you positioned in relation to him or his legs?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I was behind him.*

SGT. DENNY: Did any of the donkey kicks strike you?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes.*

SGT. DENNY: Where did they strike you?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *In my chest. In my thighs.*

SGT. DENNY: About how many times do you think he struck you with one of those kicks?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I don't know the exact number, but I know more than once.*

RICK PINCKARD: Would this have been during the time when you're trying to get him into cuffs and then trying to control him once he's cuffed?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *That is correct.*

SGT. DENNY: Did he kick you at all after he was cuffed?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes.*

SGT. DENNY: Did you ever make any attempts to hold his legs down with your body or any of your knees, arms, etcetera?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I did. I attempted with my only available arm and I tried using my body, but that would put me in a vulnerable situation by using my shoulders and put my head closer to his feet.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Is this before you tased him, or after you tased him?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Before I tased him.*

SGT. DOHERTY: I am going to show you a photo that was taken directly after this incident. It shows your gun belt on the front side. I don't have any photos to the back, but we do have BWC that shows your entire gun belt at that time.
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Okay.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Um. What can you... can you just tell me what you have on your gun belt for the record?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Uh, Gun, maximum restraint, handcuffs, tourniquet, magazines for pistol and rifle, and radio. BWC.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Where was your…you said your Taser was in your locker.
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Correct.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Why was it in your locker?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Because I didn't have any room on my gun belt with all my other equipment.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Where was your OC at?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *On my keychain.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Which is where?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Would be in the patrol vehicle*.

SGT. DOHERTY: And you don't have an ASP or anything. Do you have a baton?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I don't.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Where was your ASP or baton?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *In my locker*.

SGT. DOHERTY: Why was that?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Because every time in my history of using it, it always fell out when I was running and I was tired of having it come out and potentially being used against me, so…*

SGT. DOHERTY: When did you remove those less lethal options from your belt?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I don't know.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Are you aware that Department Procedure 1.07 – Use of Tasers, requires officers trained and issued a Taser shall carry it on their duty belt?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes.*

SGT. DOHERTY: For the record, you stated it wouldn't fit.
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Correct.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Looking at your duty belt, it looks like there's an AR magazine attached to the left side of your hip. Is that correct?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Is there a reason why you have an AR magazine on your belt?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yeah, I am issued a rifle from the Department.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Would it be safe to say that if you didn't have an AR magazine on your belt, you'd be able to put a Taser there?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Correct.*

SGT. DOHERTY: And you stated that you have OC, but it was on a keychain?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Correct. It's the one that I was issued by OPS support, the smaller ones.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Outside of the handcuffs and maximum restraint device that you have around your hip do you have any other tools that you could use to help de-escalate a contact?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Myself. Personal body weapons.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Are you familiar with Department Procedure 1.49, which is our Axon body-worn camera procedure?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I am.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Have you received training on how to use the body camera?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I have.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Where did you receive that training?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Here at the San Diego Police Department.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Kind of talked about it a little bit earlier, but why was your camera not activated prior to contacting Gillooly?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I activated it several times on my way to the call.*

SGT. DOHERTY: It looks like from the BWC you are trying to key your radio. Were you airing traffic as you're putting that out?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I was. When I'm when I'm in a patrol vehicle, I use the car radio to put out traffic.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Are you familiar with Department Procedure 9.20 our courtesy policy?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *I am.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Do you believe you maintained control of your temper in your interaction with Gillooly?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Can you repeat that?*

SGT. DOHERTY: Yeah. Do you believe you maintained control of your temper in your interaction with Gillooly?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *In the totality circumstances, yes.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Do you believe you exercised tact, patience, and discretion in your interaction with him?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *To the best of my ability, given the situation I was in.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Do you believe your profanity you used in this contact was warranted?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Absolutely.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Why?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Because its life threatening. And we were taught in the Academy. Remember that and detect that during some levels of conflict, profanity is allowed to show the seriousness change the demeanor of yourself and….*

SGT. DOHERTY: Do you believe your behavior in this incident was justified?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Yes.*

SGT. DOHERTY: Do you believe your behavior and actions this incident would bring embarrassment on the department or bring the department into disrepute in anyway?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *No.*

RICK PINCKARD: I am not sure that is a fair question. There are a lot of things people will criticize just because they don't understand.

SGT. DOHERTY: Anything else you like to add?
OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL: *Nothing sir.*

The interview concluded at 1013 hours.

CONCLUSIONS:

1. **FORCE - SUSTAINED**

Officers Bloemendaal used force against [redacted]

On October 13, 2022, at approximately 1236 hours, Northern Officers responded to a 415V radio call at a Starbucks Coffee shop at 3001 Clairemont Drive, San Diego, CA (Event #E22100019247). The notes on the radio call stated that an aggressive male at the location threw a rock at the store, causing damage, and the suspect was yelling at employees. A description was provided, and [redacted] advised that the description sounded like [redacted]. [redacted] began walking away from the store westbound on Clairemont Drive before police arrival.

Officer Bloemendaal located [redacted] at 2700 Clairemont Drive. Officer Bloemendaal attempted to contact [redacted] who then ran from Officer Bloemendaal. Officer Bloemendaal aired that he was in a foot pursuit. The call became a COVER NOW, and officers responded to Officer Bloemendaal's last known location. [redacted] was eventually taken into custody at the corner of Galveston Street and Clairemont Drive.

During the foot pursuit, Officer Bloemendaal tackled [redacted] and they both fell to the ground. Officer Bloemendaal became injured after tackling [redacted] Officer Bloemendaal believed he had dislocated his left shoulder. Both Officer Bloemendaal and [redacted] got up from the ground, and they once again began to struggle. During the struggle, Officer Bloemendaal reached for his Taser, which he did not have on his duty belt. Eventually, with the help of an off-duty San Diego County Sheriff's Deputy, Officer Bloemendaal and the deputy were able to get [redacted] into custody.

[redacted] was handcuffed, with his hands behind his back, and was lying face down in the dirt, but he continued to resist and struggle while officers were holding him down. [redacted] rotated his body and continued flailing and kicking. [redacted] arrived on the scene and used his body weight to hold [redacted] on the ground. [redacted] and [redacted] then arrived on the scene as Officer Bloemendaal requested for [redacted] Taser. [redacted] handed Officer Bloemendaal his Taser. Officer Bloemendaal then deployed the Taser on [redacted] while [redacted] was still handcuffed.

[redacted] became compliant after the Taser deployment. Officer Bloemendaal was treated medically for his injuries. [redacted] was taken to a hospital to be evaluated because he had been tased.

Department Procedure 1.04, III, Use of Force Background, revised July 8, 2020, states in part:

The San Diego Police Department recognizes and respects the value of human life, having this as its highest priority. It is the policy and practice of the Department to train its officers to perform their duties to the highest standards. Our officers perform their duties with integrity, and make decisions that are fair,

respectful, lawful, and based on good judgment. However, in the performance of their duties, officers may encounter situations where the use of force is reasonable to effect a detention or arrest, to overcome resistance, or to protect themselves or others. This protection of human life recognizes that the innocent victim and uninvolved citizen are the least able to control a dangerous situation and thus must be our highest priority. Our next priority is to the officers protecting others as well as themselves.

The San Diego Police Department is committed to achieving a safe resolution to conflict whenever possible. To this end, the Department trains its officers in tactics, techniques, and strategies to control these types of incidents using time, distance, communications, and other available resources in an effort to de-escalate encounters and gain voluntary compliance. Refer to Department Procedure 1.55 De-escalation for established requirements and guidelines on the use of de-escalation techniques, crisis intervention tactics, and other alternatives to force.

Successful resolution of an encounter requires the cooperation of a subject to provide officers with the time and opportunity to employ these de-escalation techniques. While the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter is to avoid or minimize injury, nothing in this procedure requires an officer to retreat or be exposed to possible physical injury before applying reasonable force.

Penal Code 834a creates a duty to submit to an arrest by a peace officer. Penal Code 834a states, "If a person has knowledge, or by the exercise of reasonable care, should have knowledge, that he/she is being arrested by a peace officer, it is the duty of such person to refrain from using force or any weapon to resist such arrest."

Penal Code 148(a)(1) makes it illegal to resist, delay, or obstruct an officer's attempt to carry out his or her duties. Penal Code 148(a)(1) states, "Every person who willfully resists, delays, or obstructs any public officer, peace officer, or an emergency medical technician, as defined in Division 2.5 (commencing with Section 1797) of the Health and Safety Code, in the discharge of attempt to discharge any duty of his or her officer or employment, when no other punishment is prescribed, shall be punished by a fine not exceeding one thousand dollars ($1,000), or by imprisonment in a county jail not to exceed one year, or by both that fine and imprisonment."

Penal Code section 835a(b) authorizes an officer to use reasonable force to make a lawful arrest, prevent an escape, or to overcome resistance. Officers are not required to retreat or desist from their efforts by reason of resistance or threatened resistance of the person being arrested. The decision to use deadly force in response to a perceived imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury to the officer or another person is one of the most critical decisions an officer will ever be called upon to make. Only force that is reasonable to overcome resistance may be used to effect a detention or an arrest, or take a person meeting the requirements of Welfare and Institutions Code section 5150 into protective custody. Additionally, officers shall not use deadly force against person based on the danger that person poses to themselves, if an objectively reasonable officer would believe the person does not pose an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury to the peace officer or to another person.

The U.S. Supreme Court in *Graham v. Connor,* 490 U.S. 386 (1989), acknowledged that the "reasonableness" test in analyzing the use of force is "not capable of precise definition or mechanical application." For that reason, in determining whether an officer's use of force is reasonable in a particular case, it is necessary to evaluate the facts and circumstances confronting the officer at the time that force was used. All of the surrounding circumstances will be considered, including whether the subject posed an imminent threat to the safety of the officer or others, the severity of the crime at issue, and whether the suspect actively resisted arrest or attempted to flee.

The evaluation of an officer's use of force will be undertaken from the perspective of a reasonable officer on the scene, not through the 20/20 vision of hindsight. The central inquiry in every use of force case is whether the amount of force used by the officer was objectively reasonable in light of the particular circumstances faced by the officer. When evaluating an officer's use of force, it must be understood that the officer's decision to use force is based on the totality of the circumstances known to or perceived by the officer at the time the force is used.

The Department and the community expect officers to perform their duties with integrity, and make decisions that are fair, respectful, lawful, and based on good judgment. The expectation that officers will use reasonable force also carries the responsibility for other officers to verbally and/or physically intervene if the force necessary to overcome resistance has been achieved, as required by Department Procedure 1.56 Intervention Duties.

Department Procedure 1.04, IV, A, B, F, I, K Use of Force Definitions, revised July 8, 2020, states:

A. Active Resistance – Physically evasive movements to defeat an officer's attempt at control, including bracing, tensing, running away or verbally signaling an intention to avoid or prevent being taken into or retained in custody.

B. Assaultive Behavior - behavior that consists of aggressive physical opposition to being physically controlled and conveys a threat of injury to the officer; or, behavior that consists of a threat of attack conveyed through aggressive physical actions or aggressive physical actions coupled with verbal threats. Verbal threats alone do not constitute assaultive behavior. Assaultive behavior can be directed at the officer or others.

F. De-escalation - encompasses a variety of strategies and/or techniques designed to reduce the immediacy of a threat, minimize the need for force, and gain voluntary compliance from a subject. Refer to Department Procedure 1.55 De-escalation for established requirements and guidelines on the use of de-escalation techniques, crisis intervention tactics, and other alternatives to force.

I. Force - the act of gaining and/or maintaining control of a subject or situation.

K. Life-threatening Behavior - behavior likely to cause serious bodily injury or death.

Department Procedure 1.04, V, A, D, Use of Force Procedures, revised July 8, 2020, states:

A. Force, as defined above, may be used to effect an investigative detention or arrest; control a subject who is in lawful custody; prevent an escape; or, protect the officer, the subject, or another person from injury or death. Any time force is used, the officer shall apply a level of force that is reasonable for the situation.

D. The use of canines, Tasers, extended-range impact weapons, and standard impact weapon techniques may be used to control an actively resisting subject reasonably believed to possess, or have immediate access to, a deadly weapon.

Department Procedure 1.07, III Use of Tasers Background, revised April 10, 2020 states:

The Taser is a force option that is intended to temporarily incapacitate subjects to enable officers to gain control over them. The Taser is an electronic conducted energy device that affects a person's sensory and motor nervous systems. The Taser fires two probes from a replaceable cartridge. A compressed nitrogen capsule located inside the cartridge propels both cartridge probes. These probes are connected to the Taser by thin insulated copper-clad steel wires. When the probes make contact with the target, approximately 1200-2500 volts of electricity pass between the probes affecting the person's sensory and motor nervous systems, capable of causing temporary incapacitation. The Taser is equipped with a laser sight to allow for greater accuracy in both daylight and darkness.

Department Procedure 1.07, VI Use of Tasers Procedures, revised April 10, 2020 states:

A. Taser use includes either drive stun mode or probes fired. Generally, the cartridge should remain on the Taser when delivering a drive stun. A drive stun is more effective after deploying the probes onto a subject. The following are guidelines for the use of the Taser:

1. The Taser may be used on subjects exhibiting assaultive behavior or life-threatening behavior, as defined in Department Procedure 1.04, Use of Force. The Taser may also be used to control actively resisting subjects reasonably believed to possess or have immediate access to a deadly weapon. Commands and warnings should normally be given, if feasible, prior to using the Taser.

2. Officers should evaluate whether the use of the Taser is reasonable based upon all the circumstances, including the subject's age or physical condition. In some cases, other control techniques may be more appropriate, as determined by the subject's threat level to others.

5. The Taser shall not be used on a handcuffed subject unless the subject displays life-threatening behavior.

In reviewing Officer Bloemendaal's BWC, the first two minutes of the video does not have audio. Officer Bloemendaal began his contact without activating his BWC. Even without audio, it is clear Officer Bloemendaal gave [redacted] a lawful order to stop as he is seen pointing at [redacted] and motioning for him to come toward him. Based on the notes from CAD, Officer Bloemendaal had reasonable suspicion to stop and detain [redacted] for vandalism. Instead of halting and listening to Officer Bloemendaal, [redacted] began running away from him and actively resisted arrest in violation of 148(a)(1) PC. Officer Bloemendaal eventually caught up to [redacted] and used a takedown maneuver on him by tackling him and bringing him to the ground. As Officer Bloemendaal tackled [redacted] his BWC contacted [redacted] backpack, which activated the camera. The BWC then became dislodged from Officer Bloemendaal's uniform.

Both [redacted] and Officer Bloemendaal ended up on the ground. Officer Bloemendaal was clearly hurt and was seen groaning in pain while holding his arm. In the video, it appears [redacted] got to his feet before Officer Bloemendaal. [redacted] had his hands up, and it could be perceived that [redacted] was taking a fighting stance. Officer Bloemendaal attempted to grab [redacted] and [redacted] used his hands to swat at Officer Bloemendaal, demonstrating assaultive behavior. Officer Bloemendaal disengaged from [redacted] and reached down toward his duty belt to retrieve a Taser, which he did not have on him. Officer Bloemendaal and [redacted] then stepped out of the camera's view.

In his interview, Officer Bloemendaal described how he conducted the second takedown on [redacted] Officer Bloemendaal said he grabbed [redacted] backpack with his right arm, and he began pulling him to the ground and swinging him around. He eventually pushed [redacted] to the ground and into the planter box.

[redacted] stated in his interview that he had seen the foot pursuit and had lost sight of Officer Bloemendaal. [redacted] ran over to help, and when he located them, he saw [redacted] on his back, kicking his feet. [redacted] never saw the second takedown and was unsure how [redacted] ended up on the ground. [redacted] helped Officer Bloemendaal roll [redacted] onto his stomach, assisted in pulling [redacted] arms behind his back, and assisted in placing [redacted] into handcuffs. [redacted] stated that even though [redacted] was handcuffed at that point, he continued to actively resist and was also exhibiting assaultive behavior as [redacted] was kicking. [redacted] said, "Yeah, he was initially kicking at him, he was still on his side, and he was still flailing his feet around in a kicking motion. From a reasonable officer standard, if I were in Officer Bloemendaal's position, I would interpret it as being kicked at."

A review of [redacted] BWC shows that [redacted] was already handcuffed when he arrived on the scene. The video showed [redacted] actively resisting as officers attempted to hold [redacted] on the ground. [redacted] was seen attempting to roll onto his side. He is seen rotating his body a full 180 degrees between

the time [redacted] arrived on scene and the time the Taser was deployed. Officers could be heard telling [redacted] to stop resisting. Physical strength was used to pin [redacted] to the ground. Officer Bloemendaal then asked for [redacted] Taser. [redacted] provided his Taser to Officer Bloemendaal.

Officer Bloemendaal gave three warnings before deploying the Taser. He is heard saying, "I am going to tase you if you keep going." Officer Bloemendaal then deployed the Taser on [redacted] Officer Bloemendaal discharged both probes into [redacted] calf and then drove the Taser into [redacted] lower back for a successful contact spread. [redacted] was tased for a total of seven seconds. A full cycle is five seconds. [redacted] became compliant after the Taser deployment while Officer Bloemendaal continued to threaten [redacted] that he was going to deploy the Taser on him again.

In her interview, [redacted] stated that the incident occurred quickly. As she ran up, she heard Officer Bloemendaal threaten to tase [redacted] and she believed [redacted] was handcuffed. [redacted] observed [redacted] squirming and moving around, and she believed [redacted] was actively resisting. She did not observe any assaultive behavior or observe any weapons near [redacted] other than a backpack he was wearing. [redacted] did not observe [redacted] exhibiting any life-threatening behavior. [redacted] remembered Officer Bloemendaal deploying the Taser on [redacted] was asked if Officer Bloemendaal's deployment of the Taser seemed reasonable. She replied, "I was surprised that he did that. I wouldn't have done that. So, I would say no." [redacted] believed that if there had been enough time for her to process what she saw, an intervention into Officer Bloemendaal's force would have been warranted.

In [redacted] interview, he stated that when he initially arrived on the scene, it looked like [redacted] was just resisting, but he was unaware if [redacted] was handcuffed. [redacted] did not believe that it looked like he was assaulting any officers. He stated that [redacted] never exhibited life-threatening behavior. [redacted] stated [redacted] was not in close proximity to a weapon except for what was on the officer's gun belts.

[redacted] remembers Officer Bloemendaal saying, "If he ([redacted] didn't stop, he would tase him. And then pretty shortly after, he just kind of did it." [redacted] was unsure if [redacted] had changed his behavior from being actively resistant to another level of resistance before the deployment of the Taser. [redacted] did not believe the Taser deployment was reasonable. [redacted] believed that if there had been enough time to process what he saw, an intervention into Officer Bloemendaal's force would have been warranted.

In [redacted] interview, he could not determine [redacted] behavior because he was backing away and had lost a visual of [redacted] could not say if [redacted] was still kicking at officers before the Taser was deployed. [redacted] did not observe [redacted] exhibiting life-threatening behavior. He also stated that [redacted] did not have access to any weapons he could see.

[redacted] stated in his interview that when he arrived on scene Officer Bloemendaal and [redacted] were still struggling with [redacted] but they had just finished handcuffing him. [redacted] remembered [redacted] lying on his stomach, and [redacted] was maintaining pressure on [redacted] left shoulder blade using both of his hands. [redacted] continued to buck and kick and was attempting to get up. [redacted] stated that [redacted] hands were behind his back, and he was handcuffed. [redacted] stated that when [redacted] was kicking, no one was near his feet; however, it appeared [redacted] was attempting to stand up or gain traction to get onto his feet. [redacted] did not see [redacted] targeting anyone with his kicks, but he was generally kicking.

[redacted] said in his interview that he believed [redacted] exhibited assaultive behavior initially, but when the Taser was deployed, [redacted] was exhibiting active resistance. [redacted] stated [redacted] was actively resisting by attempting to get away from officers' control and trying to get up. [redacted] never observed [redacted] exhibiting life-threatening behavior.

[redacted] was asked if [redacted] ever assaulted any of the officers, and he said, "No." [redacted] was asked if [redacted] ever assaulted him during the struggle, and he said, "No." [redacted] was asked if [redacted] was in close proximity to a weapon or if he was believed to be armed. [redacted] stated he didn't know because [redacted] had a backpack on and had not been patted down or searched.

[redacted] stated he observed Officer Bloemendaal deploy the Taser on [redacted] [redacted] was asked if Officer Bloemendaal's deployment of the Taser seemed reasonable to him. [redacted] said, "No." [redacted] also said, "At the time, it seemed reasonable, but after the fact … no." [redacted] stated in his interview that he understood that we could not deploy a Taser on handcuffed prisoners unless life-threatening behavior was exhibited. He also stated that he only learned of that information once he read the policies and procedures after the event. [redacted] believed at the time that we could deploy a Taser on a handcuffed individual.

[redacted] was asked if he knew why Officer Bloemendaal would need his Taser. [redacted] said, "I found out after the fact that he had his Taser off his belt. But at the time he asked for it, I didn't know if [redacted] possibly had it. Did it get lost in the struggle? I didn't know where his Taser was at the time." [redacted] said he found out Officer Bloemendaal was not carrying his Taser a few days later (after the event) when he saw Officer Bloemendaal putting the Taser back on his gun belt. Officer Bloemendaal told [redacted] that he wasn't wearing the Taser during that incident.

In his interview, Officer Bloemendaal stated he deployed the Taser on [redacted] because he believed [redacted] was exhibiting life-threatening behavior. Officer Bloemendaal was asked to describe the life-threatening behavior [redacted] was exhibiting, and he said, "Well, I was under an incredible amount of pain. I had just knocked my head on a curb. I was starting to get tunnel vision. I was extremely hyper-vigilant of the situation, and the only thing I saw was myself, [redacted], and [redacted] He was going to overpower us based on his height, his weight, and his ability to fight being under the influence of a controlled substance and myself being in a vulnerable state. And being disabled essentially."

Officer Bloemendaal stated he had tunnel vision and only saw [redacted] with him and did not see any of the other officers. Officer Bloemendaal confirmed that [redacted] was handcuffed and lying on his stomach. Officer Bloemendaal described the life-threatening behavior as a fear that he would be overpowered, but there was no further explanation as to what that meant or how [redacted] would overpower him. Officer Bloemendaal kept saying he was scared. When he was reminded that [redacted] was with him, he said, [redacted] maybe weighs 100 lbs. with his gun belt on. He has a reputation for losing fights with suspects. So, I'm scared that this gentleman is going to overpower myself and [redacted]." Officer Bloemendaal said [redacted] was donkey-kicking at him, which he described as assaultive behavior. Officer Bloemendaal stated [redacted] had kicked him several times, and he described [redacted] as trying to stand up while he was lying prone in the dirt.

Officer Bloemendaal was asked if he believed the deployment of the Taser on [redacted] while handcuffed was reasonable. Officer Bloemendaal said, "Yes, because he's not, you know, getting struck. He's riding an electric charge, which locks his body out and immobilizes them with no significant injuries. It was the safest way to de-escalate the situation and the safest way to prevent any injuries."

[redacted] initial actions before being handcuffed were consistent with a suspect who was both actively resistant and assaultive. The physical strength and two take-down maneuvers used by Officer Bloemendaal were reasonable, appropriate, and in line with the use of force matrix. When [redacted] was unhandcuffed and was exhibiting assaultive behavior toward Officer Bloemendaal, a Taser deployment would have been reasonable. However, Officer Bloemendaal did not have a Taser on him and, therefore, could not deploy that force option at that moment.

Once [redacted] was handcuffed, with his hands behind his back, and was lying in the dirt, it was clear from both the BWC video and the officer's statements that [redacted] was still actively resisting. Four officers actively assisted Officer Bloemendaal in controlling [redacted] ([redacted]). Deploying a Taser at that moment was not reasonable nor appropriate, but deploying another force option within the force matrix may have been.

The BWCs from [redacted], and [redacted] show that the backpack [redacted] was wearing was located high on his upper back. [redacted] can be seen pulling the backpack up toward [redacted] neck and away from [redacted] hands. The backpack appears to be more than 6 inches to a foot away from [redacted] preventing him from gaining access to anything inside of the backpack. The backpack zippers are closed, and nothing appears to be in the exterior pockets. Additionally, the BWC shows that while [redacted] twists and turns his body as he is actively resisting, his handcuffs remain behind his back. [redacted] does not make any furtive motions toward his waistband or pockets to support a reason why Officer Bloemendaal would have believed that [redacted] behavior would have been life-threatening. No weapons were noted to be on [redacted] once he was searched.

None of the responding officers believed [redacted] was exhibiting life-threatening behavior, nor did they believe [redacted] was exhibiting assaultive behavior at the point the Taser was deployed. None of the

responding officers believed the force used by Officer Bloemendaal was reasonable or appropriate. In fact, several officers using hindsight 20/20 vision believed they should have intervened in the force if given the time and opportunity. The evidence showed that the force deployed on [redacted] happened quickly, and therefore there was not enough time for the officers to process what was occurring and then intervene.

Officer Franken prepared a Force Analysis on Officer Bloemendaal's use of force and concluded that the use of the Taser on [redacted] while he was handcuffed was not reasonable based on the totality of circumstances. He noted the following in part:

> Based on the totality of the circumstances and BWC videos at the time of the Taser application, [redacted] actions observed and described above would be considered **active resistance**.
>
> Officers are taught during the eight hours of Taser training, San Diego Regional Academy, and AOT, the Taser may be used on subjects exhibiting assaultive behavior or life-threatening behavior, as defined in Department Procedure 1.04, Use of Force. **The Taser may also be used to control actively resisting subjects reasonably believed to possess or have immediate access to a deadly weapon.** The Taser may not be used based solely upon fear of potential injury without specific, articulable facts.
>
> Based upon the totality of circumstances, including reviewing all BWC and interview, [redacted] level of resistance would not be considered life threatening behavior. Officer Bloemendaal's use of the Taser on a handcuffed subject is not consistent with SDPD training, policy and procedure.

While it was clear Officer Bloemendaal was injured, and while he stated he was experiencing tunnel vision, hypervigilance, and a fear of being overtaken, Officer Bloemendaal lacked articulable facts as to what [redacted] was doing to exhibit life-threatening behavior and justify his use of the Taser. Being injured or being afraid in and of itself does not permit an officer to move to a different level of force within the force matrix. Officer Bloemendaal's deployment of the Taser on [redacted] while [redacted] was handcuffed and exhibiting active resistance was not reasonable. Therefore, this allegation is **SUSTAINED.**

2. FORCE - EXONERATED

[redacted] used force against [redacted].

On October 13, 2022, at approximately 1236 hours, Northern Officers responded to a 415V radio call at a Starbucks Coffee shop at 3001 Clairemont Drive, San Diego, CA (Event #E22100019247). The notes on the radio call stated that an aggressive male at the location threw a rock at the store, causing damage, and the suspect was yelling at employees. A description was provided, and [redacted] advised that the description sounded like [redacted]. [redacted] began walking away from the store westbound on Clairemont Drive before police arrival.

Officer Bloemendaal located [redacted] at 2700 Clairemont Drive. Officer Bloemendaal attempted to contact [redacted] who then ran from Officer Bloemendaal. Officer Bloemendaal aired that he was in a foot pursuit. The call became a COVER NOW, and officers responded to Officer Bloemendaal's last known location. [redacted] was eventually taken into custody at the corner of Galveston Street and Clairemont Drive.

When [redacted] arrived on the scene, [redacted] was handcuffed, with his hands behind his back, and was lying face down in the dirt. [redacted] continued to resist and struggle while officers were holding him down. [redacted] rotated his body and continued flailing and kicking. Officer [redacted] used his body weight to hold [redacted] on the ground. [redacted] and [redacted] then arrived on the scene as Officer Bloemendaal requested [redacted] Taser. [redacted] handed Officer Bloemendaal his Taser. Officer Bloemendaal then deployed the Taser on [redacted] while [redacted] was still handcuffed.

[redacted] became compliant after the Taser deployment. Officer Bloemendaal was treated medically for his injuries. [redacted] was taken to a hospital to be evaluated because he had been tased.

Department Procedure 1.04, III, Use of Force Background, revised July 8, 2020, states in part:

The San Diego Police Department recognizes and respects the value of human life, having this as its highest priority. It is the policy and practice of the Department to train its officers to perform their duties to the highest standards. Our officers perform their duties with integrity, and make decisions that are fair, respectful, lawful, and based on good judgment. However, in the performance of their duties, officers may encounter situations where the use of force is reasonable to effect a detention or arrest, to overcome resistance, or to protect themselves or others. This protection of human life recognizes that the innocent victim and uninvolved citizen are the least able to control a dangerous situation and thus must be our highest priority. Our next priority is to the officers protecting others as well as themselves.

The San Diego Police Department is committed to achieving a safe resolution to conflict whenever possible. To this end, the Department trains its officers in tactics, techniques, and strategies to control these types of incidents using time, distance, communications, and other available resources in an effort to

de-escalate encounters and gain voluntary compliance. Refer to Department Procedure 1.55 De-escalation for established requirements and guidelines on the use of de-escalation techniques, crisis intervention tactics, and other alternatives to force.

Successful resolution of an encounter requires the cooperation of a subject to provide officers with the time and opportunity to employ these de-escalation techniques. While the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter is to avoid or minimize injury, nothing in this procedure requires an officer to retreat or be exposed to possible physical injury before applying reasonable force.

Penal Code 834a creates a duty to submit to an arrest by a peace officer. Penal Code 834a states, "If a person has knowledge, or by the exercise of reasonable care, should have knowledge, that he/she is being arrested by a peace officer, it is the duty of such person to refrain from using force or any weapon to resist such arrest."

Penal Code 148(a)(1) makes it illegal to resist, delay, or obstruct an officer's attempt to carry out his or her duties. Penal Code 148(a)(1) states, "Every person who willfully resists, delays, or obstructs any public officer, peace officer, or an emergency medical technician, as defined in Division 2.5 (commencing with Section 1797) of the Health and Safety Code, in the discharge of attempt to discharge any duty of his or her officer or employment, when no other punishment is prescribed, shall be punished by a fine not exceeding one thousand dollars ($1,000), or by imprisonment in a county jail not to exceed one year, or by both that fine and imprisonment."

Penal Code section 835a(b) authorizes an officer to use reasonable force to make a lawful arrest, prevent an escape, or to overcome resistance. Officers are not required to retreat or desist from their efforts by reason of resistance or threatened resistance of the person being arrested. The decision to use deadly force in response to a perceived imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury to the officer or another person is one of the most critical decisions an officer will ever be called upon to make. Only force that is reasonable to overcome resistance may be used to effect a detention or an arrest, or take a person meeting the requirements of Welfare and Institutions Code section 5150 into protective custody. Additionally, officers shall not use deadly force against person based on the danger that person poses to themselves, if an objectively reasonable officer would believe the person does not pose an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury to the peace officer or to another person.

The U.S. Supreme Court in *Graham v. Connor*, 490 U.S. 386 (1989), acknowledged that the "reasonableness" test in analyzing the use of force is "not capable of precise definition or mechanical application." For that reason, in determining whether an officer's use of force is reasonable in a particular case, it is necessary to evaluate the facts and circumstances confronting the officer at the time that force was used. All of the surrounding circumstances will be considered, including whether the subject posed an imminent threat to the safety of the officer or others, the severity of the crime at issue, and whether the suspect actively resisted arrest or attempted to flee.

The evaluation of an officer's use of force will be undertaken from the perspective of a reasonable officer on the scene, not through the 20/20 vision of hindsight. The central inquiry in every use of force case is whether the amount of force used by the officer was objectively reasonable in light of the particular circumstances faced by the officer. When evaluating an officer's use of force, it must be understood that the officer's decision to use force is based on the totality of the circumstances known to or perceived by the officer at the time the force is used.

The Department and the community expect officers to perform their duties with integrity, and make decisions that are fair, respectful, lawful, and based on good judgment. The expectation that officers will use reasonable force also carries the responsibility for other officers to verbally and/or physically intervene if the force necessary to overcome resistance has been achieved, as required by Department Procedure 1.56 Intervention Duties.

Department Procedure 1.04, IV, A, B, F, I, K Use of Force Definitions, revised July 8, 2020, states:

A. Active Resistance – Physically evasive movements to defeat an officer's attempt at control, including bracing, tensing, running away or verbally signaling an intention to avoid or prevent being taken into or retained in custody.

B. Assaultive Behavior - behavior that consists of aggressive physical opposition to being physically controlled and conveys a threat of injury to the officer; or, behavior that consists of a threat of attack conveyed through aggressive physical actions or aggressive physical actions coupled with verbal threats. Verbal threats alone do not constitute assaultive behavior. Assaultive behavior can be directed at the officer or others.

F. De-escalation - encompasses a variety of strategies and/or techniques designed to reduce the immediacy of a threat, minimize the need for force, and gain voluntary compliance from a subject. Refer to Department Procedure 1.55 De-escalation for established requirements and guidelines on the use of de-escalation techniques, crisis intervention tactics, and other alternatives to force.

I. Force - the act of gaining and/or maintaining control of a subject or situation.

K. Life-threatening Behavior - behavior likely to cause serious bodily injury or death.

Department Procedure 1.04, V, A, D, Use of Force Procedures, revised July 8, 2020, states:

A. Force, as defined above, may be used to effect an investigative detention or arrest; control a subject who is in lawful custody; prevent an escape; or, protect the officer, the subject, or another person from injury or death. Any time force is used, the officer shall apply a level of force that is reasonable for the situation.

D. The use of canines, Tasers, extended-range impact weapons, and standard impact weapon techniques may be used to control an actively resisting subject reasonably believed to possess, or have immediate access to, a deadly weapon.

Department Procedure 1.07, III Use of Tasers Background, revised April 10, 2020 states:

The Taser is a force option that is intended to temporarily incapacitate subjects to enable officers to gain control over them. The Taser is an electronic conducted energy device that affects a person's sensory and motor nervous systems. The Taser fires two probes from a replaceable cartridge. A compressed nitrogen capsule located inside the cartridge propels both cartridge probes. These probes are connected to the Taser by thin insulated copper-clad steel wires. When the probes make contact with the target, approximately 1200-2500 volts of electricity pass between the probes affecting the person's sensory and motor nervous systems, capable of causing temporary incapacitation. The Taser is equipped with a laser sight to allow for greater accuracy in both daylight and darkness.

Department Procedure 1.07, VI Use of Tasers Procedures, revised April 10, 2020 states:

A. Taser use includes either drive stun mode or probes fired. Generally, the cartridge should remain on the Taser when delivering a drive stun. A drive stun is more effective after deploying the probes onto a subject. The following are guidelines for the use of the Taser:

1. The Taser may be used on subjects exhibiting assaultive behavior or life-threatening behavior, as defined in Department Procedure 1.04, Use of Force. The Taser may also be used to control actively resisting subjects reasonably believed to possess or have immediate access to a deadly weapon. Commands and warnings should normally be given, if feasible, prior to using the Taser.

2. Officers should evaluate whether the use of the Taser is reasonable based upon all the circumstances, including the subject's age or physical condition. In some cases, other control techniques may be more appropriate, as determined by the subject's threat level to others.

5. The Taser shall not be used on a handcuffed subject unless the subject displays life-threatening behavior.

As described in Allegation 1, Officer Bloemendaal had reasonable suspicion to stop [redacted] for vandalism. [redacted] then actively resisted arrest violating 148(a)(1) PC.

A review of [redacted] BWC shows that [redacted] was already handcuffed when he arrived on the scene. The video showed [redacted] actively resisting as officers attempted to hold [redacted] on the ground. [redacted] was seen attempting to roll onto his side. He is seen rotating his body a full 180 degrees between

the time [redacted] arrived on scene and the time the Taser was deployed. Officers could be heard telling [redacted] to stop resisting. [redacted] used physical strength to pin [redacted] to the ground. Officer Bloemendaal then asked for [redacted] Taser, and [redacted] relinquished his Taser to Officer Bloemendaal. Officer Bloemendaal then deployed the Taser on [redacted]

In his interview, [redacted] remembered [redacted] lying on his stomach while [redacted] was maintaining pressure on [redacted] left shoulder blade using both of his hands. [redacted] continued to buck and kick and attempted to get up. [redacted] stated that [redacted] hands were behind his back, and he was handcuffed. [redacted] remembered that when [redacted] was kicking, no one was near his feet; however, it appeared [redacted] was attempting to stand up or gain traction to get onto his feet. [redacted] did not see [redacted] targeting anyone with his kicks but remembered [redacted] generally kicking.

[redacted] stated in his interview that he believed [redacted] exhibited assaultive behavior initially, but when the Taser was deployed, [redacted] was exhibiting active resistance. [redacted] stated [redacted] was actively resisting by attempting to get away from officers' control and trying to get up. [redacted] never observed [redacted] exhibiting life-threatening behavior.

[redacted] was asked if [redacted] ever assaulted any of the officers, and he said, "No." [redacted] was asked if [redacted] ever assaulted him during the struggle, and he said, "No." [redacted] was asked if [redacted] was in close proximity to a weapon or if he was believed to be armed. [redacted] stated he didn't know because [redacted] had a backpack on and had not been patted down or searched.

[redacted] stated he observed Officer Bloemendaal deploy the Taser on [redacted] [redacted] was asked if Officer Bloemendaal's deployment of the Taser seemed reasonable to him. [redacted] said, "No." [redacted] was asked why he handed the Taser to Officer Bloemendaal, and he replied, "Because he is trained with the Taser just like I am. He is a senior officer, and I wasn't sure if he saw something else that I could not see." [redacted] was asked if he knew why Officer Bloemendaal would need his Taser. [redacted] said, "I found out after the fact that he had his Taser off his belt. But at the time he asked for it, I didn't know if [redacted] possibly had it. Did it get lost in the struggle? I didn't know where his Taser was at the time."

[redacted] used a control hold when he attempted a shoulder pin on [redacted] and he used physical strength when he applied his body weight onto [redacted] to hold him on the ground. It is clear from the officer's BWC video and statements that [redacted] was actively resisting. [redacted] use of force was reasonable, appropriate, and in line with the force matrix. Therefore, this allegation is **EXONERATED.**

OTHER FINDINGS:

1. PROCEDURE – SUSTAINED

Officer Bloemendaal failed to activate his BWC while responding to a violent radio call, which later became an enforcement contact, a foot pursuit, and a use of force incident.

Department Procedure 1.49, V, A, B, C, J Axon Body Worn Cameras, revised September 2, 2021, states in part:

A. Officer safety and public safety take precedence over recording events.

B. Sworn personnel shall follow existing officer safety policies when conducting enforcement stops as outlined in Department policies and procedures. Officer safety and the safety of the public shall be the primary considerations when contacting citizens or conducting vehicle stops, not the ability to record an event.

C. Body Worn Cameras shall be used to capture audio and visual evidence for investigations and enforcement encounters. Sworn personnel shall not provide narration or dictate their actions to the camera. Detailed police reports are still required and are the appropriate place to document the totality of the circumstances for the incident.

J. Mandated Recordings for Sworn personnel:

1. Enforcement Related Contacts

b. Sworn personnel shall use the Event Mode to record enforcement related contacts. The Event Mode shall be activated prior to actual contact with the citizen, or as soon as safely possible thereafter, and continue recording until the contact is concluded or the contact transitions from an enforcement contact to intelligence gathering.

c. Sworn personnel shall begin recording in the Event Mode while driving to a call that has the potential to involve an enforcement contact.

e. Enforcement related contacts include the following: Traffic stops, field interviews, detentions, arrests, persons present at radio calls who are accused of crimes, and consensual encounters in which the officer is attempting to develop reasonable suspicion on the subject of the encounter.

Officer Bloemendaal responded to a Priority 1, 415V- Disturbing the Peace, involving violence at Starbucks (3001 Clairemont Drive). The radio call stated that an aggressive male at that location threw a

rock at the store, causing damage, and was yelling at employees. The suspect was described as a WMA 6'5" in his 30's with orange hair. The male was reportedly leaving the location westbound on Clairemont Drive.

Officer Bloemendaal stated in his interview that he was responding to a violent disturbance radio call and knew the suspect was [redacted]. He stated [redacted] was reportedly attacking people and threw a rock through one of the windows. Officer Bloemendaal stated in his interview that he initially responded to the Starbucks before locating the suspect.

Officer Bloemendaal stated he turned on his body-worn camera while enroute to the call. He said, "I was watching the body-worn camera footage. It shows me hitting it multiple times throughout that whole interaction before contacting [redacted] and then, right when I finally made physical contact with [redacted] I started recording." Officer Bloemendaal later says, "I activated it several times on my way to the call." I advised Officer Bloemendaal that it appeared he was using his lapel mic to air information over the radio. Officer Bloemendaal said, "When I am in a patrol vehicle, I use the car radio to put out traffic."

In Officer Bloemendaal's BWC he does pick up the handheld car radio mic, but he never depresses the button and then secures the mic without appearing to put out radio traffic. Officer Bloemendaal is then seen bringing his hand above the camera lens and allowing his hand to hover around the camera. Officer Bloemendaal's radio lapel mic is located within close proximity to his BWC, and it is possible Officer Bloemendaal was reaching for his lapel mic to broadcast additional radio traffic.

Figure 1 (page 41) depicts Officer Bloemendaal's uniform and shows that his lapel mic is directly above the BWC camera. The lens of the BWC camera is also located above the large circular Event Button used to activate the camera. In the first two minutes of Officer Bloemendaal's BWC, Officer Bloemendaal brings his hands above the BWC camera lens multiple times. His hand hovers around the camera, but it appears he is either preparing to broadcast or is broadcasting information over the radio. The only traffic broadcasted by Officer Bloemendaal during the two-minute buffered recording (without audio) was the following radio traffic:

- 06:11 – "15J got him 2700 Clairemont."
- 06:36 – "Footie going southbound."

Officer Bloemendaal's BWC does activate once Officer Bloemendaal collides with [redacted] backpack while being tackled to the ground. The contact between Officer Bloemendaal's camera and [redacted] backpack turns on the device.

The Axon BWC audit showed Officer Bloemendaal's camera was functioning properly before this incident. Officer Bloemendaal activated his camera at 10:26:32 without issues. His battery life was 66% at that time.

At 12:43:23, when the BWC was activated for this incident, Officer Bloemendaal's BWC battery life was at 50%. The audit showed that the BWC activated once the Event Button was pressed, released, and pressed again. This was caused by contact with [redacted] backpack and Officer Bloemendaal's BWC.

The Axon Audit shows that at 12:42:47, when Officer Bloemendaal exited his patrol vehicle to contact [redacted] there was no record of him pressing the Event Button. The Event Button is also not pressed before Officer Bloemendaal contacts [redacted] If the Event Button had been pressed and the camera had not been activated, the BWC audit would have reflected that the button had still been depressed.

The BWC audit, in conjunction with the review of the BWC video, clearly refutes that Officer Bloemendaal activated his camera while enroute to this radio call. The audit also refutes that Officer Bloemendaal activated his camera multiple times before contacting [redacted] The activation of Officer Bloemendaal's camera was purely accidental and only happened when Officer Bloemendaal collided with [redacted] as he attempted to bring [redacted] down to the ground. Had the camera not been activated upon contacting [redacted] backpack, likely, the camera would never have been activated, and Officer Bloemendaal's use of force would not have been captured.

Operational support confirmed from the BWC Audit Trail that the camera was working properly, and that particular camera had no history of malfunctioning or being turned in for service.

Officer Bloemendaal stated he was familiar with the BWC Procedure and had received training in operating his camera. In this incident, Officer Bloemendaal had time to activate his camera while enroute to the call and before contacting [redacted] There were no articulable facts or reasons provided by Officer Bloemendaal that officer safety was a factor in him not activating his camera. Officer Bloemendaal's camera was turned on approximately 60 seconds after contacting [redacted] and once he was already tackling [redacted] Therefore, this allegation is **SUSTAINED.**

2. PROCEDURE – SUSTAINED

Officer Bloemendaal used profane and violent language on a controlled and handcuffed suspect.

SDPD Department Policy 9.20: Courtesy Policy, revised September 30, 2015, states:

> Members shall be courteous to all persons. Members shall be tactful in the performance of their duties, shall control their tempers, exercise the utmost patience and discretion, and shall not engage in argumentative discussion even in the face of extreme provocation. Except when necessary to establish control during a violent or dangerous situation, no member shall use coarse, profane or violent language. Members shall not use insolent language or gestures in the performance of his or her duties. Members shall not make derogatory comments about or express any prejudice concerning race, religion, politics, national origin, gender (to include gender identity and gender expression), sexual orientation, or similar personal characteristics.

During a review of [redacted] BWC, [redacted] is seen handcuffed at 03:01. [redacted] is still struggling with officers even though he is handcuffed. Officer Bloemendaal says the following:

- 03:17 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "I am going to tase you if you keep going."
- 03:18 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "I am going to fucking tase you if you keep going."

At 03:26, Officer Bloemendaal deploys [redacted] Taser on [redacted] Approximately 10 seconds later, [redacted] relaxes, and Officer Bloemendaal still continues yelling and cursing at [redacted]

- 03:36 – Officer Bloemendaal says, "You are going to get one more fucking charge if you keep this up." [redacted] lies still.
- 03:43 – [redacted] says, "You just scared me, I am sorry. I didn't do anything. I'm confused."
- 03:58 – Officers are holding their hands on [redacted] but are no longer applying force. [redacted] is no longer resisting. [redacted] says, "Just let me go."
- 04:05 – [redacted] starts to roll to his left. [redacted] uses his body weight to hold [redacted] down. [redacted] says, "Stop." Officer Bloemendaal says, "I'm gonna … I'm gonna tase you again if you don't fucking relax."
- 04:08 – [redacted] says, "I am. I'm relaxed".

During [redacted] interview, she stated that she did not believe the language used by Officer Bloemendaal was appropriate for that situation. She admitted that if a passing civilian had overheard that

language, it could have embarrassed the Department. [redacted] also felt that Officer Bloemendaal appeared angry and out of control.

[redacted] stated in his interview that he did not believe Officer Bloemendaal had lost control of his temper.

[redacted] stated in his interview that he couldn't say for certain that Officer Bloemendaal was angry. He said, "I don't know if angry is the right word for it …. like the adrenaline was going through him; you could definitely see that. Angry, I don't know if I would say that, but definitely, the adrenaline was going through him." [redacted] was asked if Officer Bloemendaal appeared to lose control at any point in the contact. [redacted] replied, "When I was in contact with him, no." [redacted] qualified that comment by informing me he had stepped away from [redacted] once other officers arrived on the scene and he did not hear the threats made by Officer Bloemendaal.

[redacted] felt Officer Bloemendaal was angry but said, "Appropriately for the situation in that it was … he was obviously injured and experiencing pain, but I think it was more of a frustration of the situation in like we just didn't have enough manpower there to get the subject under control." [redacted] also did not hear the threats being issued by Officer Bloemendaal.

[redacted] stated in his interview that Officer Bloemendaal did not appear to have lost control but admitted that Officer Bloemendaal appeared angry. [redacted] stated he heard Officer Bloemendaal say, "You are going to get one more fucking charge if you keep this up." [redacted] confirmed that once the Taser completed its full cycle [redacted] became compliant. [redacted] was asked if [redacted] was doing anything that would warrant Officer Bloemendaal to make that threat. [redacted] said, "He was just lying on the ground. He was not kicking. He was not headbutting." [redacted] stated that [redacted] was not resisting in any way. [redacted] stated at that time, he did not feel it was reasonable for Officer Bloemendaal to make that threat. [redacted] did not hear Officer Bloemendaal make a second threat toward [redacted] [redacted] was asked if he heard Officer Bloemendaal use the "F-bomb" frequently in his interaction with [redacted] [redacted] said, "Correct." [redacted] was asked if he believed using that language was appropriate. [redacted] quickly said, "No."

Officer Bloemendaal was asked if he believed the profanity he used in this contact was warranted. Officer Bloemendaal said, "Absolutely." When asked why, he replied, "Because it's life-threatening. And we were taught in the Academy. Remember that and detect that during some levels of conflict, profanity is allowed to show the seriousness change the demeanor of yourself and…."

As discussed in Allegation 1, [redacted] resistance never rose to life-threatening behavior. Using profane language may have been necessary during the active fight with [redacted] before he was handcuffed. However, it was no longer appropriate once [redacted] was handcuffed, lying face down in the dirt, tased, and once he became fully compliant. Officer Bloemendaal continued to use profane language toward [redacted] and continued to threaten to deploy the Taser on [redacted] well after he became fully compliant

with officers. Officer Bloemendaal failed to exercise tact, control his temper, and exercise patience and discretion even in the face of extreme provocation. Officer Bloemendaal used profane and violent language once the incident was no longer violent or dangerous. Therefore, this allegation is **SUSTAINED.**

3. PROCEDURE – SUSTAINED

Officer Bloemendaal did not have any less-than-lethal options on his duty belt, including OC spray and an impact weapon.

Department Procedure 5.10, VI Uniform, Equipment, and Weapons, revised March 10, 2022, states in part:

A. On-duty uniformed officers, including Reserves, except when assigned to office duties, shall at all times wear a gun belt and holster, carry an approved handgun and ammunition, spare magazines and ammunition, a portable radio, Oleoresin Capsicum (OC spray), approved impact weapon, badge, identification card, whistle, a flashlight (as necessary), and handcuffs. ASP manufactures a tactical handcuff, which incorporates a laminated, heat-treated stainless steel lock set, with a polymer/plastic lock assembly. While on duty, officers shall not carry or use any handcuffs containing polymer/plastic materials. These prohibited handcuffs include all chain link, hinge, and rigid styles of handcuffs containing polymer.

B. Uniformed officers have the option to carry the PR-24 side handle baton, Orcutt Police Nunchakus (OPNs), expandable side handle baton or the expandable straight baton on their duty belt. Officers must have their PR-24 baton or fixed 26" or 29" wooden straight baton available for field incidents (i.e., crowd control). The expandable straight baton is not authorized for crowd control incidents. The expandable straight baton is authorized for use by non-uniformed sworn personnel as optional equipment. Handcuffs must be carried in a leather case. Uniformed and non-uniformed officers assigned to office duty shall carry a Department-approved handgun when they are required to be armed. Saps and sap gloves are expressly prohibited.

Note: Personnel electing to carry any of these impact weapons, who have not received academy instruction on their use, must complete the Department approved certification through In-Service Training prior to carrying the weapon. Upon successful completion of the certification, a written authorization form will be placed in the officer's training and Department personnel files. Officers may only purchase and use the Monadnock Expandable Side Handle Baton (PR-24X) 24" model. There are several approved expandable straight baton manufacturers. Officers may only purchase and use the ASP, Winchester, Monadnock, and Casco expandable straight batons in the 16", 21", and 26" lengths.

Figure 1 (page 41) depicts the front of Officer Bloemendaal's uniform. In the photo, no impact weapons or OC spray are seen on his duty belt. Additional vantage points from various BWCs show Officer Bloemendaal's duty belt from various angles. None of the angles depicted in BWC, which show Officer Bloemendaal's back side, left side, and right side, show Officer Bloemendaal carrying OC spray or an impact weapon on his duty belt.

In his interview, Officer Bloemendaal was shown the photo depicted in Figure 1. Officer Bloemendaal was asked to identify the items on his duty belt. Officer Bloemendaal said, "Uh, Gun, maximum restraint, handcuffs, tourniquet, magazines for pistol and rifle, and radio. BWC." Officer Bloemendaal was asked where his OC was, and he replied, "On my keychain." Officer Bloemendaal was asked where his keychain was, and he said, "Would be in the patrol vehicle."

Officer Bloemendaal was asked if he had a baton, and he said, "No, I don't." Officer Bloemendaal was asked where his ASP or baton was, and he replied, "In my locker." Officer Bloemendaal was asked why his impact weapon would be in his locker, and he said, "Because every time in my history of using it, it always fell out when I was running, and I was tired of having it come out and potentially being used against me, so..."

Officer Bloemendaal did not know when he removed the items from his duty belt. He noted that he was carrying an AR-15 magazine on his duty belt and said he was carrying an AR-15 magazine because he was issued a Department AR-15 rifle. Officer Bloemendaal also did not have a Taser on his duty belt (See Other Findings Allegation 4).

Officer Bloemendaal was asked outside of the handcuffs and maximum restraint cord on his duty belt what else he had on him that would help him de-escalate a contact. Officer Bloemendaal replied, "Myself. Personal body weapons."

Officer Bloemendaal did not have the required pieces of equipment in the field or on his person (Impact Weapon and OC), which would have provided him additional force options during his enforcement contact with [redacted] Per the force matrix, Officer Bloemendaal could have used an impact weapon on [redacted] when [redacted] was unhandcuffed and exhibiting assaultive behavior toward Officer Bloemendaal. Additionally, prior to being handcuffed, per the force matrix, Officer Bloemendaal could have chosen to address [redacted] assaultive behavior or active resistance with OC when [redacted] was unhandcuffed. Officer Bloemendaal could have also used OC to address [redacted] active resistance when handcuffed. These less-than-lethal tools are essential for assisting officers in de-escalating contacts and provide options that officers can use to mitigate the potential need for deadly force and deescalate contacts. Officer Bloemendaal failed to have those tools with him. Therefore, this allegation is **SUSTAINED.**

4. PROCEDURE – SUSTAINED

Officer Bloemendaal did not have any less-than-lethal options on his duty belt, including a Taser.

Department Procedure 1.07, VI Use of Tasers, revised February 18, 2016, states in part:

9. Uniformed officers issued a Model X-26 or X-26P Taser shall carry it on their duty belt in a Department-approved holster at all times while on duty. Tasers will be carried on the opposite side from the firearm.

13. Only officers who have successfully completed Department-approved Taser training are authorized to carry and use a Taser.

According to Operational Support, Officer Bloemendaal was issued an X26P Taser (Serial #X12005WVA) on June 4, 2018. According to the In-Service Training Division, Officer Bloemendaal was certified with his Taser on November 26, 2014. He also received a "refresher" course on the Taser during AOT cycles 2020 and 2022.

Figure 1 (page 41) depicts the front of Officer Bloemendaal's uniform. In the photo, a Taser is not seen on his duty belt. Additional vantage points from various BWCs show Officer Bloemendaal's duty belt from various angles. None of the angles depicted in BWC, which show Officer Bloemendaal's back, left, and right sides, show Officer Bloemendaal carrying a Taser on his duty belt.

In his interview, Officer Bloemendaal was shown the photo in Figure 1. Officer Bloemendaal was asked to identify the items on his duty belt. Officer Bloemendaal said, "Uh, Gun, maximum restraint, handcuffs, tourniquet, magazines for pistol and rifle, and radio. BWC." Officer Bloemendaal was asked where his Taser was, and he replied, "In my locker." Officer Bloemendaal was asked why he didn't have his Taser on his belt, and he replied, "Because I didn't have any room on my gun belt with all my other equipment." Officer Bloemendaal noted that he was carrying an AR-15 magazine on his duty belt and said he was carrying an AR-15 magazine because he was issued a Department AR-15 rifle. Officer Bloemendaal was asked if he didn't have the AR-15 magazine if he would be able to fit his Taser on his duty belt. Officer Bloemendaal replied, "Correct."

As stated in Other Findings Allegation 3, Officer Bloemendaal did not have an impact weapon or OC. Officer Bloemendaal was asked outside of the handcuffs and maximum restraint cord on his duty belt what else he had that would help him de-escalate a contact. Officer Bloemendaal replied, "Myself. Personal body weapons."

Officer Bloemendaal did not have the required pieces of equipment in the field or on his person (Taser), which would have provided him additional force options during his enforcement contact with [redacted] As discussed in Allegation 1, during his initial struggle with [redacted] when [redacted] was unhandcuffed and

exhibiting assaultive behavior, Officer Bloemendaal reached for a Taser on his duty belt, which wasn't there. At that point in the contact, Officer Bloemendaal could have used the Taser to address [redacted] assaultive behavior. Tools such as a Taser are essential for assisting officers in de-escalating contacts and providing less-than-lethal options to mitigate the potential need for deadly force. Officer Bloemendaal would later feel the need to use the Taser on [redacted] while he was handcuffed, but because he didn't have one in his possession, he had to ask another officer for his Taser. Officer Bloemendaal failed to carry a Taser as required which he was issued and trained on. Without these, as Officer Bloemendaal admits, the only weapon(s) other than a firearm that he possessed were personal body weapons. Therefore, this allegation is **SUSTAINED.**

DOCUMENTATION:

The results of this investigation are based on the following items:

1. Arrest Report of [redacted]
2. (8) ARJIS-9 Officer Reports
3. [redacted] Criminal History / RAP Sheet
4. CAD Printout
5. Dispatch Audio
6. (21) BWC Videos from Event #E22100019247
7. (2) BWC Videos from Event # E22100018825
8. (62) Photographs from Evidence.com
9. (4) Audio Interviews - Witnesses
10. Officer Bloemendaal's Audio Interview
11. (2) [redacted] Audio Interview
12. Axon Audit Trail
13. Property Receipts from File on EQ
14. Ops Support Equipment Inventory List for Officer Bloemendaal [redacted]
15. Officer Bloemendaal's Taser Certificate
12. Use of Force Analysis – Prepared by In-Service Training

LT [redacted]
ID # 5682
DATE: 4/17/2024

CONFIDENTIAL: THIS REPORT IS FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE AND/OR CITY ATTORNEY.

Reporting Officer: Tyler Doherty, Sergeant ID: 6372 Division Internal Affairs

Approved by: Tristan Schmottlach, Lieutenant Date of Report: April 17, 2024

THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

MEMORANDUM

DATE: March 11, 2024

TO: T. Doherty, Detective Sergeant, SDPD Internal Investigations

FROM: Shane Franken, Police Officer II, SDPD In-Service Training Division

SUBJECT: Use of Force Review (Arrest of D. Gillooly event #22100019247)

On 12-07-2023, I was requested by Internal Affairs Detective Sergeant Doherty to review Officer Bloemendaal's use of the Taser during the arrest of [redacted] (SDPD Event #22100019247). I reviewed the associated reports, Internal Affairs interview, and Body Worn Camera (BWC) videos.

Use of Force Opinion:

Police Officers are taught in the San Diego Regional Police Academy (California Police Officer Standards and Training) and Advanced Officer Training to respond to resistance with reasonable force based on the totality of the circumstances. Officers are to use reasonable force to gain and maintain control of situations. This reasonable force precedent was set forth in Graham V Conner, U.S. 386 (1989). This case, which all use of force by a police officer is judged by, established that force is incapable of a precise definition or mechanical application. Therefore, force must be judged under the totality of the circumstance, through the perspective of the officer at the time force is used and without *20/20 hindsight*.

When a person resists an officer's attempts to control, they set off a chain of events that is chaotic, intense, uncertain and rapidly evolving. This forces an officer to make split second decisions. A police officer's reactions and decisions are made based on what they perceive, the options available to them and the time to act on those options. An officer is not expected to be superhuman and work beyond those factors.

Reviewing Officer Bloemendaal's (zB) BWC the following can be seen:

Officer Bloemendaal activates the Code 3 emergency lights of his patrol vehicle (12:42:43 **zB-no audio**).

A white male wearing a gray t-shirt with a black backpack holding a cell phone, later identified as [redacted] is seen on BWC and looks in the direction of Officer Bloemendaal (12:42:45 **zB-no audio**).

Officer Bloemendaal exits his vehicle as [redacted] walks backwards on the sidewalk while facing Officer Bloemendaal (12:42:50 **zB-no audio**).

Officer Bloemendaal waves his left hand towards himself in a motion which appears to direct [redacted] to come towards him (12:42:52 **zB-no audio**).

[redacted] continues to look at Officer Bloemendaal as he turns his body and walks away (12:42:53 **zB-no audio**).

[redacted] continues to walk away on the sidewalk from Officer Bloemendaal as Officer Bloemendaal follows. During this time (12:42:53-12:43:01 **zB-no audio**), Officer Bloemendaal can be seen using hand signals of waving toward himself and pointing down which appears to indicate commands are being given to [redacted]

[redacted] enters the street and begins to run away as Officer Bloemendaal follows (12:43:02 **zB-no audio**).

A white van with the marking "Resident Brewing Co" attempts to cut off an escape path to prevent [redacted] from crossing the street. [redacted] then turns towards Officer Bloemendaal, raises his hands multiple times in a motion consistent with, "stop", as he continues to evade by running around the white van and into the street (12:43:03-12:43:16 **zB-no audio**).

[redacted] runs into the street and looks back multiple times as he attempts to evade Officer Bloemendaal (12:43:16-12:43:21 **zB-no audio**).

[redacted] slows down, keeps his body turned away with a cellphone in his right hand, and looks back as Officer Bloemendaal tackles [redacted] from behind (12:43:22-12:43:24 **zB-no intelligible audio**). At this time, Officer Bloemendaal's BWC falls off his uniform and onto the ground.

During this time, [redacted] actions as described above would be considered **active resistance**.

Officer Bloemendaal's BWC is on the ground facing up and [redacted] can be heard saying, "Ok, ok, alright. Please, please. I didn't do anything." BWC shows [redacted] on his back and Officer Bloemendaal on his hands and knees. Officer Bloemendaal grabs his left shoulder and appears to be injured as [redacted] starts to stand up (12:43:25-12:43:29 **zB**).

[redacted] stands with his hands close to his body at chest height and refuses commands to get to the ground. At this point, Officer Bloemendaal grabs [redacted] back and shoulder (12:43:29-12:43:33 **zB**).

[redacted] creates space and faces Officer Bloemendaal as Officer Bloemendaal appears to reach for a force option tool on his belt but does not grab one (12:43:34 **zB**).

[redacted] keeps his hands at chest height and backs away from Officer Bloemendaal. [redacted] then pushes Officer Bloemendaal's hands away at least once (assaultive behavior) and refuses commands to get on the ground while saying, "Calm down, calm down. Let me sit down." (12:43:34-12:43:36 **zB**).

Officer Bloemendaal and [redacted] are no longer visible on BWC (12:43:37 **zB**). A voice is heard saying, "I'm an off-duty deputy." (12:43:38 **zB**). The off-duty deputy was later identified as [redacted] (San Diego County Sheriff's Department).

During this time, [redacted] actions viewed as described above would be considered **assaultive behavior** and **active resistance.**

Reviewing the BWC of [redacted] (zK), the following can be seen:

[redacted] is prone on the ground handcuffed with San Diego County Sheriff's [redacted] at his right side and Officer Bloemendaal, who is grabbing his left shoulder, is positioned near the left side of [redacted] head (12:44:11 **zK**).

At 12:44:13 **zK**, [redacted] is lying on his right side facing towards the ground and [redacted] is holding onto [redacted] handcuffed hands. [redacted] steps in to control [redacted] upper body. At this time, Officer Bloemendaal disengages and steps away from [redacted]

[redacted] and [redacted] control [redacted] upper body while [redacted] is handcuffed on the ground (12:44:14-12:44:22 **zK**).

[redacted] is prone on the ground and handcuffed (12:44:22 **zK**). From 12:44:23-12:44:31 **zK**, [redacted] actively moves his legs on the ground and brings his knees towards his chest. During this time officers give commands including telling [redacted] "Stop resisting."

At 12:44:31 **zK**, a Taser held by Officer Bloemendaal is in view and he says, "I'm going to tase you if you keep going." [redacted] upper body is controlled by [redacted]. [redacted] controls [redacted] handcuffed hands while keeping [redacted] hands away from his rear waistband (12:44:32-12:44:38 **zK**).

At 12:44:38 **zK**, Officer Bloemendaal is heard saying, "Stand back."

At 12:44:39 **zK**, the Taser cartridge deployment is heard. (This deployment is captured in more detail on [redacted] BWC (12:44:39 **zD** through 12:44:46 **zD** – See "Reviewing [redacted] BWC (**zD**)" for more details.)

At 12:44:42 **zK**, the Taser is seen in a drive stun application to the right side of [redacted] lower right back.

At the end of 12:44:46 **zK**, BWC showed Officer Bloemendaal take his finger off the Taser trigger and remove the Taser from [redacted] back, ending the drive stun application.

Reviewing [redacted] BWC (zD) the following can be seen:

At 12:44:39 **zD**, Officer Bloemendaal's left hand holds down the ankle of [redacted] as he deploys the Taser into the right calf of [redacted] with a follow up drive stun to his lower back.

At 12:44:46 **zD,** Officer Bloemendaal takes his finger off the Taser trigger and the drive stun stops. Approximately seven (7) seconds transpires from the start of the Taser deployment to the completion.

> ***(The Taser X-26 and X-26p do not shut off automatically after a 5 second cycle when the trigger is held down. Therefore, the Taser with drive stun exceeded the standard 5 second cycle and would be considered two Taser applications.)***

Reviewing [redacted] BWC (zW) the following can be seen:

At 12:44:32 **zW**, [redacted] is prone on the ground. His shirt is partially lifted exposing his rear waistband. [redacted] is holding [redacted] handcuffed hands which are positioned behind his back. Officer Bloemendaal is positioned near [redacted] legs. Officer Bloemendaal is holding a Taser in his right hand and his left hand is on top of [redacted] left leg. [redacted] is positioned near [redacted] head.

At 12:44:38 **zW**, [redacted], [redacted], and [redacted] control [redacted] hands and upper body while he's on the ground. Officer Bloemendaal is heard stating, "Stand back."

Officer Bloemendaal initiates the Taser (12:44:39 **zW**). The Taser drive stun application is completed at 12:44:46 **zW.** [redacted] stops his movement, which would be considered active resistance, after the Taser deployment.

Use of Force Opinion Continued:

Officer Bloemendaal stated in his IA interview [redacted] "donkey kicked" and struck him prior to deploying the Taser, I did not observe any BWC footage immediately prior to the Taser deployment capturing any actions of [redacted] that would be considered assaultive behavior nor life threatening behavior.

Based on the totality of the circumstances and BWC videos at the time of the Taser application, Gillooly's actions observed and described above would be considered **active resistance**.

Officers are taught during the eight hours of Taser training, San Diego Regional Academy, and AOT, the Taser may be used on subjects exhibiting assaultive behavior or life-threatening behavior, as defined in Department Procedure 1.04, Use of Force. **The Taser may also be used to control actively resisting subjects reasonably believed to possess or have immediate access to a deadly weapon.** The Taser may not be used based solely upon fear of potential injury without specific, articulable facts.

In his interview, Officer Bloemendaal stated [redacted] level of resistance changed from assaultive behavior to life threatening behavior. Life threatening behavior is produced by an imminent threat.

> California Penal Code 835a(e)(2): *"A threat of death or serious bodily injury is "imminent" when, based on the totality of the circumstances, a reasonable officer in the same situation would believe that a person has the present ability, opportunity, and apparent intent to immediately cause death or serious bodily injury to the peace officer or another person. An imminent harm is not merely a fear of future harm, no matter how great the fear and no matter how great the likelihood of the harm, but is one that, from appearances, must be instantly confronted and addressed."*

Officer Bloemendaal stated in his interview that [redacted] while handcuffed, could overpower him and [redacted] despite also stating he was able to take [redacted] down to the ground with one arm and successfully place him in handcuffs with the help of off duty [redacted]. Officer Bloemendaal did not state specific articulable facts for life threatening behavior or an imminent threat to himself or other officers on scene while [redacted] was in handcuffs. An imminent threat of death or great bodily injury would allow for the use of the Taser and lethal force on a handcuffed subject.

Based upon the totality of circumstances, including reviewing all BWC and interview, [redacted] level of resistance would not be considered life threatening behavior. Officer Bloemendaal's use of the Taser on a handcuffed subject is not consistent with SDPD training, policy and procedure.

Based on the totality of circumstances, the use of the Taser was not consistent with SDPD policy, procedure, and training.

SUMMARY:

It is my opinion, Officer Bloemendaal's use of the Taser is not consistent with SDPD policy, procedure, and training.

Training and Experience:

Shane Franken (Police Officer II, In-Service Training)

I have over 8 years of law enforcement experience.

Entire resume available upon request.

Reviewed [redacted]

Approv [redacted] 5740

Officer's Daily Journal For Unit: 116J1

2022-10-13 05:14 To 2022-10-13 15:08

Journal Officers

OFFICER NAME	OFFICER ID	RADIO#
BLOEMENDAAL,DOMINIC	7828	

Journal Summary

OST: 570	IST: 24	Total Mins: 594	Calls/Outs: 4	Other/Outs: 2	Vehicle#: 7518	RIPA Stops: 0
					Mileage: 111664	BWC: 0

RC:1	VC:1	INV:1

Journal Details

START	END	OST	TYPE	INCIDENT #	LOCATION	EVENT DESCRIPTION	DISPOSITION
0514			LN				
0514			UC			New equipment list for Unit [116J1] :	
0515			SC		LL(-117:12:54.945632:52:34.7646)	EARLY CAR	

0543			DP	[redacted]	[redacted]	415V	
0543			ER			415V	
0552			97			415V	
	1004	261	AV			R	R
1018			DP	[redacted]	[redacted]	5150	
1019			ER			5150	
1025			97			5150	
	1050	32	AV			5150	K
1057			UC		[redacted]	REF#: E22100018554|Type: VC|Form: |Time: |Name: |DOB: |BWC: No|RIPA: No|Comments: 415v	
1058			UC		[redacted]	REF#: E22100018554|Type: INV|Form: |Time: |Name: |DOB: |BWC: No|RIPA: No|Comments: 11-10	
1058			UC		[redacted]	REF#: E22100018825|Type: RC|Form: |Time: |Name: |DOB: |BWC: |RIPA: |Comments: 5150	
1100			OO		SUB	7 OUT OF SERVICE (7) -	
1130			~		SUB	7 System Unit Alarm	

1225			UC		SUB	7 New equipment list for Unit [116J1] :	
1225			DC		SUB	7 Tracking device 00037103/MDT/Default System cleared.	
1225			DC		SUB	7 Tracking device 00037103/MDT/Default System set.	
1225			DC		SUB	7 Device 45064/Radio Alias/Default System added.	
1225			DC		SUB	7 Device 45064/Radio Alias/Default System removed.	
	1236	96	AV				
1236			DP	E22100019247	3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD	415V	
1237			ER			415V	
1243			97		HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD	415V	
1243			CL			415V	
1243			ER			415V	
1246			UC			415V Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 116J1	
	1246	10	AV			415V Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 116J1	A
1246			DP	E22100019247	GALVESTON	415V	

					ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD		
1246			97			415V	
	1507	141	AV			A	A
1508			LO				

Reviewed Cancel Print

DEVICE AUDIT TRAIL

Model: Axon Body 3
Serial Number: X6030165T

Date Range: 13 Oct 2022 - 13 Oct 2022
Document generated: 21 Nov 2022
Audit generated by: DOHERTY, TYLER

Item	Date/Time	Event	Additional Information
1	10/13/2022 00:09:17.047 -0700	Date/Time synced to 10/13/2022 12:09:17.04 AM from 10/13/2022 12:09:16.61 AM	
2	10/13/2022 00:37:05.836 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.291 Firmware Version 1.23.20
3	10/13/2022 00:56:45.527 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
4	10/13/2022 00:56:46.194 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.291
5	10/13/2022 01:37:05.851 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.291 Firmware Version 1.23.20
6	10/13/2022 01:57:45.400 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
7	10/13/2022 01:57:46.049 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.291
8	10/13/2022 02:37:05.861 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.29 Firmware Version 1.23.20
9	10/13/2022 02:51:05.506 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
10	10/13/2022 02:51:06.108 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.29
11	10/13/2022 03:37:05.880 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.29 Firmware Version 1.23.20
12	10/13/2022 03:58:33.380 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
13	10/13/2022 03:58:33.985 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.29
14	10/13/2022 04:09:17.051 -0700	Date/Time synced to 10/13/2022 04:09:17.05 AM from 10/13/2022 04:09:16.71 AM	
15	10/13/2022 04:37:05.895 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.29 Firmware Version 1.23.20
16	10/13/2022 04:45:18.801 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
17	10/13/2022 04:45:19.466 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.29
18	10/13/2022 05:06:58.405 -0700	Device disconnected from charger	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.291

DEVICE AUDIT TRAIL

Model: Axon Body 3
Serial Number: X6030165T

Date Range: 13 Oct 2022 - 13 Oct 2022
Document generated: 21 Nov 2022
Audit generated by: DOHERTY, TYLER

Item	Date/Time	Event	Additional Information
19	10/13/2022 05:06:58.450 -0700	Camera disconnected from Axon Dock X620010FP	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.291
20	10/13/2022 05:37:05.907 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 94% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.294 Firmware Version 1.23.20
21	10/13/2022 06:37:05.916 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 87% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.293 Firmware Version 1.23.20
22	10/13/2022 07:37:05.920 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 82% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.292 Firmware Version 1.23.20
23	10/13/2022 08:37:05.934 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 76% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.291 Firmware Version 1.23.20
24	10/13/2022 09:37:05.940 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 71% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.29 Firmware Version 1.23.20
25	10/13/2022 10:26:32.794 -0700	Event button pressed	
26	10/13/2022 10:26:32.864 -0700	Event button released	
27	10/13/2022 10:26:32.914 -0700	Event button pressed	
28	10/13/2022 10:26:32.931 -0700	Audio recording enabled due to video recording default	
29	10/13/2022 10:26:32.994 -0700	Event button released	
30	10/13/2022 10:26:33.128 -0700	Recording started due to button press	Battery 66% Video Count 1 GB Remaining 55.289 Evidence ID 81122fa464554dd3b5b4f7d234d64c89 Pre-event Audio Recording Disabled Video Mask Disabled
31	10/13/2022 10:29:17.756 -0700	Event button pressed	
32	10/13/2022 10:29:20.760 -0700	Recording stopped due to button press	Battery 65% Video Count 1 GB Remaining 55.141 Evidence ID 81122fa464554dd3b5b4f7d234d64c89
33	10/13/2022 10:29:21.314 -0700	Event button released	
34	10/13/2022 10:29:21.698 -0700	Audio recording disabled due to video buffering default	
35	10/13/2022 10:37:05.946 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 65% Video Count 1 GB Remaining 55.14 Firmware Version 1.23.20

DEVICE AUDIT TRAIL

Model: Axon Body 3
Serial Number: X6030165T

Date Range: 13 Oct 2022 - 13 Oct 2022
Document generated: 21 Nov 2022
Audit generated by: DOHERTY, TYLER

Item	Date/Time	Event	Additional Information
36	10/13/2022 11:37:05.956 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 57% Video Count 1 GB Remaining 55.139 Firmware Version 1.23.20
37	10/13/2022 12:36:14.365 -0700	Axon application connected to device	
38	10/13/2022 12:37:05.961 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 50% Video Count 1 GB Remaining 55.138 Firmware Version 1.23.20
39	10/13/2022 12:43:04.696 -0700	Axon application disconnected from device	
40	10/13/2022 12:43:23.194 -0700	Event button pressed	
41	10/13/2022 12:43:23.314 -0700	Event button released	
42	10/13/2022 12:43:23.654 -0700	Event button pressed	
43	10/13/2022 12:43:23.668 -0700	Audio recording enabled due to video recording default	
44	10/13/2022 12:43:23.714 -0700	Event button released	
45	10/13/2022 12:43:23.800 -0700	Recording started due to button press	Battery 49% Video Count 2 GB Remaining 55.138 Evidence ID 7f4bffd6db644555a48a1d063b7e5316 Pre-event Audio Recording Disabled Video Mask Disabled
46	10/13/2022 12:43:24.614 -0700	Select button pressed	
47	10/13/2022 12:43:24.644 -0700	Select button released	
48	10/13/2022 12:43:25.387 -0700	Marker added to video due to button	
49	10/13/2022 12:47:11.444 -0700	Event button pressed	
50	10/13/2022 12:47:14.450 -0700	Recording stopped due to button press	Battery 48% Video Count 2 GB Remaining 54.956 Evidence ID 7f4bffd6db644555a48a1d063b7e5316
51	10/13/2022 12:47:15.213 -0700	Event button released	
52	10/13/2022 12:47:15.267 -0700	Audio recording disabled due to video buffering default	
53	10/13/2022 13:25:34.037 -0700	Axon application connected to device	
54	10/13/2022 13:26:34.711 -0700	Title changed in video metadata from 'Axon Body 3 Video 2022-10-13 1243 X6030165T' to 'fight' using Axon application by BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (7828)	Evidence ID 7f4bffd6db644555a48a1d063b7e5316
55	10/13/2022 13:26:34.715 -0700	External ID '22100019247' added to video metadata using Axon application by BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (7828)	Evidence ID 7f4bffd6db644555a48a1d063b7e5316

DEVICE AUDIT TRAIL

Model: Axon Body 3
Serial Number: X6030165T

Date Range: 13 Oct 2022 - 13 Oct 2022
Document generated: 21 Nov 2022
Audit generated by: DOHERTY, TYLER

Item	Date/Time	Event	Additional Information
56	10/13/2022 13:26:34.718 -0700	Categories 'Use of Force' added to video metadata using Axon application by BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (7828)	Evidence ID 7f4bffd6db644555a48a1d063b7e5316
57	10/13/2022 13:26:34.721 -0700	Categories 'Suspect Injured' added to video metadata using Axon application by BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (7828)	Evidence ID 7f4bffd6db644555a48a1d063b7e5316
58	10/13/2022 13:26:34.727 -0700	Categories 'Crime Case - Felony' added to video metadata using Axon application by BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (7828)	Evidence ID 7f4bffd6db644555a48a1d063b7e5316
59	10/13/2022 13:26:34.730 -0700	Categories '148 PC or 69PC Charged' added to video metadata using Axon application by BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (7828)	Evidence ID 7f4bffd6db644555a48a1d063b7e5316
60	10/13/2022 13:27:43.672 -0700	External ID '2210019220' added to video metadata using Axon application by BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (7828)	Evidence ID 81122fa464554dd3b5b4f7d234d64c89
61	10/13/2022 13:27:43.675 -0700	Categories 'Contact - No Further Action' added to video metadata using Axon application by BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (7828)	Evidence ID 81122fa464554dd3b5b4f7d234d64c89
62	10/13/2022 13:31:10.626 -0700	Axon application disconnected from device	
63	10/13/2022 13:37:05.975 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 43% Video Count 2 GB Remaining 54.954 Firmware Version 1.23.20
64	10/13/2022 14:37:05.986 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 38% Video Count 2 GB Remaining 54.953 Firmware Version 1.23.20
65	10/13/2022 15:01:43.824 -0700	Volume up button pressed	
66	10/13/2022 15:01:43.975 -0700	Volume up button released	
67	10/13/2022 15:01:44.164 -0700	Select button pressed	
68	10/13/2022 15:01:44.169 -0700	Volume changed to very low from off using button	
69	10/13/2022 15:01:44.204 -0700	Select button released	
70	10/13/2022 15:17:48.679 -0700	Camera connected to Axon Dock X620010FP	Battery 35% Video Count 2 GB Remaining 54.955
71	10/13/2022 15:17:48.687 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 34% Video Count 2 GB Remaining 54.952 Firmware Version 1.23.20
72	10/13/2022 15:17:48.688 -0700	Audit log rolled	Battery 34% Video Count 2 GB Remaining 54.952
73	10/13/2022 15:17:49.404 -0700	Device connected to charger	Battery 34% Video Count 2 GB Remaining 54.952

DEVICE AUDIT TRAIL

Model: Axon Body 3
Serial Number: X6030165T

Date Range: 13 Oct 2022 - 13 Oct 2022
Document generated: 21 Nov 2022
Audit generated by: DOHERTY, TYLER

Item	Date/Time	Event	Additional Information
74	10/13/2022 15:18:05.009 -0700	Video upload started when camera was docked	Evidence ID 81122fa464554dd3b5b4f7d234d64c89
75	10/13/2022 15:18:19.041 -0700	Date/Time synced to 10/13/2022 03:18:19.04 PM from 10/13/2022 03:18:18.71 PM	
76	10/13/2022 15:18:20.068 -0700	Agency Wi-Fi Network list downloaded using Axon Dock connection	
77	10/13/2022 15:18:30.940 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
78	10/13/2022 15:18:31.443 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 35% Video Count 2 GB Remaining 54.955
79	10/13/2022 15:18:44.851 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
80	10/13/2022 15:18:45.369 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 35% Video Count 2 GB Remaining 54.955
81	10/13/2022 15:19:05.860 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
82	10/13/2022 15:19:06.496 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 35% Video Count 2 GB Remaining 54.955
83	10/13/2022 15:19:25.962 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
84	10/13/2022 15:19:26.612 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 35% Video Count 2 GB Remaining 54.955
85	10/13/2022 15:19:36.104 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
86	10/13/2022 15:19:36.755 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 35% Video Count 2 GB Remaining 54.955
87	10/13/2022 15:20:05.219 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
88	10/13/2022 15:20:05.875 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 35% Video Count 2 GB Remaining 54.955
89	10/13/2022 15:20:29.521 -0700	Video successfully uploaded from device when docked	Evidence ID 81122fa464554dd3b5b4f7d234d64c89
90	10/13/2022 15:20:30.175 -0700	Video deleted from device when docked	Battery 36% Video Count 1 GB Remaining 55.103 Evidence ID 81122fa464554dd3b5b4f7d234d64c89
91	10/13/2022 15:20:30.234 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 36% Video Count 1 GB Remaining 55.104 Firmware Version 1.23.20
92	10/13/2022 15:20:30.235 -0700	Audit log rolled	Battery 36% Video Count 1 GB Remaining 55.104
93	10/13/2022 15:20:31.078 -0700	Video upload started when camera was docked	Evidence ID 7f4bffd6db644555a48a1d063b7e5316

DEVICE AUDIT TRAIL

Model: Axon Body 3
Serial Number: X6030165T

Date Range: 13 Oct 2022 - 13 Oct 2022
Document generated: 21 Nov 2022
Audit generated by: DOHERTY, TYLER

Item	Date/Time	Event	Additional Information
94	10/13/2022 15:20:34.342 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
95	10/13/2022 15:20:35.016 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 36% Video Count 1 GB Remaining 55.104
96	10/13/2022 15:21:02.499 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
97	10/13/2022 15:21:03.156 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 36% Video Count 1 GB Remaining 55.104
98	10/13/2022 15:21:07.705 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
99	10/13/2022 15:21:08.346 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 36% Video Count 1 GB Remaining 55.104
100	10/13/2022 15:21:14.845 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
101	10/13/2022 15:21:15.473 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 36% Video Count 1 GB Remaining 55.104
102	10/13/2022 15:21:16.939 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
103	10/13/2022 15:21:17.622 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 36% Video Count 1 GB Remaining 55.104
104	10/13/2022 15:22:56.117 -0700	Video successfully uploaded from device when docked	Evidence ID 7f4bffd6db644555a48a1d063b7e5316
105	10/13/2022 15:22:56.846 -0700	Video deleted from device when docked	Battery 37% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.286 Evidence ID 7f4bffd6db644555a48a1d063b7e5316
106	10/13/2022 15:22:56.901 -0700	Audit log rolled	Battery 37% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.286
107	10/13/2022 15:22:56.901 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 37% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.286 Firmware Version 1.23.20
108	10/13/2022 15:22:57.009 -0700	Audit log rolled	Battery 37% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.286
109	10/13/2022 15:22:57.009 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 37% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.286 Firmware Version 1.23.20
110	10/13/2022 16:22:57.006 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 70% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.285 Firmware Version 1.23.20
111	10/13/2022 16:31:09.451 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	

DEVICE AUDIT TRAIL

Model: Axon Body 3
Serial Number: X6030165T

Date Range: 13 Oct 2022 - 13 Oct 2022
Document generated: 21 Nov 2022
Audit generated by: DOHERTY, TYLER

Item	Date/Time	Event	Additional Information
112	10/13/2022 16:31:10.107 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 74% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.285
113	10/13/2022 16:31:37.628 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
114	10/13/2022 16:31:38.268 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 74% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.285
115	10/13/2022 16:32:05.760 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
116	10/13/2022 16:32:06.417 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 74% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.285
117	10/13/2022 16:32:24.888 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
118	10/13/2022 16:32:25.533 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 75% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.285
119	10/13/2022 17:22:57.012 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 96% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.285 Firmware Version 1.23.20
120	10/13/2022 17:32:58.922 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
121	10/13/2022 17:32:59.559 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 98% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.285
122	10/13/2022 18:22:57.021 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.286 Firmware Version 1.23.20
123	10/13/2022 19:16:30.265 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
124	10/13/2022 19:16:30.878 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.286
125	10/13/2022 19:18:19.048 -0700	Date/Time synced to 10/13/2022 07:18:19.04 PM from 10/13/2022 07:18:19.06 PM	
126	10/13/2022 19:22:56.689 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.286 Firmware Version 1.23.20
127	10/13/2022 20:14:59.578 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
128	10/13/2022 20:15:00.205 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.286
129	10/13/2022 20:22:56.696 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.286 Firmware Version 1.23.20
130	10/13/2022 21:22:11.085 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	

DEVICE AUDIT TRAIL

Model: Axon Body 3
Serial Number: X6030165T

Date Range: 13 Oct 2022 - 13 Oct 2022
Document generated: 21 Nov 2022
Audit generated by: DOHERTY, TYLER

Item	Date/Time	Event	Additional Information
131	10/13/2022 21:22:11.735 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.285
132	10/13/2022 21:22:56.708 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.285 Firmware Version 1.23.20
133	10/13/2022 22:22:56.720 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.285 Firmware Version 1.23.20
134	10/13/2022 22:25:04.605 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
135	10/13/2022 22:25:05.224 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.285
136	10/13/2022 22:25:07.689 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
137	10/13/2022 22:25:08.283 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.285
138	10/13/2022 23:18:19.047 -0700	Date/Time synced to 10/13/2022 11:18:19.04 PM from 10/13/2022 11:18:18.71 PM	
139	10/13/2022 23:22:56.719 -0700	Audit log created	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.287 Firmware Version 1.23.20
140	10/13/2022 23:34:44.943 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded	
141	10/13/2022 23:34:45.559 -0700	Audit log successfully uploaded and deleted from device	Battery 100% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.287

San Diego Police Dept. - CA

San Diego, CA, US

Document generated: 21 Nov 2022 - 13:25:40 -08:00 by DOHERTY, TYLER(6372)

EVIDENCE AUDIT TRAIL

Evidence

Evidence ID	**22100019247**
Categories	**Suspect Injured** **Crime Case - Felony** **148 PC or 69PC Charged** **Use of Force**
Title	**fight**
Document Checksum	**Sha2-62f4c02b2c75619ec7b50b17968281f3b58417f8f31c08c3d07e90eee1d946c8**
Record Start	**13 Oct 2022 12:43:23**
Uploaded	**13 Oct 2022 15:22:56**
Uploader	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)**
Unique ID	**7F4BFFD6DB644555A48A1D063B7E5316**

Source

Device Type	**Axon Body 3**
Device Name	**X6030165T**
Serial Number	**X6030165T**

Usage

Page views	**15**
File downloads	**1**
Video playbacks	**7**
Last Viewed Or Downloaded On	**21 Nov 2022 13:25:39**

#	Date	Time	User	Activity
1	13 Oct 2022	12:43:23 (-07:00)	**System**	Recording started due to button press Pre-event audio recording: Disabled Video mask: Disabled
2	13 Oct 2022	12:47:14 (-07:00)	**System**	Recording stopped due to button press
3	13 Oct 2022	13:26:34 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Evidence title changed in video metadata from 'Axon Body 3 Video 2022-10-13 1243 X6030165T' to 'fight' using Axon application
4	13 Oct 2022	13:26:34 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	External ID '22100019247' added to video metadata using Axon application
5	13 Oct 2022	13:26:34 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Category 'Use of Force' added to video metadata using Axon application
6	13 Oct 2022	13:26:34 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Category 'Suspect Injured' added to video metadata using Axon application
7	13 Oct 2022	13:26:34 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Category 'Crime Case - Felony' added to video metadata using Axon application
8	13 Oct 2022	13:26:34 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Category '148 PC or 69PC Charged' added to video metadata using Axon application
9	13 Oct 2022	15:20:31 (-07:00)	**System**	Evidence upload started when camera was docked
10	13 Oct 2022	15:20:31 (-07:00)	**System**	Evidence Record Created
11	13 Oct 2022	15:20:31 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	External ID '22100019247' added

#	Date	Time	User	Activity
12	13 Oct 2022	15:20:31 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Evidence title added: 'fight'
13	13 Oct 2022	15:20:31 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Category '148 PC or 69PC Charged' Added
14	13 Oct 2022	15:20:31 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Category 'Crime Case - Felony' Added
15	13 Oct 2022	15:20:31 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Category 'Use of Force' Added
16	13 Oct 2022	15:20:31 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Category 'Suspect Injured' Added
17	13 Oct 2022	15:22:56 (-07:00)	**System**	Marker Added
18	13 Oct 2022	15:22:56 (-07:00)	**System**	Evidence Uploaded with Checksum. Computed Checksum: TREE-1024k 08269d4902fddf8f02cde1396c7e806bfe2cc920d30ea18e cb987a52bc6713d102
19	13 Oct 2022	15:22:56 (-07:00)	**System**	Evidence Authenticity Validated. Device Serial Number: X6030165T
20	13 Oct 2022	15:22:56 (-07:00)	**System**	Evidence successfully uploaded when camera was docked
21	13 Oct 2022	15:22:56 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Category 'Use of Force' Added
22	13 Oct 2022	15:22:56 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Category 'Suspect Injured' Added
23	13 Oct 2022	15:22:56 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Category 'Crime Case - Felony' Added
24	13 Oct 2022	15:22:56 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Category '148 PC or 69PC Charged' Added
25	13 Oct 2022	15:22:56 (-07:00)	**System**	Evidence automatically deleted from device after successful upload when docked
26	13 Oct 2022	15:22:58 (-07:00)	**System**	Evidence Checksum Recomputed. Computed Checksums: TREE-1024k 08269d4902fddf8f02cde1396c7e806bfe2cc920d30ea18e cb987a52bc6713d102 SHA-256 62f4c02b2c75619ec7b50b17968281f3b58417f8f31c08c3 d07e90eee1d946c8
27	13 Oct 2022	23:23:56 (-07:00)	[redacted]	Evidence Record Added to Case '22100019247'
28	13 Oct 2022	23:40:18 (-07:00)		Evidence copy created at agency San Diego County District Attorney Office
29	18 Oct 2022	07:49:55 (-07:00)		Evidence Record Accessed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.111
30	18 Oct 2022	07:49:57 (-07:00)		Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.111
31	18 Oct 2022	07:50:05 (-07:00)		Evidence Record Streamed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.111
32	18 Oct 2022	10:17:20 (-07:00)		Evidence Record Accessed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112
33	18 Oct 2022	10:17:22 (-07:00)		Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112

#	Date	Time	User	Activity
34	18 Oct 2022	10:17:44 (-07:00)	[redacted]	Evidence Record Streamed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112
35	18 Oct 2022	14:37:19 (-07:00)		Evidence Record Accessed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.111
36	18 Oct 2022	14:37:22 (-07:00)		Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.111
37	18 Oct 2022	14:37:34 (-07:00)		Evidence Record Streamed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.111
38	19 Oct 2022	08:43:34 (-07:00)	DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372) Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Evidence Record Accessed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112
39	19 Oct 2022	08:43:36 (-07:00)	DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372) Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
40	19 Oct 2022	08:43:43 (-07:00)	DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372) Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Evidence Record Streamed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112
41	19 Oct 2022	08:46:36 (-07:00)	[redacted]	Evidence Record Accessed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112
42	19 Oct 2022	08:46:38 (-07:00)		Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
43	19 Oct 2022	08:46:43 (-07:00)		Annotation 'inv' Added
44	19 Oct 2022	08:46:46 (-07:00)		Evidence Record Streamed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112
45	19 Oct 2022	09:32:06 (-07:00)	DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372) Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Evidence Record Accessed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112
46	19 Oct 2022	09:32:08 (-07:00)	DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372) Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
47	19 Oct 2022	09:32:16 (-07:00)	DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372) Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Evidence Record Downloaded; Internal Record ID: FILE:110218875DC54EC7A11B7CC6F83EFCF8@BB5723A27AA64EC78F407DBC90FCDFD6 Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112
48	19 Oct 2022	09:34:32 (-07:00)	DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372) Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
49	19 Oct 2022	09:35:33 (-07:00)	DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372) Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
50	19 Oct 2022	10:29:51 (-07:00)	DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372) Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Evidence Record Accessed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112
51	19 Oct 2022	10:29:53 (-07:00)	DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372) Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
52	19 Oct 2022	11:21:18 (-07:00)	DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372) Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Evidence Record Accessed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112
53	19 Oct 2022	11:21:20 (-07:00)	DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372) Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
54	19 Oct 2022	11:27:52 (-07:00)	DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372) Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
55	19 Oct 2022	11:27:53 (-07:00)	DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372) Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Evidence Record Accessed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112

#	Date	Time	User	Activity
56	19 Oct 2022	11:46:41 (-07:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
57	19 Oct 2022	11:46:54 (-07:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Evidence Record Accessed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112
58	19 Oct 2022	11:46:55 (-07:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
59	19 Oct 2022	12:01:04 (-07:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
60	19 Oct 2022	12:01:06 (-07:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Evidence Record Accessed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112
61	19 Oct 2022	12:01:18 (-07:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
62	19 Oct 2022	12:01:24 (-07:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
63	19 Oct 2022	12:01:47 (-07:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
64	19 Oct 2022	12:07:42 (-07:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
65	19 Oct 2022	12:07:44 (-07:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Evidence Record Accessed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112
66	19 Oct 2022	12:07:56 (-07:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
67	19 Oct 2022	12:08:15 (-07:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
68	19 Oct 2022	15:06:33 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Evidence Record Accessed. Client IP Address: 12.87.31.154
69	19 Oct 2022	15:06:35 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 12.87.31.154
70	19 Oct 2022	15:07:25 (-07:00)	**BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC (Badge ID: 7828)** Username: dbloemendaal User ID: 12e30276794f457784aa7acc4fba59f7	Evidence Record Streamed. Client IP Address: 12.87.31.154
71	16 Nov 2022	14:02:25 (-08:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Evidence Record Accessed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112
72	16 Nov 2022	14:02:27 (-08:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112
73	16 Nov 2022	14:02:35 (-08:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Evidence Record Streamed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112
74	21 Nov 2022	13:25:32 (-08:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Evidence Record Accessed. Client IP Address: 156.29.5.112
75	21 Nov 2022	13:25:34 (-08:00)	**DOHERTY, TYLER (Badge ID: 6372)** Username: tdoherty User ID: bea2707f0a6645ea8747da2327505cba	Media File Buffered by System at Page Load. Client IP 156.29.5.112

TASER Training Version 20.2

This document is not needed if class registered in Axon Academy (email training@taser.com for details)

TASER® CEW User Applicant Certification Form
New User Certification

PRINT LEGIBLY AND CLEARLY PLEASE!

Which CEWs were you certified on (Check all that apply): ☐ M26 ☐ X26 ☒ X26P ☐ X2 ☐ X3

Name: DOMINIC BLOEMENDAAL Agency: SDPD

Email: [redacted] Phone: (619) 531-2000

Address/State/Zip: 1401 BROADWAY SAN DIEGO, CA 92101

By signing below, I hereby acknowledge receipt of TASER's Product Warnings. I understand that I must Read and understand these warnings PR[redacted]rills required by this certification Course.

Student Signature: *(Required)* [redacted]

TASER Instructor Use Only

Instructor is required to verify that applicant has successfully completed all CEW User Certification requirements.

X Test must be completed with score of 100% (remediate as needed)
Completed TASER CEW online course

X Review entire Version 20.2 User Certification Course PowerPoint Presentation(s) & training bulletins (if applicable) Completed TASER CEW online course

X Demonstrate safe handling of CEW to include: proper finger positioning, aiming and deploying at preferred target area and while loading / unloading

X Deploy a minimum of 2 live cartridges (for each weapon certification), placing both probes in preferred target zones

X Perform a proper warning ARC

_____ (X2 & X3) Utilize the ARC switch to re-energize deployed probes

I hereby certify that the above-named applicant has satisfactorily completed all components of the TASER User Certification training program and is hereby certified as a user of this system for one year.

Attested by Certifying Instructor: [redacted] [redacted]
(Print Name) (Signature)

Date: 11-26-2014 Location of Training: NTC

Do not Send this Form to TASER Training
Keep this Form for Department Training Records

Instructor and User:
Warnings, Risks & Release Agreement
(For Use Only When Taking a TASER CEW Exposure)

mark, burning, or scarring may occur with a CEW with multiple cartridge bays when used in drive-stun or three-point deployment modes.

WARNING **Penetration Injury.** The TASER probe has a small dart point which may cause a penetration injury to a blood vessel or internal organ, including lung, bone, or nerve. The probe or dart point (which may detach or break) can puncture or become embedded into a bone, organ, or tissue, which may require immediate medical care, surgical removal, or may result in scarring, infection, or other serious injury.

SAFETY INFORMATION: CEW DEPLOYMENT AND USE

WARNING CEWs and cartridges are weapons, and as with any weapon follow safe weapon-handling practices and store your CEW securely. Significant differences exist between different TASER CEW models. Before using any CEW, including a multi-shot CEW, ensure you understand the functioning and effects of that model. Follow practices in Axon's TASER warnings and training materials and any additional requirements in your agency's Guidance. Failure to follow the warnings may result in death or serious injury to the user or others.

WARNING **Confusing Handgun with CEW.** Confusing a handgun with a CEW could result in death or serious injury. Learn the differences in the physical feel and holstering characteristics between your CEW and your handgun to help avoid confusion. Always follow your agency's Guidance and training.

WARNING **Trigger Hold-Back Model Differences.** If the trigger is held back, most CEWs will continue to discharge until the trigger is released or the power source is expended. With an APPM installed, the X2 and X26P can be programmed to stop a CEW discharge at 5 seconds *even if the user continues to hold back the trigger*, requiring a deliberate action to re-energize the deployed cartridge. Know your model and how it works. Avoid repeated, prolonged, or continuous CEW applications when practicable.

SAFETY INFORMATION: CEW EFFECTIVENESS

WARNING **Subject Not Incapacitated.** An ineffective CEW application could increase the risk of death or serious injury to the user, the subject, or others. If a CEW does not operate as intended or if subject is not incapacitated, disengage, redeploy the CEW, or use other force options in accordance with agency Guidance. A CEW's effects may be limited by many factors, including absence of delivered electrical charge due to misses, clothing disconnect, intermittent connection, or wire breakage; probe locations or spread; subject's muscle mass; or movement. Some of the factors that may influence the effectiveness of CEW use in effecting or achieving control of a subject include:

Subject may not be fully incapacitated. Even though a subject may be affected by a CEW in one part of his body, the subject may maintain full muscle control of other portions of his body. Control and restrain a subject as soon as possible, and be prepared in case the subject is not fully incapacitated.

Subject may recover immediately. A subject receiving a CEW discharge may immediately regain physical or cognitive abilities upon cessation of the delivered CEW discharge. Control and restrain a subject as soon as possible, and be prepared in case the subject immediately recovers.

Drive-stun mode is for pain compliance only. The use of a handheld CEW in drive-stun mode is painful, but generally does not cause incapacitation. Drive-stun use may not be effective on emotionally disturbed persons or others who may not respond to pain due to a mind-body disconnect. Avoid using repeated drive-stuns on such individuals if compliance is not achieved.

SAFETY INFORMATION: GENERAL PRECAUTIONS

WARNING **Unintentional CEW Deployment or Discharge Hazard.** Unintentional CEW activation or unexpected cartridge discharge could result in death or serious injury to the user, subject, or others.

Avoid static electricity. Keep cartridge away from sources of static electricity. Static electricity can cause a CEW or X26, X26P, or M26 cartridge to discharge unexpectedly, possibly resulting in serious injury.

Keep body parts away from front of CEW or cartridge. Always keep your hands and body parts away from the front of the CEW and cartridge. If the CEW discharges unexpectedly you could be injured.

****

IF YOU HAVE A CONDITION OR PRE-EXISTING INJURY THAT COULD BE AGGRAVATED BY A TASER CEW EXPOSURE, NOTIFY YOUR INSTRUCTOR AND DO NOT PARTICIPATE.

Please check the appropriate box:

☐ **I do not have injuries, physical or mental conditions that could be aggravated by TASER CEW exposure.**

☐ **I have pre-existing injuries or conditions that could be aggravated by TASER CEW exposure.**

LIABILITY RELEASE AGREEMENT

I acknowledge and agree as follows:

I have read, fully understand and accept the risks. I have read, fully understand, and accept the risks as stated in this document and Axon's current warnings ("Risks") and that these Risks exist whether or not I have pre-existing injuries. With full knowledge of the Risks, I voluntarily agree to receive a TASER CEW exposure.

Axon does not require a CEW Exposure. I understand that Axon does not require a CEW exposure as part of Instructor or User training. It is up to each agency to determine whether its instructors and users experience a CEW exposure as part of training and it is exclusively my decision to voluntarily experience a CEW exposure.

I accept the Risks. Understanding the Risks, I assume all Risks inherent in the CEW exposure, whether known or unknown, foreseen or unforeseen.

Release and hold harmless. I release and hold harmless Axon, its agents, officers, directors, employees, and distributors, my instructor, my law enforcement agency, and the host agency (collectively "Released Parties"), from any and all claims, including but not limited to, claims for strict liability, breach of warranty, failure to warn, or any other theory of liability whatsoever even if due to the NEGLIGENCE or GROSS NEGLIGENCE of the Released Parties. I specifically waive any statutory rights I may have regarding the release of unknown claims.

I agree no one will sue Released Parties. I promise that neither I nor anyone on my behalf will ever sue or bring any other legal action or claim against the Released Parties for anything related to my TASER CEW exposure.

Workers' Compensation Rights. This release does not waive any rights I may have under Workers' Compensation Laws. I agree that any recovery under Workers' Compensation Laws does not change, extend or enlarge the waivers and protections inherent in this agreement.

This agreement supersedes any other representation. This release supersedes any other statement, agreement or representation, written or oral, concerning my TASER CEW exposure. I affirm that this is my entire agreement with Axon and I am not relying on any representation by my instructor or agency inconsistent with Axon's TASER warnings and the Risks set forth in this document or in Axon's TASER training materials.

This agreement is a binding contract. I intend this form be legally binding upon me, my heirs, executors, administrators, attorneys and assigns. This agreement is contractual and not a mere recital. If any part of this agreement is held vague, invalid, or otherwise unenforceable, the rest of the agreement will continue in full force and effect.

I am competent to be bound by this agreement. I affirm that I am competent to enter into and be bound by this agreement; that I have read and understand this Liability Release Agreement in its entirety; that I have not been induced to sign this agreement by any promise or representation; and that I sign it voluntarily and of my own free will. By signing below I understand that I am giving up certain legal rights, including the right to recover dama[redacted]

Date 11/26/18 Signed [redacted]

Printed Name DOMINIC BLOEMENDAAL

Agency SDPD

This signed, completed form shall be retained by the agency or employer for the duration of the student's employment with the organization.
Agencies or employers may opt to retain the form longer than this time frame as deemed necessary.
Questions should be directed to legal@axon.com

Instructor and User:
Warnings, Risks & Release Agreement
(For Use Only When Taking a TASER CEW Exposure)

Axon Enterprise, Inc. (Axon) TASER conducted electrical weapon (CEW) training courses are physically strenuous and there is a risk of personal injury. **BEFORE any TASER CEW exposure, each volunteer MUST read the most current TASER CEW warnings and read and sign this form.** This document incorporates all current TASER CEW warnings by reference. This document is effective **May 18, 2017**, and supersedes all prior revisions.

IMPORTANT SAFETY AND HEALTH INFORMATION

Read, understand, and follow all current instructions, warnings, and relevant TASER training materials before experiencing a CEW exposure or before participating in TASER CEW training. Failure to do so could increase the risk of death or serious injury to the trainee, user, force recipient, or others. Always follow all current instructions, warnings, and TASER training materials to minimize CEW risks.

TRAINING CEW EXPOSURES

Voluntary. Axon does not require a CEW exposure as a condition for Instructor or User Certification. It is up to each agency to determine whether its instructors and users experience a CEW exposure as part of training. If CEW exposures are performed, they must be limited to a single exposure not exceeding 5 seconds and performed in accordance with Axon's guidelines and by a Certified TASER Instructor.[1]

Probe Deployments to Back Only. Cartridge deployed probes must be to the back of the torso or back of the legs only.

Spotters. All persons taking a CEW exposure must be properly supported by spotters to prevent falls unless lying down on a mat. Each spotter must hold the person and support the arm under the armpit to prevent arm or shoulder injuries.

Eye Protection. Eye protection is required for the CEW operator, observers, spotters and the person being exposed to any probe deployment.

SAFETY INFORMATION, CEW RISKS AND RISK AVOIDANCE

WARNING **Muscle Contraction or Strain-Related Injury.** CEWs in probe-deployment mode can cause muscle contractions that may result in injury, including bone fractures. CEWs in probe-deployment mode can cause muscle contractions resulting in injuries similar to those from physical exertion, athletics, or sports, including hernia rupture, dislocation, tear, or other injury to soft tissue, organ, muscle, tendon, ligament, cartilage, disc, nerve, bone, or joint; or injury or damage associated with or to orthopedic or other hardware. Fractures to bone, including compression fracture to vertebrae, may occur. These injuries may be more serious and more likely to occur in people with pre-existing injuries, orthopedic hardware, conditions or special susceptibilities, including pregnancy; low bone density; spinal injury; or previous muscle, disc, ligament, joint, bone, or tendon damage or surgery. Such injuries may also occur in drive-stun applications or when a person reacts to the CEW deployment by making a rapid or unexpected movement.

WARNING **Secondary Injury.** The loss of control resulting from a CEW exposure may result in injuries due to a fall or other uncontrolled movement. When possible, avoid using a CEW when secondary injuries are likely.

Seizure. Repetitive stimuli (e.g., flashing light or electrical stimuli) can induce seizure in some people, which may result in death or serious injury. This risk may be increased in a person with epilepsy, a seizure history, or if electrical stimuli pass through the head. Emotional stress and physical exertion, both likely in incidents involving CEW and other uses of force, are reported as seizure-precipitating factors.

Fainting. A person may experience an exaggerated response to a CEW exposure, or threatened exposure, which may result in fainting or falling.

Muscle contraction, incapacitation, or startle response. CEW use may cause loss of control from muscle contraction, incapacitation, or startle response.

WARNING **Cumulative Effects.** CEW exposure causes certain effects, including physiologic and metabolic changes, stress, and pain. In some individuals, the risk of death or serious injury may increase with cumulative CEW exposure. Repeated, prolonged, or continuous CEW applications may contribute to cumulative exhaustion, stress, cardiac, physiologic, metabolic, respiratory, and associated medical risks which could increase the risk of death or serious injury. Minimize repeated, continuous, or simultaneous exposures.

Physiologic and Metabolic Effects. CEW use causes physiologic and/or metabolic effects that may increase the risk of death or serious injury. These effects include changes in blood chemistry, blood pressure, respiration, heart rate and rhythm, and adrenaline and stress hormones, among others. In human studies of electrical discharge from a single CEW of up to 15 seconds, the effects on acid/base balance, creatine kinase, electrolytes, stress hormones, and vital signs were comparable to or less than changes expected from physical exertion similar to struggling, resistance, fighting, fleeing, or from the application of some other force tools or techniques. Some individuals may be particularly susceptible to the effects of CEW use. These susceptible individuals include the elderly, those with heart conditions, asthma or other pulmonary conditions, and people suffering from excited delirium, profound agitation, severe exhaustion, drug intoxication or chronic drug abuse, and/or over-exertion from physical struggle. In a physiologically or metabolically compromised person, any physiologic or metabolic change may cause or contribute to sudden death.

Stress and Pain. CEW use, anticipation of use, or response to use can cause startle, panic, fear, anger, rage, temporary discomfort, pain, or stress which may be injurious or fatal to some people.

Minimize the number and duration of CEW exposures. Most human CEW lab testing has not exceeded 15 seconds of CEW application, and none has exceeded 45 seconds. Use the shortest duration of CEW exposure objectively reasonable to accomplish lawful objectives, and reassess the subject's behavior, reaction, and resistance before initiating or continuing the exposure. If a CEW deployment is ineffective in incapacitating a subject or achieving compliance, consider alternative control measures in conjunction with or separate from the CEW.

Avoid simultaneous CEW exposures. Do not use multiple CEWs or multiple completed circuits at the same time without justification. Multiple CEWs or multiple completed circuits at the same time could have cumulative effects and result in increased risks.

Control and restrain immediately. Begin control and restraint procedures, including during CEW exposure (cuffing under power), as soon as reasonably safe and practical to minimize CEW cumulative effects and the total duration of exertion and stress experienced by the subject.

Avoid touching probes/wires during CEW discharge. Controlling and restraining a subject during CEW exposure may put the CEW user and those assisting at risk of accidental or unintended shock. Avoid touching the probes and wires and the areas between the probes during the electrical discharge.

WARNING **Cardiac Capture.** CEW exposure in the chest area near the heart has a low probability of inducing extra heart beats (cardiac capture). In rare circumstances, cardiac capture could lead to cardiac arrest. When possible, avoid targeting the frontal chest area near the heart to reduce the risk of potential serious injury or death. Cardiac capture may be more likely in children and thin adults because the heart is usually closer to the CEW-delivered discharge (the dart-to-heart distance). Serious complications could also arise in those with impaired heart function or in those with an implanted cardiac pacemaker or defibrillator.

Use preferred target areas. The preferred target areas are below the neck area for back shots and the lower center mass (below chest) for front shots. The preferred target areas increase dart-to-heart distance and reduce cardiac risks. Back shots are preferable to front shots when practicable.

Avoid sensitive areas. When practicable, avoid intentionally targeting the CEW on sensitive areas of the body such as the face, eyes, head, throat, chest area (area of the heart), breast, groin, genitals, or known pre-existing injury areas.

SAFETY INFORMATION, INJURY OR INFECTION

WARNING **Eye Injury Hazard.** A TASER probe, electrode, or electrical discharge that contacts or comes close to an eye can result in serious injury, including permanent vision loss. DO NOT intentionally aim a CEW, including the LASER, at the eye of a person or animal without justification.

WARNING **Probe or Electrode Injury, Puncture, Scarring, or Infection Hazard.** CEW use may cause a permanent mark, burn, scar, puncture, or other skin or tissue damage. Infection could result in death or serious injury. Scarring risk may be increased when using a CEW in drive-stun mode. Increased skin irritation, abrasion,

[1] A Certified TASER Instructor is not an Axon agent, but maintains a current TASER instructor certification and complies with Axon's most current training requirements, materials and license agreement. Representations inconsistent with this document made by any Certified TASER Instructor are expressly disclaimed.

DEVICE AUDIT TRAIL

Model: Axon Body 3
Serial Number: X6030015R

Audit Report Date Range: 21 Feb 2024 - 21 Feb 2024
Document generated: 21 Feb 2024
Audit generated by: [redacted]

Item	Date/Time	Event	Additional Information
1	02/21/2024 12:43:54.787 -0800	Device powered on using button	Battery 90% Video Count 0 GB Remaining 55.272
2	02/21/2024 12:43:57.518 -0800	Audio recording disabled due to video buffering default	
3	02/21/2024 12:45:57.777 -0800	Axon application connected to device	
4	02/21/2024 12:47:05.864 -0800	Axon application disconnected from device	

SAN DIEGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

The following items are currently in this Location:
7828 - BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC M (POII)

Location: ***307854***

Item Barcode	Description	UPC	Verified
0316570/0316543	Equipment / VEST BALLISTIC	Model:BLK ALPHA ELITE Make:POINT BLANK Size:46R/48S1 Qty:1.00	
0470870118	Equipment / FLASHLIGHT	Model:UltraStinger LED Make:Streamlight Qty:1.00	
123012	Equipment / PEPPER SPRAY	Model:MK-4 Make:DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY Qty:1.00	
205CKT2957	Radio / RADIO	Model:XTS2500 Make:Motorola Qty:1.00	
211329-0706	Equipment / NARCAN	Model:4 mg Make:ADAPT PHARMA Qty:1.00	
211329-1198	Equipment / NARCAN	Model:4 mg Make:ADAPT PHARMA Qty:1.00	
325885	Equipment / PEPPER SPRAY	Model:MK-6 Make:DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY Qty:1.00	
336837	Equipment / PEPPER SPRAY	Model:MK-6 Make:DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY Qty:1.00	
	Equipment / HANDCUFFS	Model:700 Make:PEERLESS Qty:1.00	UPC: CUFFS001
85-0834-0137	Equipment / CORE MED KIT	Model:85-0834 Make:NORTH AMERICAN RESCU Qty:1.00	
85-0834-0524	Equipment / CORE MED KIT	Model:85-0834 Make:NORTH AMERICAN RESCU Qty:1.00	
BCHL118	Firearm /	Gun Make:GLOCK Caliber:9MM Ser #[redacted] Qty:1.00	

SAN DIEGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

Item Barcode	Description	UPC	Verified
The following items are currently in this Location: 7828 - BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC M (POII)		Location: ***307854***	
C3105ECMT	Equipment / TASER CARTRIDGE	Model:21 ft. Cartridge Make:Axon Qty:1.00	
CCQSH8FRGGK6	Equipment / VIEWER	Model:IPOD TOUCH Make:APPLE Qty:1.00	
V845216V	Firearm /	Gun Make:REMINGTON Caliber:12GA Ser #:V845216V Qty:1.00	
X12005WVA	Equipment / TASER	Model:X26P Make:Axon Qty:1.00	
X6030165T	Equipment / BODY CAM	Model:BODY3 Make:AXON Qty:1.00	

Transaction History For #7828 BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC

TranID	TranDate:	AutoID	Type	Make/Model	Serial	TranNote:	Audit:	NextTransfer
150599	6/4/2018	5039592	TASER	TASER INTL/X26P	X12005WVA		[redacted]	
150600	6/4/2018	5045686	CAMERA	CANON/ELPH 190 IS	452061002187			1/20/2022 OPS
150601	6/4/2018	5047427	HANDCUFFS	PEERLESS/700	504046			
150602	6/4/2018	5050072	FLASHLIGHT	STREAMLIGHT/UltraStinger LED	0470870118			
151077	6/14/2018	5046315	HANDGUN	GLOCK/17	[redacted]			
152993	6/18/2018	9411	Radio	Motorola/XTS2500	205CKT2957			
157837	9/10/2018	5053086	VEST BALLISTIC	POINT BLANK/BLK ALPHA ELITE	0316570/0316543			
161336	11/15/2018	5052641	PEPPER SPRAY	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY/MK-4	123012			
161337	11/15/2018	5053456	TASER CARTRIDGE	TASER INTL/21ft cartridge	C3105ECMT			
161519	11/16/2018	5053158	VIEWER	APPLE/IPOD TOUCH	CCQSH8FRGGK6			
161521	11/16/2018	5052206	BODY CAM	AXON/BODY2	X81104080			2/19/2021 PART
170563	4/19/2019	5052632	PEPPER SPRAY	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY/MK-6	325885			
172359	5/15/2019	5006728	SHOTGUN	REMINGTON/870	V845216V			
177125	8/28/2019	5054428	CORE MED KIT	NORTH AMERICAN RESCU/85-0834	85-0834-0137			
181705	9/25/2019	5063317	ACTIVE SHOOTER	POINT BLANK/10260 PLATE	24300143/24300148			10/9/2019 7950
195535	6/25/2020	5065835	CORE MED KIT	NORTH AMERICAN RESCU/85-0834	85-0834-0524			

Transaction History For #7828 BLOEMENDAAL, DOMINIC

TranID	TranDate:	AutoID	Type	Make/Model	Serial	TranNote:	Audit:	NextTransfer
208348	2/10/2021	5071010	BODY CAM	AXON/BODY3	X6030165T		[redacted]	
227886	1/20/2022	5076577	PEPPER SPRAY	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY/MK-6	336837			
235808	4/21/2022	5078386	NARCAN	ADAPT PHARMA/4 mg	211329-0706			
235810	4/21/2022	5078878	NARCAN	ADAPT PHARMA/4 mg	211329-1198			

SAN DIEGO POLICE DEPARTMENT
Property Report

Incident Number: **22100019247** Case Number: **22044558**
Suspect: **,** DOB:

BarCode	Item #	Item Type	Description
11408583	1	CD / DVD	- Photos of scene

Recovered By: [redacted]
Date Recovered: **10/13/2022**
Recovery Address / Location: **3001 Clairemont Drive**

Impounded By: [redacted] 10/13/22 15:56

BarCode	Item #	Item Type	Description
11408584	2	TASER CARTRIDGE	- taser probes removed at hospital

Recovered By: [redacted]
Date Recovered: **10/13/2022**
Recovery Address / Location: **3001 Clairemont Drive**

Impounded By: [redacted] 10/13/22 15:57

NotesLog entries:

PR- PACKAGING DETAILS 10/17/2022 7:57:09AM [redacted]

Item received sealed as is in envelope

PR- PACKAGING DETAILS 10/17/2022 9:16:03AM [redacted]

Another Item 2 received sealed as is in small paper bag

PR- COMMENTS 10/18/2022 10:25:10AM [redacted]

[redacted]

Regarding E22100019247-2, barcode 11408584 (taser probes removed at hospital) impounded 10/17/22; you impounded 2 items, one in an envelope & the other in a small paper bag having the same barcode & description labels. Are they both the same or different? Please contact me for clarification. Thank you.

[redacted]
Property and Evidence Unit
[redacted]

PR- COMMENTS 10/24/2022 6:35:36AM [redacted]

Yes they are both related to the taser probes. Thank you.

[redacted]
SDPD Northern Division
Harbor Unit

BarCode	Item #	Item Type	Description
11408589	3	DRUG PARAPHERNALIA	- One pipe with bulbous end with black residue

Recovered By: [redacted]
Date Recovered: **10/13/2022**
Recovery Address / Location: **3001 Clairemont Drive Black Backpack**

Impounded By: [redacted] 10/13/22 16:35

SAN DIEGO POLICE DEPARTMENT
Property Report

Incident Number: **22100019247** Case Number: **22044558**

Suspect: [redacted] DOB:

BarCode	Item #	Item Type	Description

NotesLog entries:

COMMENT 10/18/2022 1:18:40PM [redacted]

Trunarc Presumtive Test
Scan # 247
GW: 1.20g
1 clear zip plastic bag containing lined paper and crystalline material

Narcotics Vault 10/18/2022 1:51:23PM [redacted]

ITEM WAS TRUNARC

11408591 4 DRUGS - See Drug Type for Further

CRYSTALLINE MATERIAL -
one small clear bag with white crystal substance

Recovered By: [redacted]
Date Recovered: **10/13/2022**
Recovery Address / Location: **3001 Clairemont Drive Black Backpack**

Impounded By: [redacted] 10/13/22 16:37

NotesLog entries:

Narcotics Vault 10/18/2022 1:51:23PM [redacted]

ITEM WAS TRUNARC

11408592 5 BACKPACK

-
Black Adidas Backpack with personal items inside

Recovered By: [redacted]
Date Recovered: **10/13/2022**
Recovery Address / Location: **3001 Clairemont Drive At Scene**

Impounded By: [redacted] 10/13/22 16:40

NotesLog entries:

PR- PACKAGING DETAILS 10/17/2022 8:11:01AM [redacted]

Item 5 received as is black backpack, papers, passport, cards

PR- PACKAGING DETAILS 10/17/2022 9:10:13AM [redacted]

Item 5 placed in medium box

San Diego Police Dept
Arrest/Juvenile Contact Report

Case No. **22044558**

CAD Event No.: **E22100019247** Case Disposition: **Arrest**

Primary Victim: **[E] Starbucks** Report No. **22044558.1**

[X] **ARREST REPORT**
[ ] **JUVENILE CONTACT REPORT** **WARRANT:** [ ] **LOCAL** [ ] **OUT**

GENERAL CASE INFORMATION

Primary Charge: **69(A) - PC - OBSTRUCT/RESIST EXECUTIVE OFFICER WITH SERIOUS INJURY (F)**			
Special Studies:			Related Cases:
Location, City, State, ZIP: **3001 Clairemont Dr, SAN DIEGO, CA 92117**			Occurred On: **10/13/2022 12:43:00 (Thursday)**
Jurisdiction:	Beat: **116**	Call Source: **ANI/ALI**	(and Between):
Means:		Motives:	

VICTIM/S

Victim #1

Person Code: [ ] Secured Premise [ ] Discovered Crime [ ] Reporting Party [ ] Law Enforcement Officer

Name:	Victim Type:	Interpreter Language:
[E] Starbucks	**B - Business**	

ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:

Name Type:	First:	Middle:	Last:	Suffix:

Victim Of: **594 (B)(1) - PC - VANDALISM ($400 OR MORE) (F)**								County Residence:
Home Address, City, State, ZIP: **3001 Clairemont Dr, San Diego, CA 92117**				Res. Country: **US**			Place of Birth:	Undocumented:
Race:	Sex:	Date of Birth / Age:	Height:	Weight:	Hair Color:	Eye Color:	Facial Hair:	Complexion:
Employment Status:	Occupation/Grade:	Employer/School:					Employer Address, City, State, ZIP:	

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Type:	Number/Address:
MP - Mobile Phone	[redacted]

IDENTIFICATION:

Type:	Number:	State:	Country:

Attire:	Injury:	Extent of Treatment:	Violent Crime Circumstances:

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER KILLED OR ASSAULTED INFORMATION	Type:	Type Activity:	Type Assignment:

Victim #2

Person Code: [ ] Secured Premise [ ] Discovered Crime [ ] Reporting Party [ ] Law Enforcement Officer

Name:	Victim Type:	Interpreter Language:
Bloemendaal, Dominic	**L - Law Enforcement Officer**	

ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:

Name Type:	First:	Middle:	Last:	Suffix:

Victim Of: **69(A) - PC - OBSTRUCT/RESIST EXECUTIVE OFFICER WITH SERIOUS INJURY (F)**								County Residence: **R - Resident**
Home Address, City, State, ZIP: **4275 Eastgate Mall, San Diego, CA 92121**				Res. Country: **US**			Place of Birth:	Undocumented:
Race: **X**	Sex: **M**	Date of Birth / Age: **00**	Height:	Weight:	Hair Color:	Eye Color:	Facial Hair:	Complexion:
Employment Status: **E - Employed**	Occupation/Grade: **Police Officer**	Employer/School: **SDPD**					Employer Address, City, State, ZIP:	

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
[redacted]	**Northern Patrol (Northern)**	[redacted]
Report Date **10/13/2022 2:03:36 PM**	**Detective Assigned** [redacted]	**Reviewed Date** **10/13/2022 18:11:41**

CONTACT INFORMATION:	
Type:	Number/Address:

IDENTIFICATION:			
Type:	Number:	State:	Country:

Attire:	Injury:	Extent of Treatment:	Violent Crime Circumstances:
police uniform	**M - Apparent Minor Injury**	**03 - Hospital**	**08 - Other Felony Involved**

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER KILLED OR ASSAULTED INFORMATION	Type:	Type Activity:	Type Assignment:
	N - No Death Involved	**01 - Responding to "Disturbance" Calls**	**G - One Officer Vehicle - Alone**

VICTIM OFFENDER RELATIONSHIPS	Offender:	Relationship:
	[redacted]	**ST - Victim Was Stranger**

IBR/UCR OFFENSE/S

Offense Description:	Level:	Against:	Completed?	Counts	Using:
69(A) - PC - OBSTRUCT/RESIST EXECUTIVE OFFICER WITH SERIOUS INJURY (F)	**F**	**PE**	**Yes**		

Location Type:	Hate/Bias:	Domestic Violence:
13 - Highway/Road/Alley	**88 - None (no bias) (mutually exclusive)**	**No**

Criminal Activity:	Type Security:	Gang Related:	Entry:	Point of Entry:
		No		

Weapons/Force:	Tools:		Targets:
40 - Personal Weapons (Threats, Hands, Fists, Feet, etc.)			

Offense Description:	Level:	Against:	Completed?	Counts	Using:
594 (B)(1) - PC - VANDALISM ($400 OR MORE) (F)	**F**	**PR**	**Yes**		

Location Type:	Hate/Bias:	Domestic Violence:
24 - Specialty Store (Retail, Etc.)	**88 - None (no bias) (mutually exclusive)**	**No**

Criminal Activity:	Type Security:	Gang Related:	Entry:	Point of Entry:
		No		

Weapons/Force:	Tools:		Targets:

Offense Description:	Level:	Against:	Completed?	Counts	Using:
11364(A) - HS - POSS CONTROLLED SUBS PARAPHERNALIA (M)	**M**	**SO**	**Yes**		

Location Type:	Hate/Bias:	Domestic Violence:
13 - Highway/Road/Alley	**88 - None (no bias) (mutually exclusive)**	**No**

Criminal Activity:	Type Security:	Gang Related:	Entry:	Point of Entry:
P - Possessing/Concealing				

Weapons/Force:	Tools:		Targets:

Offense Description:	Level:	Against:	Completed?	Counts	Using:
11350 (A) - HS - POSS NARCOTIC CONTROLLED SUBS (M)	**M**	**SO**	**Yes**		

Location Type:	Hate/Bias:	Domestic Violence:
13 - Highway/Road/Alley	**88 - None (no bias) (mutually exclusive)**	**No**

Criminal Activity:	Type Security:	Gang Related:	Entry:	Point of Entry:
P - Possessing/Concealing		**No**		

Weapons/Force:	Tools:		Targets:

ARRESTEE/S

Arrestee #1

Name:	County Residence:	Interpreter Language:
[redacted]	**R - Resident**	

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
[redacted]	**Northern Patrol (Northern)**	[redacted]
Report Date	**Detective Assigned**	**Reviewed Date**
10/13/2022 2:03:36 PM	[redacted]	**10/13/2022 18:11:41**

ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:

Name Type:	First:	Middle:	Last:	Suffix:

[redacted]

SCARS, MARKS, TATTOOS, ODDITIES:

Attire:	Suspect Actions:
gray shirt, gray pants, backpack	,

ARREST INFORMATION

Arrest Type:	LE Disposition:	JUS 750 Type:	Citation No.:	Booking No.:
O - Probable Cause Arrest - New Case	4 - Felony (Adult Only)	2 - Booked		55953

Arrested For:	Level:	Completed:	Counts:
594 (B)(1) - PC - VANDALISM ($400 OR MORE) (F)	F	Yes	
69(A) - PC - OBSTRUCT/RESIST EXECUTIVE OFFICER WITH SERIOUS INJURY (F)	F	Yes	
11364(A) - HS - POSS CONTROLLED SUBS PARAPHERNALIA (M)	M	Yes	
11350 (A) - HS - POSS NARCOTIC CONTROLLED SUBS (M)	M	Yes	

Arrested By:	Arrest Date and Time:	Arrest Location, City, State, ZIP:	Beat:
[redacted]	10/13/2022 12:47:23	3001 Clairemont Dr, SAN DIEGO, CA 92117	116

Arrest Assisted By:	Transported By:
[redacted]	[redacted]

Miranda Read:	Admonished By:	Miranda Response:	Jail Billing Code:	Booked Location:
Yes	[redacted]	Yes	SDPD	San Diego Central Jail

Armed With:	Use of Force to effect Arrest:
01 - Unarmed	

JUVENILES

Adult Present:	Person Notified:	Juvenile Disposition:	Detention Name:

Parents Notified By:	Notification Method:	Date and Time Notified:	Juvenile Released To:

RELEASE INFORMATION

Released Location:	Released On:	Released By:	Release Reason:

SUSPECT/S (Not Yet Arrested)

WITNESSES

Witness #1

Person Code: ☐ Secured Premise ☐ Discovered Crime ☐ Reporting Party ☐ Law Enforcement Officer

Witness Type Code: ☐ 01-Arresting Officer ☐ 06-Other Lay Witness ☐ 07-Narc Chemist ☐ 12-Other Expert ☐ 13-Investigator ☐ 14-Other

Name:	Person Code:	County Residence:
[redacted]		R - Resident

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
[redacted]	Northern Patrol (Northern)	[redacted]
Report Date	**Detective Assigned**	**Reviewed Date**
10/13/2022 2:03:36 PM	[redacted]	10/13/2022 18:11:41

ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:				
Name Type:	First:	Middle:	Last:	Suffix:
[redacted]				

Injury:	Extent of Treatment:

Witness #2

Person Code: ☐ Secured Premise ☐ Discovered Crime ☐ Reporting Party ☐ Law Enforcement Officer

Witness Type Code: ☐ 01-Arresting Officer ☐ 06-Other Lay Witness ☐ 07-Narc Chemist ☐ 12-Other Expert ☐ 13-Investigator ☐ 14-Other

Name:	Person Code:	County Residence:
[redacted]		**R - Resident**

ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:				
Name Type:	First:	Middle:	Last:	Suffix:
[redacted]				

Witness #3

Person Code: ☐ Secured Premise ☐ Discovered Crime ☐ Reporting Party ☐ Law Enforcement Officer

Witness Type Code: ☐ 01-Arresting Officer ☐ 06-Other Lay Witness ☐ 07-Narc Chemist ☐ 12-Other Expert ☐ 13-Investigator ☐ 14-Other

Name:	Person Code:	County Residence:
[redacted]		**R - Resident**

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
[redacted]	**Northern Patrol (Northern)**	[redacted]
Report Date	**Detective Assigned**	**Reviewed Date**
10/13/2022 2:03:36 PM	[redacted]	**10/13/2022 18:11:41**

ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:				
Name Type:	First:	Middle:	Last:	Suffix:
[redacted]				

OTHER ENTITIES

PROPERTY

Property Item #1.000 - Small Clear Baggy with crystalline substance

Derivative No.: 0	Property Category: 1900 - Drug - Amphetamine/Methamphetamine			
Status: 6 - Seized (Drug, Forgery/Counterfeit or Gambling Crime)	Count: 1	Value: $5.00		
Manufacturer:	Model:			
Serial No.:	Model Year:	OAN:		
Color:	Caliber:			
Body Style:	Recovered/ Seized Date: 10/13/2022			
Owner:	Disposition:			
Evidence Tag:	Alert(s):			
Drug Type: L - Amphetamines/Methamphetamines	Drug Quantity: 1XX - Not Reported			
Search Warrant:				
Notes:				

Property Item #2.000 - Glass pipe with burnt residue inside

Derivative No.: 0	Property Category: 1997 - Drug/Narcotic PARAPHERNALIA/Equipment - Syringe, Needle, Pipe, Scale, Foil, Packaging Etc.			
Status: 6 - Seized (Drug, Forgery/Counterfeit or Gambling Crime)	Count: 1	Value: $2.00		
Manufacturer:	Model:			
Serial No.:	Model Year:	OAN:		
Color:	Caliber:			
Body Style:	Recovered/ Seized Date: 10/13/2022			
Owner:	Disposition:			
Evidence Tag:	Alert(s):			
Drug Type:	Drug Quantity:			
Search Warrant:				
Notes:				

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
[redacted]	Northern Patrol (Northern)	[redacted]
Report Date	**Detective Assigned**	**Reviewed Date**
10/13/2022 2:03:36 PM	[redacted]	10/13/2022 18:11:41

Property Item #3.000 - Exit Door

Derivative No.:	0	Property Category:	3199 - Other Commercial Structure		
Status:	D - Destroyed/Damaged/Vandalized	Count:	1	Value:	$1,000.00
Manufacturer:		Model:			
Serial No.:		Model Year:		OAN:	
Color:	Black	Caliber:			
Body Style:		Recovered/ Seized Date:	10/13/2022		
Owner:	CO: V1 - [E] Starbucks	Disposition:			
Evidence Tag:		Alert(s):			
Drug Type:		Drug Quantity:			
Search Warrant:					
Notes:					

REPORT NARRATIVE

SYNOPSIS:

On 10/13/2022, at approximately 1243 hours, vandalism suspect, [redacted], resisted apprehension from Officer Bloemendaal #7828 at the corner of 2700 Clairemont Drive and 2700 Galveston Street, San Diego, CA 92110.

Officer Bloemendaal sustained a dislocated left shoulder and minor abrasions while attempting to apprehend [redacted]

Officer Bloemendaal deployed a taser on [redacted] to aid in his apprehension.

Prior to resisting arrest, [redacted] caused $1000 in damage to a door at Starbucks located on 3001 Clairemont Dr., San Diego, CA 92117 (see [redacted] related officer statement).

During a search incident to arrest, [redacted] located what he believed to be narcotics and narcotic paraphernalia inside of [redacted] backpack (refer to [redacted] related officer statement).

[redacted] was arrested and booked into San Diego Central Jail for 69 PC - Resisting an executing officer causing serious injury, 594 (B)(1) PC - Vandalism ($400 or more), 148 (a)(1) - obstruction of a peace officer, 11350 (a) HS - possession of a controlled substance, and 11364 (a) - Possession of controlled substance paraphernalia.

Officer Bloemendaal was transported to Scripps La Jolla where he was evaluated for his injuries.

[redacted] complained of pain in his head, neck, back, and hip. [redacted] had two taser probes approximately one inch apart in his mid-right inner calf.

[redacted] was evaluated at Sharp Memorial for potential injuries.

Off duty Sheriff's Deputy [redacted], witnessed and aided in the apprehension of [redacted]

[redacted] and [redacted] witnessed the vandalism at Starbucks.

ORIGIN:

On 10/13/2022, at approximately 1243 hours, I was dispatched to a radio call involving a cover now that was occurring at the corner of 2700 Clairemont Drive and 2700 Galveston Street, San Diego, CA 92110.

The details from the radio call stated that Officers were attempting to apprehend a vandalism suspect described as a white male adult in his 30's, 6'5", average build, orange hair, wearing a brown shirt, black pants, and a dark backpack. The suspect was described to have vandalized Starbucks located on 3001 Clairemont Dr., San Diego, CA 92117 and was last seen traveling westbound away from Starbucks.

The suspect was later identified as [redacted] via his California Driver's License.

INVESTIGATION:

When I arrived on scene, [redacted] had already been detained by assisting officers on scene. [redacted] was sitting down and appeared to be covered in mud, which appeared to have been from his apprehension.

Medic 50 arrived on scene and evaluated [redacted] Medics also evaluated Officer Bloemendaal, who denied medical transport and was later transported to Scripps La Jolla by [redacted] where he was evaluated for his injuries (see [redacted] related officer statement).

I spoke with Officer Bloemendaal and took a partial statement from him (refer to [redacted] related officer statement for a complete statement). Officer Bloemendaal essentially told me he saw [redacted] walking westbound on Clairemont Drive and recognized him based off the suspect description for the vandalism that occurred at Starbucks. Officer Bloemendaal clearly identified himself as a San Diego Police Officer to [redacted] and told him to stop. [redacted] ran away from Officer Bloemendaal and then proceeded to face him and take on a fighting stance. Officer Bloemendaal tackled [redacted] in an attempt to subdue him. While tackling [redacted] Officer Bloemendaal fell on his left shoulder and sustained an injury. During the altercation, Officer Bloemendaal was required to deploy a taser in order to subdue [redacted] and prevent further injury for himself and assisting officers.

[redacted] and [redacted] assisted in the apprehension of [redacted] (See [redacted] and [redacted] related officer statements).

After [redacted] was placed under arrest, [redacted] searched [redacted] black backpack that he was seen in possession of and found a white powdery substance in a clear bag as well as a glass pipe with burnt residue in a bulbous tip. [redacted] believed these items to be narcotics and narcotic paraphernalia. [redacted] later impounded the bag (Barcode #: 11408591), the pipe (Barcode #: 11408589), [redacted]

backpack (Barcode #: 11408592), and the expended taser cartridge # C3106NVKY (Barcode #: 11408584) at the northern substation property room.

While I was speaking with Officer Bloemendaal, [redacted] took a statement from off duty Sheriff's deputy, [redacted] (refer to [redacted] related officer statement).

[redacted] photographed the scene, Officer Bloemendaal, and [redacted] and later uploaded the photos to NetRMS and evidence.com (see [redacted] related officer statement).

Medics 50 transported [redacted] to Sharp Memorial for further medical evaluation and [redacted] rode in the ambulance to assist in transport.

[redacted] went to Starbucks to validate the vandalism had occurred and took statements from witnesses, [redacted] and [redacted] (refer to [redacted] related officer report). The estimated damage to the door at Starbucks is $1000.

I took photos of [redacted] and later uploaded them to NetRMS and evidence.com.

[redacted] later took an admonished statement from [redacted] while at the hospital (see [redacted] related officer statement).

[redacted] was transported to the northern division substation for processing and was later transported to San Diego Central Jail by [redacted] for 69 PC - Resisting an executing officer causing serious injury, 594 (B)(1) PC - Vandalism ($400 or more), 148 (a)(1) - obstruction of a peace officer, 11350 (a) HS - possession of a controlled substance, and 11364 (a) - Possession of controlled substance paraphernalia.

Due to the NetRMS algorithm, I was unable to add the charge 148 (a) (1) PC to the top sheets.

BACKGROUND:

None.

EVIDENCE:

Small bag containing white powdery substance (Barcode #: 11408591)

Pipe (Barcode #: 11408589)

Expended taser cartridge # C3106NVKY (Barcode #: 11408584)

Backpack (Barcode #: 11408592)

INJURIES:

Officer Bloemendaal sustained a dislocated left shoulder and minor abrasions.

[redacted] complained of pain in his head, neck, back, and hip. [redacted] had two taser probes approximately one inch apart in his mid-right inner calf.

PROPERTY DAMAGE:

Exit door valued at $1000.

FOLLOW-UP:

None.

RELATED REPORTS:

See [redacted] related officer statement.

See [redacted] related officer statement.

See [redacted] related officer statement.

See [redacted] related officer statement.

See [redacted] related officer statement.

See [redacted] related officer statement.

See [redacted] related officer statement.

SAN DIEGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

INVESTIGATOR'S REPORT

DATE (occur): 10/13/22

TIME (occur): 1236 hours

LOCATION: 3001 Clairemont Dr, San Diego, CA 92117

SUBJECT: San Diego Police Department Case No. 22044558

SUSPECT: [redacted]

CHARGES:

69 PC	Violently Resist Police Officer
594(b)(1)	Vandalism Over $400
148(a) PC	Resist/Obstruct Police Officer

VICTIM: San Diego Police Officer Bloemendaal #7828
4275 Eastgate Mall
San Diego, CA 92037
(619)531-2000

Starbucks
3001 Clairmont Dr
San Diego, CA 92117
[redacted]

WITNESS: [redacted]

SYNOPSIS:

On 10/13/22, at approximately 1236 hours, [redacted] was the subject of a vandalism radio call. It was reported he had damaged Starbucks property at 3001 Clairemont Dr, San Diego, CA. Officer Bloemendaal responded to the scene. He spotted [redacted] walking away from the scene. [redacted] matched the exact description. Officer Blomendal attempted to stop [redacted] [redacted] ran when he was contacted. Officer Bloemendaal tackled [redacted] at 2700 Galveston St, San Diego, CA and 2700 Clairemont Dr, San Diego, CA. Because of the tackle, Officer

Bloemendaal dislocated his shoulder. [redacted] attempted to get up and still flee the scene. Officer Bloemendaal was able to push [redacted] to the ground. Once on the ground, [redacted] attempted to strike Officer Bloemendaal with his elbows and kick him with both feet. A taser drive stun was deployed in order to apprehend [redacted] [redacted] was then taken into custody.

It was also confirmed [redacted] had damaged one of the doors in the Starbucks by slamming it with a large amount of force. This caused the locking mechanism to jam and be inoperable. The [redacted] stated the cost to replace the lock was $1000.

INVESTIGATION:

On 10/13/22, I responded to a radio call of a violent disturbance at 3001 Clairemont Dr, San Diego, CA. The call detailed a male had thrown a rock at the establishment and damaged it. A few moments later Officer Bloemendaal had located the male and a foot pursuit ensued. Officer Bloemendaal tackled the male and had violently resisted Officer Bloemendaal.

I arrived on scene several moments later. On my arrival I contacted Officer Bloemendaal. Officer Bloemendaal' s left shoulder appeared to be sagging a little lower than his right. Furthermore, he appeared to be in pain, and I could visibly see he had an approximate three-inch abrasion at the base of his right hand. Officer Bloemendaal was then transported to the hospital by [redacted]. There was one witness to the incident. That witness was an off-duty San Diego Sheriff Deputy. It was [redacted]. I then spoke with [redacted] and obtained his statement.

WITNESS STATEMENT: [redacted]

The following statement is paraphrased:

> [redacted] told me he was driving through the area and saw Officer Bloemendaal on the ground with a male ([redacted] They were in the bushes near the intersection of Galveston St and Clairemont Dr. Officer Bloemendaal was on the ground attempting to hold the male down. The male was "donkey kicking" back at Officer Bloemendaal. He was unable to hit Officer Bloemendaal.
>
> [redacted] then attempted to throw elbow strikes back at Officer Bloemendaal as he was laying on his stomach. Officer Bloemendaal appeared to be struggling to hold [redacted] on the ground. [redacted] ran over and held [redacted] on the ground. Officer Bloemendaal was able to handcuff [redacted] Once handcuffed, other San Diego Police Officers arrived on scene and relieved [redacted].

END OF STATEMENT

I walked the scene and saw where Officer Bloemendaal contacted [redacted] at approximately 2800 Clairemont Dr, San Diego, CA. [redacted] ran west to the intersection of Galveston and Clairemont Dr. Gillooly ran to the south curb line of 2700 Clairemont Dr, where Officer

Blomendaal tackled him. I was also informed by [redacted] that [redacted] was the subject of a radio call at approximately 1020 hours. The event number was 22100018825. He informed me [redacted] had called police and stated the police were stalking him and subjected him to sexual abuse in County Mental Health Hospital. Officer Bloemendaal went to that radio call. [redacted] refused to speak with him. [redacted] then informed me in past contacts [redacted] stated if police were going to contact him again he would be violent towards officers. Refer to [redacted] report for the exact quote.

Simultaneously, [redacted] and [redacted] went to the Starbucks where the call had originated. They spoke with [redacted]. [redacted] is the [redacted] of the establishment. [redacted] stated [redacted] has been a problem at the Starbucks. [redacted] would often walk int the establishment and yell at people. [redacted] explained [redacted] was told to leave the establishment. [redacted] became upset and kicked the main door open. When he exited he slammed the door shut. This caused the locking mechanism to malfunction. The door was inoperable according to Hedges. Hedges stated the door would cost approximately $1000 to fix.

[redacted] relayed that information to me. Refer to his report for further details regarding the vandalism.

I was notified [redacted] was being treated at SHARP Memorial Hospital. I went to SHARP Memorial Hospital. There I went into the emergency room and spoke with [redacted] On my arrival, [redacted] stated he wanted to clarify several "things". He also did not believe jail was a suitable place for him to be at the moment. Therefore, I admonished [redacted] per my PD-145. At approximately 1411 hours, he responded "yes" to the first question and "yeah I guess so." To the second question. I then obtained his statement.

SUSPECT STATEMENT: [redacted]

The following statement is paraphrased:

> [redacted] stated he was initially worried about his mother earlier in the day. He went to the Starbucks to file a complaint. As he was attempting to file his complaint, an unknown customer told him to leave. This made [redacted] upset. [redacted] stated he did throw things "but nothing was damaged." [redacted] stated the items he threw consisted of clumps of dirt. He threw the dirt at the wall outside.
>
> [redacted] then left the establishment and was contacted by police. He stated the police were very aggressive on their arrival. That is why he ran.

I asked if he recognized the police officer uniform and pointed to an officer standing in the room, at the base of his bed.

> [redacted] stated he did recognize the uniform.

I asked if he knew that when an officer stopped him, it was his lawful duty to stop.

[redacted] stated he did know that, but the officer appeared to be aggressive. So, he decided to run. [redacted] insisted that he did not fight. He only wanted to get away. [redacted] stated The force that was used on him was excessive. He did not deserve to "be tased."

END OF STATEMENT

I then went to obtain a detailed statement from Officer Bloemendaal. I was informed he was being treated at SCRIPPS La Jolla hospital. As I was on my way there I discovered he was released from the hospital. I met with Officer Bloemendaal at the Northern Substation and obtained he statement.

VICTIM STATEMENT: **OFFICER BLOEMENDAAL**

The following statement is paraphrased:

Officer Bloemendaal stated, earlier in the day, at approximately 1020 hours, he responded to a check the welfare radio call for someone who may need a mental health evaluation. The subject of that radio call was [redacted]. [redacted] sent a text message to the non-emergency line. The message stated he did not want police contact because police subjected him to County Mental Health Staff. The staff then sexually abused him, as well as the officers.

Officer Bloemendaal stated he contacted [redacted] in his residence at [redacted]. [redacted] refused to directly talk to Officer Bloemendaal and only spoke to him from a distant room. Officer Bloemendaal then left the residence as he could not contact him. As he was leaving [redacted] walked up to the front door. Officer Bloemendaal saw [redacted] approach the front door as he was leaving.

Approximately two hours later, at 1236 hours. Officer Bloemendaal responded to a radio call of a violent disturbance at the Starbucks, located at 3001 Clairemont Dr, San Diego, CA. On his arrival he was informed the suspect ([redacted] had damaged property at the Starbucks and started walking south. At 2800 Clairemont Dr, San Diego, CA. Officer Bloemendaal saw [redacted] walking. He recognized [redacted] from the prior contact and tried to stop him as he also matched the description of the suspect in the radio call. The description was a white male with 6'05" tall with orange hair and a brown shirt and black pants.

Officer Bloemendall identified himself as a police officer and told [redacted] to stop. [redacted] ignored him. Officer Bloemendaal activated his code 3 lights . [redacted] said he was not detained and continued to walk.

Officer Bloemendaal yelled, "[redacted] your being detained." Officer Bloemendaal started walking towards [redacted] [redacted] looked at Officer Bloemendaal and ran away. [redacted] ran now west at 2700 Clairemont Dr. Officer Bloemendaal chased after him.

Detective: _[redacted]_ ID: _[redacted]_ Division: _Northern Investigations_
Approved: _[redacted]_ Date: _10/13/22_ Time: _2300 Hours_

Officer Bloemendaal stated a random white van swerved and attempted to hit [redacted] The van was unsuccessful and fled the scene. [redacted] then ran to the south end of the street, at 2700 Clairemont Dr. There Officer Bloemendaal caught up with him and tackled him at the south corner of the intersection at 2700 Galveston St and 2700 Clairemont Dr. Officer Bloemendaal fell with [redacted] to the ground. As he was falling to the ground Officer Bloemendaal hit the elevated curb next to the south planter. He hit the curb with his left shoulder, causing his shoulder to dislocate.

As soon as [redacted] fell to the ground he immediately got up and tried to flee. Officer Bloemendaal grabbed [redacted] backpack. [redacted] then tripped and fell to the ground. Once on the ground Officer Bloemendaal tried to hold [redacted] on the ground. Officer Bloemendaal remembers [redacted] was kicking frantically and trying to get up.

Luckily a citizen, later identified to be an off-duty deputy, [redacted] helped hold [redacted] Officer Bloemendaal was able to handcuff [redacted] with the assistance of [redacted]. [redacted] arrived at that time. As soon as [redacted] got there he started kicking and standing up. Officer Bloemendaal grabbed [redacted] tasor and yelled if he did not stop kicking, he was going to deploy the taser. [redacted] continued to kick and the Officer Bloemendaal deployed the taser twice. Once in the right calf and the other in the top shoulder. [redacted] stopped kicking at that point and complied.

END OF STATEMENT

Officer Bloemendaal is going to write a report with further details at a later time. He was sent home after he was cleared from the hospital. I then contacted [redacted]. He informed me he documented the incident in a different case. That case number is 22145999.

It is evident [redacted] violated California Penal Codes 69 – Violently Resisting a Police Officer, 148(a)(1) – Resist/Delay a Police Officer, and 594(b)(1) – Vandalism Over 400.

CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS:

I am requesting the District Attorney's Office charge [redacted] with one count of 69 PC, one count of 594(b)(1) PC, and one count of 148(a)(1) PC.

Detective: [redacted] ID: _7688_ Division: _Northern Investigations_
Approved: [redacted] Date: _10/13/22_ Time: _2300 Hours_

San Diego Police Dept
Officer Report

CAD Event No. **22100019247**

Case No. **22044558**

Report No. **85316**

GENERAL CASE INFORMATION

Special Studies:			Related Cases:
Location, City, State, ZIP: **3001 Clairemont Drive @ Starbucks,San Diego, CA 92117**			Occurred On: **10/13/2022 12:36:00 PM (Thursday)**
Jurisdiction: **Bay Park - Northern**	Beat: **116**	Call Source:	(and Between):

INDIVIDUAL/S

REPORT NARRATIVE

Officer's Narrative:

On 10-13-2022, at approximately 1236 hours, I was in full police uniform driving a mark patrol vehicle when I responded to a Disturbing the Peace with Violence (415V) radio call at the Starbucks located at 3001 Clairemont Drive in the city of San Diego. The call stated an aggressive male threw a rock at the store and was yelling at employees. The male can be described as a White male adult, 30s' 6'0", has orange hair, and was wearing a brown shirt, black pants, with a dark backpack.

Upon my arrival to the Starbucks to contact the reporting party and Supervisor, I heard over my police radio that Officer Bloemendaal #7828 had located the suspect matching the description per the radio call, later identified as [redacted] by his California Driver's License. [redacted] started to flee when Officer Bloemendaal contacted him, running westbound on Clairemont Drive. Officer Bloemendaal called a "COVER NOW" and I responded to the intersection of Galveston St and Clairemont Drive where the two were now fighting in the dirt at the corner of the intersection.

I rolled code 3 lights and sirens per Department Policy to Officer Bloemendaal's location from 3001 Clairemont Drive, approximately 3 blocks. [redacted] was already on the ground in handcuffs, but was not complying with Officers' commands to stop resisting. Officer Bloemendaal deployed [redacted] ' taser on [redacted] Myself, [redacted], and [redacted] assisted by holding [redacted] down until more officers responded on scene to assist.

Per Northern detective's request, I photographed Officer Bloemendaal and his injuries, [redacted] and his injuries, and the entire scene. The photographs were uploaded to Axon Evidence and to the Additional Files in NetRMS.

This concludes my involvement in the investigation.

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
[redacted]	**NOPAT / NDIV - Northern**	[redacted]
Report Date	Detective Assigned	Reviewed Date
10/13/2022 2:29:07 PM	[redacted]	**10/13/2022 3:39:07 PM**

San Diego Police Dept
Officer Report

CAD Event No.

Case No. **22044558**

Report No. **85325**

GENERAL CASE INFORMATION

Special Studies:			Related Cases:
Location, City, State, ZIP: **3001 clairemont drive ,San Diego, CA 92117**			Occurred On: **10/13/2022 12:38:26 PM (Thursday)**
Jurisdiction: **Bay Park - Northern**	Beat: **116**	Call Source:	(and Between):

INDIVIDUAL/S

Name: [redacted]							Person Code:	Interpreter Language:
ALIAS / AKA / NICKNAME / MONIKER:								
Home Address, City, State, ZIP:				Res. Country:			County Residence:	Undocumented:
Race:	Sex:	Date of Birth / Age: -	Height:	Weight:	Hair Color:	Eye Color:	Facial Hair:	Complexion:
Employment Status:		Occupation/Grade:		Employer/School:			Employer Address, City, State, ZIP:	
CONTACT INFORMATION								
IDENTIFICATION:								
Attire:			Injury:		Extent Of Treatment:		Violent Crime Circumstances:	
Subject Injury Description:								
Officer Injury Description:								

REPORT NARRATIVE

Officers Narrative:

On 10-13-22, at approximately 1236 hours, I responded to a call for help from officers who were actively fighting a suspect located at 3001 Clairemont Drive. I arrived wearing a full police uniform driving a marked patrol vehicle. Upon arrival the suspect who was later identified as [redacted] from his California issued ID card was already detained.

A taser was deployed on [redacted] and due to the struggle, he needed to be transported to a hospital. I went with [redacted] to Sharp Memorial Hospital in the ambulance (Medic 50) to get him medically evaluated. [redacted] reported to hospital staff his head, neck, back, and hips hurt. [redacted] also had to taser probes that were in his inside right calf. I photographed [redacted] and the taser probes. The photos were later uploaded to evidence.com via axon capture.

While at the hospital [redacted] treated [redacted] for his complaint of pain and removed his taser probes. I later impounded the taser probes at northern division under barcode 11408584. I searched [redacted] and located a set of keys, a watch, and an ID card. I transported [redacted] back to the northern division station for further processing. [redacted] and [redacted] took custody of [redacted] and his property and booked him into jail.

See [redacted] report for further details.

Reporting Officer [redacted]	Division / Organization **NOPAT / NDIV - Northern**	Reviewed By [redacted]
Report Date **10/13/2022 3:38:02 PM**	Detective Assigned [redacted]	Reviewed Date **10/13/2022 4:18:42 PM**

San Diego Police Dept
Officer Report

CAD Event No. **22100019247**

Case No. **22044558**

Report No. **85324**

GENERAL CASE INFORMATION

Special Studies:			Related Cases:
Location, City, State, ZIP: **3001 Clairemont Drive,San Diego, CA 92117**			Occurred On: **10/13/2022 12:30:00 PM (Thursday)**
Jurisdiction: **Bay Park - Northern**	Beat: **116**	Call Source:	(and Between):

INDIVIDUAL/S

[redacted]

Attire:	Injury:	Extent Of Treatment:	Violent Crime Circumstances:

Subject Injury Description:

Officer Injury Description:

[redacted]

IDENTIFICATION:

Attire:	Injury:	Extent Of Treatment:	Violent Crime Circumstances:

Subject Injury Description:

Officer Injury Description:

REPORT NARRATIVE

SYNOPSIS:

On 10/13/2022 at approximately 1230 hours, [redacted] damaged the rear door to the Starbucks restaurant located at 3001 Clairemont Drive San Diego.

[redacted] slammed the door violently as he exited the store and also threw rocks at the wall of Starbucks. The door latching system was partially damaged and there were minor scuff marks on the wall. [redacted] estimated the total loss around $1000.

[redacted] left the scene and headed southbound on Clairemont Drive. Officer Bloemendaal #7828 located [redacted] and detained him. Officer Bloemendaal suffered an injury in the process. [redacted] is in custody and was later booked into San Diego County Jail.

There are two witnesses for the vandalism that occurred at Starbucks.

ORIGIN:

Reporting Officer [redacted]	Division / Organization **NOPAT / NDIV - Northern**	Reviewed By [redacted]
Report Date **10/13/2022 3:37:36 PM**	Detective Assigned [redacted]	Reviewed Date **10/13/2022 4:15:01 PM**

On 10/13/2022, [redacted] and I were in full police uniform and in a marked patrol vehicle when we responded to a radio call of a disturbance located at 3001 Clairemont Drive, San Diego. The reporting party was [redacted]

INVESTIGATION:
Prior to our arrival, notes on the call indicated that an aggressive male threw a rock at the Starbucks causing damages. Notes also indicated the male left the scene westbound on Clairemont Drive.
While en-route to the location, Officer Bloemendaal located the suspect heading south on Clairemont Drive. Bloemendaal called for a cover now over the radio. Officer Bloemendaal and other officers on scene detained the suspect, but during the altercation Officer Bloemendaal suffered an injury to his left shoulder (See Officer Bloemendaal's report for further details). [redacted] and I arrived on scene to the officers' location to assist. The suspect was already in custody, later identified as [redacted] from his California ID. We later drove back to the original location at the Starbucks and met with the reporting party, [redacted]. I took [redacted] statement.

BACKGROUND:
None

STATEMENTS:
[redacted] essentially told me the following paraphrased statement:

Statement of [redacted] (Reporting Party):
On 10/13/2022, at around 1230 hours, an unknown male suspect was in our store causing a disturbance. He is well known and has been to our store numerous times causing problems. He was yelling at us that we messed up his life. He stated he wanted to apply to our store, so he could destroy everything in the store. A customer attempted to talk to him and the suspect got more agitated. He then violently kicked the door open and slammed it while exiting the store. He then grabbed rocks and threw it at the store. I'm not sure if the rock hit the door or not. The door that he slammed does not open anymore and is damaged. The damage to the door is approximately $1000. I am able to identify the suspect if seen again.
End of Statement.

INVESTIGATION (CONTINUED):
After obtaining [redacted] statement, I located witness [redacted]. I obtained [redacted] Statement.

STATEMENTS:
[redacted] essentially told me the following paraphrased statement;
Statement of [redacted] (Witness):
I was working the drive-thru line and as I was walking back to the store, I noticed a male being very irate inside. He was yelling random things and I stayed clear from him. I don't like confrontations so I avoided him. I then saw him leave the store but I did not follow him. I only heard the exit door being slammed. I also heard him throwing something at our store. I am able to identify if I seen him again.
End of Statement.

INVESTIGATION (CONTINUED):
After obtaining [redacted] statement, I looked at the damaged door and it would not open. The door's latching system was off line and not working properly. [redacted] attempted to fix the door with his key, but it was undetermined at the time if it was going to be a simple fix or if the entire door needed to be replaced.
I then noticed a scuff mark on the exterior wall of Starbucks that was caused by the rock being thrown. The scuff mark was just residue from the rock and can be cleaned off. They have one interior camera that shows half of the doorway that the suspect exited through. No employees was able to use the camera system to show us what happened. Nearby stores did not have any exterior cameras that points to the exit of Starbucks.
Before leaving the scene, I provided [redacted] a Marsy's card and case number.

EVIDENCE:
Photos of the scene were impounded at the Northern substation property room and uploaded to Netrms.

INJURIES:
None.

PROPERTY DAMAGE:
One broken door worth around $1000

FOLLOW-UP:

None

RELATED REPORTS:

See [redacted] case report
See Officer Bloemendaal #7828 Ajris-9 report.
See [redacted] follow up report.
See [redacted] follow up report.
See [redacted] follow up report.

San Diego Police Dept
Officer Report

CAD Event No. **E22100019247**

Case No. **22044558**

Report No. **85312**

GENERAL CASE INFORMATION

Special Studies:			Related Cases:
Location, City, State, ZIP: **3001 Clairemont Dr,SAN DIEGO, CA 92117**			Occurred On: **10/13/2022 12:36:10 PM (Thursday)**
Jurisdiction: **Bay Park - Northern**	Beat: **116**	Call Source:	(and Between):

INDIVIDUAL/S

[redacted]

Attire:	Injury:	Extent Of Treatment:	Violent Crime Circumstances:
Subject Injury Description:			
Officer Injury Description:			

REPORT NARRATIVE

On 10/13/2022 at approximately 1236 hours, I was in full police uniform driving a clearly marked patrol vehicle in the City and County of San Diego. I responded to Starbucks located at 3001 Clairemont Drive San Diego, CA 92117. The details of the radio call stated a male approximately 6'5" with red hair threw a rock at the building. (Later identified as [redacted]

There is an Officer safety note on file for [redacted] stating, [redacted] will be violent towards Officers.

I arrived on scene at approximately 1236 hours and started checking the area. I was traveling south on 5000 field which runs parallel along Clairemont Drive. Officer D. Bloemendaal # 7827 said over the radio he was in a foot pursuit of the suspect traveling South on Clairemont Drive. I activated my lights and siren and proceeded to the intersection of Hartford Court and Clairemont Drive where I located Officer Bloemendaal's vehicle parked along the Northwest curb line. I continued South on Clairemont Drive looking for Officer Bloemendaal and the suspect. I located Officer Bloemendaal, [redacted] and a Civilian (later it was determined the civilian was an off duty Sheriff Officer) approximately four-hundred feet away from Bloemendaal's vehicle. The three people were in an active struggle in the bushes on the Southwest curb line of Clairemont Drive and Galveston Street. I flipped my vehicle around so I was on the Southwest curb line of Clairemont Drive where I observed the struggle was happening.

As I was running to assist, I saw Officer Bloemendaal and the civilian handcuffed [redacted] I placed both of my hands on top of [redacted] left shoulder and used my body weight to keep [redacted] from standing up and to prevent further injuries.

While [redacted] was handcuffed, he was actively bucking his head and shoulders and kicking his feet in an attempt to strike Officers. I ordered [redacted] to stop resisting approximately six times. [redacted] continued to buck and kick towards Officers.

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
[redacted]	**NOPAT / NDIV - Northern**	[redacted]
Report Date	Detective Assigned	Reviewed Date
10/13/2022 2:01:19 PM	[redacted]	**10/13/2022 9:25:34 PM**

Officer Bloemendaal asked me for my department issued Taser. I released my department issued taser with my left hand from my left waist and provided it to Officer Bloemendaal. Officer Bloemendaal deployed the taser approximately two inches from [redacted] right calf and placed the taser directly on the middle of [redacted] back. Officer Bloemendaal deployed the taser for approximately five seconds.

[redacted] calmed down and listened to Officer commands after being tased. Once [redacted] calmed down we sat [redacted] on his buttocks and he leaned against the plant.

I searched [redacted] backpack and located a pencil style bag inside the main big pocket of [redacted] backpack. Inside the pencil bag I located a glass pipe with a bulbous end and a small bag containing a small amount of a white powdery substance. The pipe had charring and residue inside. Based on my training and experience, I recognized the pipe as a device commonly used to smoke methamphetamene.

I impounded the following items into the Property and Evidence Room located at Northern Division.
Bulbous shaped cylindrical object under barcode # 11408589.
Small bag containing a white powdery substance under barcode # 11408591.
Taser expended cartridge # C3106NVKY under barcode # 11408584.
[redacted] backpack as evidence under barcode # 11408592.

TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE I have been employed as San Diego police officer since 10/12/2020. During that time, I have received 4 hours of training in controlled substance recognition, paraphernalia recognition, objective symptomology of controlled substance use, and conducting field evaluations. I have participated in nine narcotic related investigation and have made nine narcotic related arrest.

BWC was active prior to the incident.

Blue Team submitted.

San Diego Police Dept
Officer Report

CAD Event No. **E22100019247**

Case No. **22044558**

Report No. **85315**

GENERAL CASE INFORMATION

Special Studies:			Related Cases:
Location, City, State, ZIP: **3001 Clairemont Dr,SAN DIEGO, CA 92117**			Occurred On: **10/13/2022 12:36:00 PM (Thursday)**
Jurisdiction: **Bay Park - Northern**	Beat: **116**	Call Source:	(and Between):

INDIVIDUAL/S

[redacted]

Subject Injury Description:

Officer Injury Description:

REPORT NARRATIVE

On 10/13/2022, at around 1240 hours, I was in full police uniform driving a marked patrol vehicle in the city of San Diego. I responded to a cover now from Officer Bloemendaal #7828. Officer Bloemendal updated dispatch that he was located at the intersection of Hartford Court and Claremont Drive.

A suspect was in custody and scene was secured prior to my arrival. I was instructed to conduct a witness statement of an Off-Duty Sheriff Deputy who had assisted responding officers in taking the suspect into custody. [redacted] essentially stated the following information.

Statement of [redacted] on (Witness):

I was driving my vehicle eastbound on Claremont drive. Traffic began to slow down. I saw a marked patrol vehicle with its lights on ahead of me. I saw an officer wearing a baseball cap running after a large white male with red hair, wearing a gray shirt and gray shorts. I got out of my vehicle and attempted to assist the officer. I lost visual of the officer and the male behind some bushes at the corner of Galveston and Claremont Drive. I went around the bushes and saw the male on the ground. I presented myself as an off-duty deputy to the officer. The Officer told me he had dislocated his shoulder. I observed as the Officer was giving the male commands but the male was not complying. I then assisted by grabbing the male's right arm and pulling it behind his back to be able to get the male in handcuffs. The male kept resisting, squirming, and kicking his feet. The Officer with the baseball cap told the male that he was going to get tasered if he didn't comply. The Officer then deployed the Taser. The Taser landed either on the male's lower back or his buttocks.
I backed away as more uniformed officers arrived on scene to assist.

end of Statement

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
[redacted]	**NOPAT / NDIV - Northern**	[redacted]
Report Date	Detective Assigned	Reviewed Date
10/13/2022 2:19:52 PM	[redacted]	**10/13/2022 4:28:49 PM**

The Officer that [redacted] is referring to is Officer Bloemendal. The male that [redacted] is referring to is [redacted] ([redacted]).

This concluded my part of this investigation.

San Diego Police Dept
Officer Report

CAD Event No.

Case No. **22044558**

Report No. **85322**

GENERAL CASE INFORMATION

Special Studies:			Related Cases:
Location, City, State, ZIP: **3001 Clairemont Drive,**			Occurred On: **10/13/2022 12:35:08 PM (Thursday)**
Jurisdiction: **Bay Park - Northern**	Beat: **116**	Call Source:	(and Between):

INDIVIDUAL/S

[redacted]

CONTACT INFORMATION

IDENTIFICATION:

Attire:	Injury:	Extent Of Treatment:	Violent Crime Circumstances:

Subject Injury Description:

Officer Injury Description:

REPORT NARRATIVE

On 10-13-2022, at approximately 1237 hours, I was in full SDPD uniform, driving a marked police vehicle, in the City of San Diego. I was dispatched to a radio call to investigate a disturbance at 3001 Clairemont Drive, San Diego.

When I arrived on scene I proceeded to check the area. Shortly after, Officer Bloemendaal #7828 aired that he was running after the suspect. When I arrived on scene to assist Officer Bloemendaal, I saw [redacted] and another citizen attempting to control the subject, who was later identified as [redacted] I ran towards them and tried to move the citizen out of the way to help the other officers. I was trying to pull the citizen out of the way when I noticed that he was pinning [redacted] down with his knee on [redacted] backpack. Officer Bloemendaal deployed the taser. While the taser was on, the citizen moved away and I put pressure on [redacted] back so he would not move. [redacted] then started to move. I proceeded to grab his right arm and push it to the ground so he wouldn't move.

[redacted] informed officers that he was in pain and that he could not breathe. [redacted] and I helped [redacted] sit up so that could breathe.

My Body Worn Camera was activated.

RELATED REPORTS:

See [redacted] Case report for further details.

See [redacted] Crime report for further details regarding the vandalism.

See [redacted] Officer Report for further details.

See [redacted] Officer Report for further details.0020

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
[redacted]	**NOPAT / NDIV - Northern**	[redacted]
Report Date **10/13/2022 3:14:32 PM**	Detective Assigned [redacted]	Reviewed Date **10/13/2022 5:33:54 PM**

San Diego County Sheriff's Department
Officer Report

CAD Event No. **E8123745** Case No **22145999**

Report No **132768**

GENERAL CASE INFORMATION

Special Studies			Related Cases
Location, City, State, ZIP: **2700 Clairemont Dr,San Diego, CA 92117**			Occurred On: **10/13/2022 1:00:00 PM (Thursday)**
Jurisdiction: **NON-CONTRACT CITY - SAN DIEGO**	Beat: **009**	Call Source:	(and Between):

INDIVIDUAL/S

[redacted]

REPORT NARRATIVE

ORIGIN:

On 10/13/2022, at approximately 1300 hours, I was off duty dressed in civilian clothes, driving my personal motorized vehicle near the 2700 block of Clairemont Dr. in the City of San Diego (CAD# E8123745).

DEPUTY'S OBSERVATIONS AND ACTIONS:

At about 1300 hours, I was driving eastbound in my personal motorized vehicle on the 2700 block of Clairemont Dr. when I observed traffic abruptly slow down and stop in front of me. I observed a marked San Diego Police Department (SDPD) patrol vehicle yielded in the roadway with its overhead lights activated. I then observed SDPD Officer Bloemendaal (#7828) dressed in full police uniform in a foot pursuit of a white male adult with red hair, wearing a dark grey t-shirt and black colored shorts or pants. The male that Officer Bloemendaal was pursuing was later identified as [redacted]. Officer Bloemendaal was the only Officer I observed in the foot pursuit at that time, and I lost observation of him and [redacted] in the foliage near the intersection of Clairemont Dr. and Galveston St.

I parked my vehicle on the south curb line of Clairemont drive, exited it, and ran to see if Officer Bloemendaal needed assistance. As I approached the southside of the Clairemont Dr. and Galveston St. intersection, I observed [redacted] lying on the ground on his back, actively kicking his feet towards Officer Bloemendaal. Officer Bloemendaal was standing, and I was unable to tell if [redacted] had kicked him. I informed Officer Bloemendaal that I was an off-duty Deputy and he told me that his shoulder had been dislocated. [redacted] was yelling unintelligibly, and Officer Bloemendaal was giving commands, however I could not hear specifically what he said. I instructed [redacted] to roll over and put his hands behind his back. Using both of my hands, I grabbed [redacted] left arm and with the assistance of Officer Bloemendaal, rolled [redacted] to his stomach into the prone position.

Once on his stomach, [redacted] proceeded to kick his feet backwards, towards Officer Bloemendaal as he yelled unintelligibly. To prevent [redacted] from kicking myself or Officer Bloemendaal, I used both of my knees to place downward pressure on

Reporting Officer	Division / Organization	Reviewed By
[redacted]	**LAK_PAT / LAK - Lakeside Substation**	[redacted]
Report Date **10/13/2022 8:15:29 PM**	Detective Assigned	Reviewed Date **10/13/2022 10:13:41 PM**

[redacted] right thigh as I simultaneously grabbed his right arm, pulled it behind his back and utilized a rear-bent wrist lock to kepp his hand behind his back. [redacted] spontaneous asked "why am I being detained" and threw his left elbow back towards Officer Bloemendaal as he attempted to get off of the ground. With the assistance of Officer Bloemendaal, [redacted] was placed into handcuffs. As I maintained downward pressure on [redacted] I could feel his body muscles tense and he continued to thrash around on the ground, kicking his legs. Multiple other SDPD Officers arrived on scene to assist, and I heard someone instruct [redacted] to "stop kicking or he would be tased." I released the downward pressure on [redacted] and he continued to thrash his body back and forth on the ground making it difficlut for us to control him. A CED (conductive energy device) was deployed on [redacted] from another SDPD Officer. As there were multiple Officers on scene now, I removed myself as [redacted] was taken into custody. I spoke to [redacted] and [redacted] about the incident.

If I had not used force, [redacted] could have escaped, assaulted myself and responding SDPD officers as well as the public, or caused Officer Bloemendaal further injury.

At about 1350 hours, I called [redacted] and notified him of the use of force. I was not injured from the incident and Officer Bloemendaal sustained a dislocated left shoulder. Due to my working status of being off-duty, I was not utilizing a body worn camera (BWC) nor did I take any photographs of [redacted] See SDPD Case #22044558.

I had no further contact with [redacted] and concluded my involvement with the incident.

RELATED REPORTS:

SDPD Case #22044558

San Diego County Sheriff's Department
Use of Force Supplemental

UFO DATE AND TIME	EVENT NUMBER	CASE NUMBER	DOCUMENT NUMBER	STATION/FACILITY
10/13/2022 13:00	E8123745	22145999	132768	LAK - Lakeside Substation

INCIDENT DESCRIPTION / OFFENSES
A/R: (Assist SDPD, UOF Off-Duty) Gilloly, David

SUBJECT'S NAME (LAST, FIRST, MI)	DATE OF BIRTH	ARRESTED	SUPERVISOR PRESENT	DATE/TIME SUPERVISOR NOTIFIED
[redacted]	[redacted]	[X] Yes [ ] No	[ ] Yes [X] No	10/13/2022 13:50

REASON(S) FOR USING FORCE:
- [ ] Necessary to effect an arrest
- [X] Necessary to defend self or another
- [X] Necessary to prevent escape/evasion
- [X] Necessary to effect a lawful detention
- [ ] Necessary to prevent a violent forcible felony
- [ ] Necessary to restrain for subject's safety
- [ ] Necessary during high-risk incident
- [ ] Necessary during riot
- [ ] Delaying Jail Operations

SUBJECT APPEARED TO BE	NUMBER OF OFFICERS ON SCENE	NUMBER OF OFFICERS USING FORCE
[ ] Under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs [ ] Mentally impaired	1	1

LEVEL(S) OF RESISTANCE ENCOUNTERED
- [ ] **NONE** (subject cooperated/complied)
- [X] **PSYCHOLOGICAL INTIMIDATION** (non-verbal cues indicating subject's attitude or physical readiness to resist)
- [X] **VERBAL NON-COMPLIANCE** (subject's expressed unwillingness to comply with the officer's commands)
- [ ] **PASSIVE RESISTANCE** (represents by a refusal to respond to verbal commands but also offers no form of physical resistance)
- [X] **ACTIVE RESISTANCE** (pushing, pulling or running away from the officer to avoid control, not attempting to harm the officer)
- [X] **ASSAULTIVE BEHAVIOR** (physical actions of assault)
- [ ] **AGGRAVATED ACTIVE AGGRESSION** (potential injury or death)

LAW ENFORCEMENT TOOL/TECHNIQUE USED TO GAIN COMPLIANCE OR OVERCOME RESISTANCE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- [X] **Verbal Commands:** "Put your hands behind your back."
- [X] **Empty Hand Control**
  - [X] Grab, Push, Pull, or Body Weight
  - [X] Control Hold (Duration: 30 seconds)
  - [ ] Pressure Point (Duration:) (# of Contacts:)
  - [ ] Strike (Body part used:) (# of Contacts:)
  - [ ] Takedown Type:
  - [ ] Carotid (Rendered Unconscious? [ ] Yes [ ] No) (Duration:)
- [ ] **Tool/Device/Weapon**
  - [ ] OC Agent (Duration:) (# of Contacts:)
    - [ ] Decontaminated [ ] Yes [ ] No
  - [ ] Cord Cuff Restraint Device (Duration:)
  - [ ] Impact Weapon (# of Contacts:)
    - Type:
  - [ ] Canine (Duration:) (# of Contacts:)
  - [ ] Vehicle/Forcible Stop
  - [ ] Weapon Pointed at Subject (Duration:)
    - Type:
  - [ ] Spit Sock (Duration:)
  - [ ] Pro-Straint Chair
  - [ ] Tactical Shield
  - [ ] WRAP
- [ ] **Less-Lethal Weapon System**

Less-Lethal Weapon System	Discharges	Number of	Target
[ ] PepperBall - OC Powder			
[ ] PepperBall - Water			
[ ] 37 mm Rifle - Standard			
[ ] 37 mm Rifle - Low Energy			
[ ] 40 mm Rifle - Bean Bag			
[ ] 40 mm Rifle - Sponge			
[ ] Taser - Probes			
[ ] Taser - Drive Stuns			
[ ] Stingball Grenade			
[ ] Sound/Light device			
[ ] 12-Gauge Super Sock			
[ ] Ultron/NOVA Shield			
[ ] REACT Belt			

- [ ] **Firearm**
  - [ ] Type:
- [ ] **Other:**

WAS INITIAL USE OF FORCE EFFECTIVE? [X] Yes [ ] No
WAS ADDITIONAL CONTROL OR FORCE NEEDED? [ ] Yes [X] No

SUBJECT INJURED	EXTENT OF TREATMENT	OFFICER(S) INJURED	EXTENT OF TREATMENT
[ ] Yes [X] No	[X] None [ ] Treated at hospital [ ] Treated at scene [ ] Hospitalized [ ] Refused treatment	[ ] Yes [X] No	[X] None [ ] Treated at hospital [ ] Treated at scene [ ] Hospitalized [ ] Refused treatment

SUBJECT INJURY DESCRIPTION
None

OFFICER INJURY DESCRIPTION
None

OTHER FORCE USED/COMMENTS/EQUIPMENT PERFORMANCE:
SDPD Officer injured on scene

		ARJIS	DATE
SERGEANT			
LIEUTENANT			
CAPTAIN			



San Diego County Sheriff's Department
Use of Force Supplemental

Use of Force Supplemental Instructions

Lines 1 & 3: Fill in the blanks.

Line 2: If the suspect was committing a crime and force was used to stop or apprehend the subject, document the appropriate penal code. If the UOF occurred in the performance of the officer's duties, document the incident description, i.e. officer transport, officer escort, making arrest, detaining suspect, cell extraction etc.

Primary Reason for Using Force: Check appropriate box(s).

Subject Appeared To Be: Check if applicable.

Number of Officers on Scene: List number of officers present.

Number of Officers Using Force: List number of officers that used force.

Level(s) of Resistance Encountered: Check appropriate box(s).

L.E. Tool/Technique Used: Check appropriate box(s). If known and/or applicable, include duration (approximate length of time) tool/technique was used. If known and/or applicable, include the number of contacts (approximate number of strikes, impacts, etc.) when requested on form.

Was Initial Use of Force Effective? If initial force used was effective, check "Yes." If initial force used was ineffective and additional force was necessary, check "No."

Was Additional Control or Force Needed: If initial force used was ineffective and additional force was necessary, check "Yes." If initial force used was effective, check "No."

Target Distance: If applicable (refers primarily to less lethal weapon systems), approximate distance or provide range (i.e. 7-15 feet, 15-20 yards, etc.) from subject during application of force.

Subject Injured: Check "Yes" if medically treated for injury sustained as a possible result of force applied by a officer(s) – does not include previous injuries suffered prior to officer contact. Check "No" if subject was not injured or simply complains of injury/pain suffered as a result of force applied by an officer(s) and refuses medical attention. Any complaints of pain shall be documented in the use of force report narrative (whenever any physical force used by a officer results in a complaint of injury or an injury that necessitates medical treatment of a subject, a supervisor will be notified immediately).

Extent of Treatment: Check appropriate box. Check none if subject was evaluated and no treatment was required. Specifically, check "Treated at Scene" if subject was treated at scene by EMS for injuries that may have resulted due to force applied by officer(s). Check "Treated at Hospital" if subject was transported to hospital for treatment of injuries that may have been sustained as an apparent result of force applied by officer(s). Check "Hospitalized" if subject was admitted to the Hospital as a result of injuries that were sustained as a result of force applied by officer(s).

Officer Injured: Check appropriate box (see above).

Extent of Treatment: Check appropriate box. Check none if officer was evaluated and no treatment was required. Specifically, check "Treated at Scene" if officer was treated at scene by EMS for injuries. Check "Treated at Hospital" if officer was transported to hospital for treatment of injuries. Check "Hospitalized" if officer was admitted to the Hospital as a result of injuries.

Other Force Used/Comments/Equipment Performance: This section can be used to document other force options not listed on the form; relevant comments about the incident such as complaints of pain/injury (room permitting) and negative/positive comments regarding equipment performance.

Mark Figure to Indicate Contact Points: When applicable, mark the areas on the figure where applied force contacted the subject's body.

Sergeant: Sign, list ARJIS number and date reviewed.

Lieutenant: Sign, list ARJIS number and date reviewed.

Captain: Sign, list ARJIS number and date reviewed.

E22100019247 - 415V - DISTURBING PEACE W/VIOLENCE
3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD

EVENT INFORMATION - E22100019247

10/13/22

Event Type	Event ID	Priority	Status	Source	DGroup	Case Numbers	Disposition Code	Terminal	Dup No.	Calltaker ID
415V	E22100019247	1	A	ANI/ALI	P1	22044558	A	tct14		9118119

AGENCY INFORMATION

Agency	Priority	DGroup	ESZ	Area	Added	Dispatched	Arrived	Closed	Close ID	Close Terminal	Event ID	Primary Unit	Situation	Comments
SDPD	1	P1	116	116	10/13/22 12:36:21	10/13/22 12:36:38	10/13/22 12:41:44	10/13/22 18:47:55	7925	$173K	E22100019247	111J1		

REMARKS

◉ Common ○ Agency Specific

[redacted] - SUPV/3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD/(702) 682-1314]WPH2 -117.193790 32.7942370
[redacted] - SUPV/3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD/(702) 682-1314]AGGRESSIVE MALE AT LOC, THREW A ROCK AT THE STORE DOING DAMAGE AND YELLING AT EMPS // M
[redacted] - SUPV/3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD/(702) 682-1314]FYI SENT TO LD01
MALE IS NOW LEAVING LOC, DOT WB ON CLAIREMONT DR
WMA, 30S, 6F5, AVG, ORANGE HAIR, WRNG BRN SHIRT, BLK PANTS, DRK BACKPACK // NO WPNS SEEN, NO 647F/11550
RP ADV MALE IS KNOWN TO EMPS, MALE HAS BEEN BANNED FROM LOC BEFORE // **RP REQ PD CONTACT**
SS DNA
110PT1 -- SOUNDS LIKE [redacted]
ON CB, RP THINKS BUSN DOES HAVE A TRO AGAINST MALE
FYI SENT TO LDET
114J1 -- WILL CONTACT RP
116J1 -- EMERGENCY TONE ** RUNNING SB
116J1 -- TASER DEPLOYED
116J1 -- PMS T4 ON INJURY TO OFFICER AND ALSO THE TASER DEPLOYMENT
MEDICS CLRD IN
ROUTED LDET/OFCR INJD/TASER DEPLOYED
113A1 -- SUSP IS IN CUFFS,STILL UNOCCP
PMS T4 TO MAKE IT GALVESTON/CLAIREMONT
113A1 -- CORRECTION, STILL UNCOOP
171S -- CODE 4 **
[redacted] /DRIVING/[redacted] Duplicate Event: SD : @CLAIREMONT AT I-5, xstreets: CLAIREMONT DR/I-5, Type: CW CHECK THE WELFARE, Caller Name: [redacted], Caller Ph Number: [redacted], Caller Address: DRIVING, Call Source: ANI/ALI, Alarm Level: 0
[redacted] /DRIVING/[redacted] WPH2 -117.240890 32.8003530
[redacted] DRIVING/[redacted] RP RECEIVED CALL FROM [redacted]
[redacted].HEARD HIM SCREAMING "STOP,STOP, STOP"....THINKS HE IS BEING PHYSICALLY ASSAULTED
[redacted] /DRIVING/[redacted] WMA, 35Y, 6'5, 210#, RED HAIR,WRG UNK... [redacted], UNSTABLE, MENTALLY COMPROMISED
[redacted] /DRIVING/[redacted] MALE LIVES AT [redacted] AND WAS WALKING TWDS TROLLEY STATION.. [redacted]
[redacted] /DRIVING/[redacted] RP ENRT TO AREA....ETA 5MIN
[redacted] DRIVING/[redacted] POSS RELATED TO E19247
[redacted] /DRIVING/[redacted] End of Duplicate Event data
2912N1 -- MOTHER IS 97
110PT1 -- ENG 25
113A1 -- OFFICER BEING TRANSPORTED TO SCRIPPS LA JOLLA BY MED 50
116G1 -- VALID 594 TO STARBUCKS
[redacted] RP CB, WANTS PD CONTACT, IS 1023 IN P/LOT BEHIND SPROUTS IN RED FORD ECO SPORT SUV/ RP WFA, WRNG BRN SHIRT, BLK PANTS
171S ENRT TO CONTACT VIRGINIA SHORTLY
113A1 -- OFFICERS TRANSPORTING INJURED OFFICER TO SCRIPPS LA JOLLA
113A1 -- SUSP BEING TRANSPORTED BY MED 50 TO SHARP MEMORIAL
** Tow Request Rotational Service requested for AUTORETURN -- code is 1000 - City Owned Tow / Service Request
** TOW REQUEST #121191 initiated at 10/13/2022 1:26:29 PM from trc01 for E22100019247 ** FLAT TIRE ON POLICE CAR
** TOW REQUEST #121191 has been closed :
>>>> by: 8560 at 10/13/2022 1:26:29 PM on terminal: trc01

LOCATION INFORMATION

3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD

X-STREET 1: BURGENER BLVD
X-STREET 2: IROQUOIS AVE

Location Choices



Tow Request Created TowID: 20221013A0043
Tow Request Update- TowID: 20221013A0043 Status: DISPATCHED Tow Company: Pacific Autow Center
Tow Request Update- TowID: 20221013A0043 Status: ACCEPTED
[VIRGINIA GILOOLY/3015 CLAIREMONT DR/(818) 749-9261]RP C/B // STILL WAITING BEH SPROUTS // NFI //
Tow Request Update- TowID: 20221013A0043 Status: ON_SITE
photographs
110PT1 -- C4 WITH MOM
110PT1 -- BEHIND THE STARBUCKS
Tow Request Update- TowID: 20221013A0043 Status: IN_TOW
Tow Request Update- TowID: 20221013A0043 Status: CLEARED

CALLER INFORMATION

Name: [redacted]
Phone: [redacted]
Address: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Person 0

Vehicle 2

Model Year :
Model :
License : 7601
Remarks:
License Type :
Make :
State :
Vehicle Color :
License Year :
Unit ID :

Model Year : 0
Model :
License : [redacted]
Remarks:
License Type :
Make :
State :
Vehicle Color :
License Year :
Unit ID : 110PT1

Property 0

Contact Name 0

Incident Times 0

[redacted] **INFORMATION FOR DISPATCHERS**

EVENT INFORMATION - [redacted]

10/13/22

Event Type	Event ID	Priority	Status	Source	DGroup	Case Numbers	Disposition Code	Terminal	Dup No.	Calltaker ID
INFO	E22100019220	2	A		P1		W	tct03		9118471

AGENCY INFORMATION

Agency	Priority	DGroup	ESZ	Area	Added	Dispatched	Arrived	Closed	Close ID	Close Terminal	Event ID	Primary Unit	Situation	Comments
SDPD	2	P1	116	116	10/13/22 12:24:42	10/13/22 12:25:33	10/13/22 12:25:34	10/13/22 14:20:35	8560	trc01	[redacted]	INFO1		

REMARKS

◉ Common ○ Agency Specific

[UNK / [redacted]]TEXT TO 911 / RP CURSED / TEXTED "THE COPS SHOWED UP SAYING I CALLED, I'M DONE, BYE" / NO EMER TEXTED / PER EVS SEARCH PH# COMES BACK TO [redacted] / E22100018825 FOUND
SS APPLIES FOR [redacted]

LOCATION INFORMATION

4952 IROQUOIS AVE SD

X-STREET 1: CLAIREMONT DR
X-STREET 2: SUNSET CANYON DR

Location Choices



CALLER INFORMATION

Name: UNK
Phone: [redacted]
Address: [redacted]

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Person 0

Vehicle 0

Property 0

Contact Name 0

Incident Times 0

E22100019247 - 415V - DISTURBING PEACE W/VIOLENCE
3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD

☑ System Comments

Date/Time	Terminal	Operator	
09/16/21 11:57:22	tct16	9118497	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/16/21 11:57:22	tct16	9118497	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/20/21 06:36:08	trc01	8654	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/20/21 10:21:21	trc01	8542	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/22/21 22:27:08	tct18	9118961	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/24/21 03:51:06	trc01	8441	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/24/21 11:31:43	tct16	9118473	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
10/01/21 09:42:18	trc01	8449	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
10/11/21 08:08:48	tct23	9118473	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
10/11/21 08:08:58	tct23	9118473	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
10/12/21 20:23:13	tct17	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
10/13/21 02:07:31	tct16	9118553	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
10/16/21 02:53:28	tct13	9118170	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
10/23/21 06:00:56	tct27	9118469	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
10/23/21 10:03:39	tct21	9118105	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
10/23/21 11:43:20	tct30	9118924	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
10/25/21 08:04:10	tct24	9118678	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
10/25/21 09:15:36	tct13	9118467	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
10/26/21 11:02:44	trc01	8560	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
10/28/21 11:13:06	tct04	9118471	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
11/03/21 17:45:44	trc01	8560	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
11/03/21 17:45:44	trc01	8560	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
11/03/21 17:45:44	trc01	8560	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
11/03/21 17:45:44	trc01	8560	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
11/03/21 17:45:44	trc01	8560	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
11/04/21 15:00:24	tct30	9118469	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
01/17/22 12:40:14	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
01/17/22 12:40:14	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
01/17/22 12:40:14	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
01/17/22 12:40:57	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
01/17/22 12:41:22	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
01/17/22	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -

12:41:45			
01/17/22 12:41:45	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/05/22 18:54:12	trc03	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/05/22 18:54:12	trc03	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/05/22 18:54:21	trc03	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/06/22 22:05:56	tct27	9118729	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/07/22 21:47:33	tct09	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/07/22 22:10:31	trc03	8441	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/07/22 22:20:34	trc03	8441	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/10/22 18:19:53	tct04	9118170	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/10/22 20:32:57	tct02	9118838	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/11/22 00:21:20	tct26	9118583	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/11/22 08:35:47	tct13	9118467	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/12/22 12:32:49	trc03	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/12/22 18:11:23	trc03	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/16/22 11:21:39	trc01	8782	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/16/22 11:21:39	trc01	8782	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/16/22 11:21:54	trc01	8782	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/16/22 11:22:46	trc01	8782	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/18/22 09:53:37	trc01	8483	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/21/22 07:45:23	trc09	8685	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/21/22 07:45:23	trc09	8685	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/21/22 07:45:23	trc09	8685	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/21/22 07:45:23	trc09	8685	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/21/22 07:45:23	trc09	8685	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/21/22 07:45:23	trc09	8685	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/21/22 07:45:23	trc09	8685	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/23/22 09:15:36	tct30	9118469	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/23/22 09:27:31	trc03	8466	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/24/22 11:11:29	trc06	8466	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/24/22 12:26:49	trc06	8466	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/24/22 19:10:12	tct02	9118623	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/26/22 16:55:42	tct11	9118623	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
02/27/22 11:16:43	tct03	9118854	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
03/01/22 11:38:37	trc01	8560	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -



03/08/22 14:33:06	tct30	9118469	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	[redacted]
03/24/22 14:21:02	tct30	9118469	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/24/22 14:21:17	tct30	9118469	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:27:33	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:27:46	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:27:46	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:27:46	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:27:46	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:27:57	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	

20:28:28				
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	[redacted]
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
03/30/22 20:28:28	tct10	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
04/02/22 01:08:24	tct12	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
04/02/22 01:31:43	trc01	8392	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
04/02/22 06:46:31	tct14	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
04/02/22 06:46:31	tct14	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
04/02/22 06:46:31	tct14	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
04/02/22 06:46:31	tct14	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
04/29/22 23:47:35	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
04/29/22 23:47:35	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
04/29/22 23:47:35	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
04/29/22 23:47:35	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
04/29/22 23:47:35	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
04/29/22 23:47:35	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
04/29/22 23:47:35	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
04/29/22 23:47:35	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
04/29/22 23:47:35	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
04/29/22 23:47:35	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	

04/29/22 23:47:35	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:47:35	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:47:56	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:48:09	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:48:19	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:48:46	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:49:08	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:50:28	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:50:50	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:51:00	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:51:10	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:51:45	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:52:02	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:52:15	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:52:28	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:52:42	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:52:53	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
04/29/22 23:53:17	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/01/22 10:25:40	tct09	9118852	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/02/22 10:50:14	trc11	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/08/22 11:03:02	trc01	8678	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/08/22 11:03:02	trc01	8678	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/08/22 11:03:02	trc01	8678	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/08/22 11:03:02	trc01	8678	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/09/22 08:07:07	tct13	9118600	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/09/22 09:24:27	tct13	9118600	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/10/22 18:18:05	tct05	9118701	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/11/22 11:53:16	tct23	9118787	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/13/22 09:40:51	tct02	9118469	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/13/22 09:40:51	tct02	9118469	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/13/22 17:38:31	trc01	8542	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/14/22 19:38:25	tct02	9118471	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/16/22 05:57:16	tct23	9118948	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/16/22 05:57:16	tct23	9118948	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
05/16/22	tct23	9118948	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -

05:57:16				
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	[redacted]
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:19:59	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 12:20:07	trc01	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/21/22 14:02:01	tct03	9118189	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/22/22 07:37:14	trc08	8685	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/22/22 07:37:14	trc08	8685	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/22/22 17:42:33	tct02	9118189	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/24/22 00:14:09	trc11	8122	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/25/22 20:01:13	tct03	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/26/22 02:13:03	tct09	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/26/22 11:49:32	tct05	9118471	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/26/22 22:04:50	tct09	9118330	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/27/22 10:25:02	trc10	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/27/22 10:45:26	trc10	8872	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/28/22 16:37:11	tct05	9118471	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
05/30/22 11:38:28	trc10	8685	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	

07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	[redacted]
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:07	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	

21:29:27			
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -

07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	[redacted]
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -

21:29:27				
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	[redacted]
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	

07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	[redacted]
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:27	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	

21:29:41				
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	[redacted]
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	

07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	[redacted]
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/10/22 21:29:41	tct04	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/15/22 22:45:17	tct22	9118473	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/15/22 22:45:17	tct22	9118473	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/15/22 22:45:18	tct22	9118473	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/15/22 23:51:07	trc10	8798	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/18/22 01:50:43	tct08	9118727	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/21/22 20:20:38	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
07/21/22 20:20:38	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/01/22 14:18:12	trc07	8678	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/01/22 14:18:12	trc07	8678	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/01/22 14:18:12	trc07	8678	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/01/22 14:18:12	trc07	8678	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/01/22 14:18:12	trc07	8678	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/01/22 14:18:12	trc07	8678	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/01/22 14:18:12	trc07	8678	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/01/22 14:18:12	trc07	8678	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/02/22 16:05:01	tct12	9118126	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/03/22	trc10	9128297	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	

19:08:01			
08/03/22 19:08:20	trc10	9128297	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 05:55:14	tct09	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 05:55:47	tct09	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 05:56:02	tct09	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 05:56:44	tct09	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 09:08:03	tct02	9118107	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -



08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	[redacted]
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -

20:46:21			
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -

08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	[redacted]
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:21	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:34	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:46:46	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:47:26	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:48:06	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:48:17	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:48:28	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:48:40	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:48:52	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:49:02	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:49:13	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:49:47	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/05/22 20:50:32	tct14	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/06/22 10:08:30	trc03	8678	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/06/22 12:23:14	tct03	9118471	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/07/22 12:40:16	tct26	9118897	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/09/22 17:30:51	tct30	9118469	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/09/22 17:30:51	tct30	9118469	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/10/22 13:12:43	tct02	9118497	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
08/14/22	tct17	9118469	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	

08:14:41			
08/14/22 08:14:41	tct17	9118469	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/14/22 19:28:06	tct09	9118266	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/14/22 21:42:29	trc10	8782	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/25/22 21:30:56	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/25/22 21:30:56	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/25/22 21:30:56	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/25/22 21:30:56	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/25/22 21:30:56	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/25/22 21:30:56	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/25/22 21:30:56	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/25/22 21:30:56	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/25/22 21:30:56	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/25/22 21:31:45	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
08/27/22 07:26:32	tct27	9118432	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/01/22 20:01:33	tct27	9118118	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/01/22 20:01:33	tct27	9118118	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/01/22 20:01:33	tct27	9118118	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/01/22 20:01:33	tct27	9118118	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/01/22 20:01:34	tct27	9118118	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/01/22 20:01:34	tct27	9118118	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/06/22 20:30:23	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/06/22 20:30:23	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/06/22 20:30:23	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/06/22 20:30:23	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/06/22 20:30:23	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/09/22 05:29:11	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/10/22 05:36:01	tct12	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/11/22 06:37:57	tct03	9118469	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/12/22 11:39:13	tct10	9118189	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/14/22 04:46:08	tct09	9118948	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/14/22 10:12:37	trc01	8560	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/14/22 20:34:31	tct09	9118126	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/15/22 23:06:54	trc10	9128297	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/17/22 06:52:55	tct02	9118948	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -

09/17/22 18:13:01	tct04	9118471	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/19/22 00:17:16	tld01	8582	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/20/22 09:16:10	tct30	9118469	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/20/22 09:16:10	tct30	9118469	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/20/22 09:16:10	tct30	9118469	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/20/22 18:49:46	trc10	8798	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -



04:08:02			
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -



09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	[redacted]
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	
09/21/22	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -	

04:08:02			
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -

09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -



04:08:02			
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:02	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:03	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:03	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:03	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:03	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:03	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:03	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -
09/21/22 04:08:03	tct10	9118238	EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED -

09/21/22 04:08:03	tct10	9118238	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** - [redacted]
09/21/22 04:08:03	tct10	9118238	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
09/21/22 06:55:21	tct03	9118109	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
09/23/22 11:05:50	tct11	9118126	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
09/23/22 11:05:50	tct11	9118126	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
09/23/22 11:06:27	tct11	9118126	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
09/23/22 11:06:43	tct11	9118126	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
09/24/22 06:58:30	tct03	9118522	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
09/25/22 08:10:45	tct03	9118109	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
09/27/22 06:55:04	tct30	9118469	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
09/27/22 15:53:54	trc10	[redacted]	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
09/27/22 15:54:42	trc10	[redacted]	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
09/27/22 20:34:48	tct09	9118787	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
09/28/22 18:09:46	tct16	9118128	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
09/29/22 09:44:28	tct17	9118126	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
09/30/22 06:00:22	tct02	9118948	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/03/22 06:37:16	tct27	9118469	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/03/22 06:37:16	tct27	9118469	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/05/22 00:04:56	tld01	[redacted]	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/05/22 11:21:03	tct18	9118891	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/05/22 11:26:42	trc01	[redacted]	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/05/22 21:12:16	trc01		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/06/22 03:40:16	trc01		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/07/22 06:59:16	tct03	9118948	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/07/22 17:27:11	tct09	9118126	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/08/22 14:23:02	tct23	9118948	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/09/22 10:24:12	tct04	9118701	**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/13/22 12:34:31	tct14	0	**ANI/ALI** - **Phone**: [redacted] **Lat**: 32.7942370, **Lon**: -117.193790, **Call ID**: 202210130000561
10/13/22 12:36:21	tct14	9118119	**EVENT CREATED** - **Type:** 415V - DISTURBING PEACE W/VIOLENCE, **Location:** 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Agency:** SDPD, **Group:** P1, **Beat:** 116, **Status:** P, **Priority:** 1
10/13/22 12:36:21	tct14	9118119	**INITIAL C[redacted]ce**: ANI/ALI, **Caller Name**: [redacted] - SUPV, **Caller Phone Number**: [redacted], **Caller Address**: 3001 CLA
10/13/22 12:36:21	tct14	9118119	**EVENT REMARK** - [redacted] /3001 CLAIREMONT DR [redacted]]WPH2 [redacted] /3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD/[redacted] AGGRESSIVE MALE AT LOC, STORE DOING DAMAGE AND [redacted] MPS // M
10/13/22 12:36:21	DBServer	9118119	**EVENT REMARK** - ** LOI search completed at 10/13/22 12:36:21
10/13/22	tct14	9118119	**EVENT REMARK** - [redacted] - SUPV/3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD/[redacted]]FYI SENT

12:36:24			TO LD01
10/13/22 12:36:38	trc01	[redacted]	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 1,
10/13/22 12:36:38	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED** - **First Unit Dispatched Time**: 10/13/22 12:36:38, **Status Code**: A,
10/13/22 12:36:38	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED** -
10/13/22 12:36:38	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 2,
10/13/22 12:36:38	trc01		**T UPDATED** - **Unit**: 116G1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:36:38	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 116J1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:36:55	tct14	9118119	**EVENT REMARK** - MALE IS NOW LEAVING LOC, DOT WB ON CLAIREMONT DR
10/13/22 12:37:06	trc01	[redacted]	**EVENT UPDATED** - **First Unit Enrouted Time**: 10/13/22 12:37:06,
10/13/22 12:37:06	trc01		**UPDATED** - **Unit**: 116G1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:37:08	$116J1		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 116J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:37:24	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 12:37:06, **Total Assigned Units**: 3,
10/13/22 12:37:24	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 113A1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:37:25	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 113A1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:37:28	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 4,
10/13/22 12:37:28	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 115J1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:37:29	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 115J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:37:43	tct14	9118119	**EVENT REMARK** - WMA, 30S, 6F5, AVG, ORANGE HAIR, WRNG BRN SHIRT, BLK PANTS, DRK BACKPACK // NO WPNS SEEN, NO 647F/11550
10/13/22 12:38:04	trc01	[redacted]	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 5,
10/13/22 12:38:04	trc01		**ATED** - **Unit**: 110PT1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:38:05	trc01		**ATED** - **Unit**: 110PT1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:38:07	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 12:38:07, **Total Assigned Units**: 6,
10/13/22 12:38:07	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 114J1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:38:08	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 114J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:38:27	tct14	9118119	**EVENT REMARK** - RP ADV MALE IS KNOWN TO EMPS, MALE HAS BEEN BANNED FROM LOC BEFORE // **RP REQ PD CONTACT**
10/13/22 12:39:10	tct14	9118119	**EVENT REMARK** - SS DNA
10/13/22 12:41:02	trc01	[redacted]	**EVENT REMARK** - 110PT1 -- SOUNDS LIKE DAVID GILLOOLY
10/13/22 12:41:38	trc01		**EVENT REMARK** - ** Cross Referenced to Event # E22100019220 at: 10/13/22 12:41:38
10/13/22 12:41:44	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED** - **First Unit Arrived Time**: 10/13/22 12:41:44, **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 12:41:44,
10/13/22 12:41:44	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 114J1, **Status**: 97, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:41:58	tct14	9118119	**EVENT REMARK** - ON CB, RP THINKS BUSN DOES HAVE A TRO AGAINST MALE
10/13/22 12:42:18	tld01	[redacted]	**EVENT REMARK** - FYI SENT TO LDET
10/13/22	trc01		**EVENT REMARK** - 114J1 -- WILL CONTACT RP

12:42:29		
10/13/22 12:42:41	$115J1	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 115J1, **Status**: 97, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:43:00	$110PT1	[redacted]**ATED - Unit**: 110PT1, **Status**: 97, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:43:12	trc01	**EVENT REMARK -** 116J1 -- EMERGENCY TONE ** RUNNING SB
10/13/22 12:43:31	tct17	**ANI/ALI - Phone**: [redacted], **Lat**: 32.8003530, **Lon**: -117.240890, **Call ID**: 202210130000561
10/13/22 12:43:51	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT[redacted] - Unit**: 116J1, **Status**: CL, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:43:51	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT[redacted] - Unit**: 116J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:43:52	DBServer	**EVENT REMARK -** ** LOI search completed at 10/13/22 12:43:52
10/13/22 12:43:52	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT[redacted] - Unit**: 116J1, **Status**: 97, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:02	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 5,
10/13/22 12:44:02	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 6,
10/13/22 12:44:02	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 113A1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:02	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT[redacted] - Unit**: 113A1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:02	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 113A1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:03	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 7,
10/13/22 12:44:03	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 8,
10/13/22 12:44:03	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT[redacted] - Unit**: 2926N1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:03	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT[redacted] - Unit**: 2912N1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:03	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT[redacted] - Unit**: 113A1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:04	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT[redacted] - Unit**: 2912N1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:04	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT[redacted] - Unit**: 2926N1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:20	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 7,
10/13/22 12:44:20	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 8,
10/13/22 12:44:20	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 110PT1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:20	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT[redacted] - Unit**: 110PT1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:20	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 110PT1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:20	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT[redacted] - Unit**: 110PT1, **Status**: 97, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:37	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 9,
10/13/22 12:44:37	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT[redacted] - Unit**: 121J1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:38	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 10,
10/13/22	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 12:44:38, **Total Assigned Units**: 11,

12:44:38		
10/13/22 12:44:38	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 171S, **Status**: DP, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:38	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 121J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:38	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 113J1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:39	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 171S, **Status**: ER, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:39	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 113J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:48	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 12,
10/13/22 12:44:48	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 13,
10/13/22 12:44:48	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 112S1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:48	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 111J1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:49	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 111J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:49	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 112S1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:58	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:44:58	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 173K, **Status**: DP, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:44:59	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 173K, **Status**: ER, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:45:04	trc01	**EVENT REMARK** - 116J1 -- TASER DEPLOYED
10/13/22 12:45:20	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 13,
10/13/22 12:45:20	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:45:20	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 13,
10/13/22 12:45:20	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 116G1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:45:20	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 116G1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:45:20	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** 116G1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:45:20	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 114J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:45:20	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 114J1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:45:21	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:45:21	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 13,
10/13/22 12:45:21	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 12:45:21, **Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:45:21	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** 116G1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:45:21	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 115J1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:45:21	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 114J1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]

10/13/22 12:45:21	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 115J1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:45:21	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 115J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:45:22	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 114J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:45:22	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 115J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: HARTFORD CT/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:45:38	trc06	**EVENT REMARK** - 116J1 -- PMS T4 ON INJURY TO OFFICER AND ALSO THE TASER DEPLOYMENT
10/13/22 12:45:57	trc01	**EVENT REMARK** - MEDICS CLRD IN
10/13/22 12:46:14	DBServer	**EVENT REMARK** - ** LOI search completed at 10/13/22 12:46:14
10/13/22 12:46:14	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 113A1, **Status**: CL, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:14	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 113A1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:14	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 113A1, **Status**: 97, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:15	tld01	**EVENT REMARK** - ROUTED LDET/OFCR INJD/TASER DEPLOYED
10/13/22 12:46:31	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 13,
10/13/22 12:46:31	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:46:31	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** t: 110PT1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted] 4
10/13/22 12:46:31	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 110PT1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:31	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 110PT1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:32	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 13,
10/13/22 12:46:32	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 12:46:32, **Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:46:32	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** 110PT1, **Status**: 97, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:32	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 116J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: 7828
10/13/22 12:46:32	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 116J1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:32	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 116J1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: 7828
10/13/22 12:46:32	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 116J1, **Status**: 97, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:51	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 13,
10/13/22 12:46:51	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:46:51	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 13,
10/13/22 12:46:51	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:46:51	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 112S1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:51	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 112S1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:51	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 112S1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:51	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 111J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]

10/13/22 12:46:51	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 111J1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:51	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 111J1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 13,
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 13,
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 13,
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 113J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 111J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 115J1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 113J1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 114J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 114J1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 115J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 113J1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 112S1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 115J1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:52	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 114J1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:53	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 13,
10/13/22 12:46:53	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:46:53	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 114J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:53	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 113J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:53	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 116G1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:53	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 115J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:53	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** 116G1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:53	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 116G1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:46:54	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT** 116G1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:03	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 13,
10/13/22 12:47:03	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:47:03	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 121J1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]

10/13/22 12:47:03	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 121J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:03	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 121J1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:03	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 171S, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:03	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 171S, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:03	trc05	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 15,
10/13/22 12:47:03	trc05	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 515B1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:04	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:47:04	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 15,
10/13/22 12:47:04	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:47:04	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 15,
10/13/22 12:47:04	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 173K, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:04	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 121J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:04	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 173K, **Status**: DP, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:04	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 171S, **Status**: DP, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:04	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 173K, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:04	trc05	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 515B1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:05	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 173K, **Status**: ER, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:05	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 171S, **Status**: ER, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:11	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:47:11	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 15,
10/13/22 12:47:11	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:47:11	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 15,
10/13/22 12:47:11	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 2912N1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:11	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 2926N1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:11	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 2926N1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:11	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 2926N1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:11	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 2912N1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:11	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 2912N1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:12	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 14,
10/13/22 12:47:12	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 15,
10/13/22 12:47:12	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 515B1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:12	trc01	**UNIT UPDAT - Unit**: 2912N1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]

10/13/22 12:47:12	trc01	[redacted]	**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** 515B1, **Status:** DP, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:12	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** 2926N1, **Status:** ER, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:12	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** 515B1, **Status:** AV, **Location:** , **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:13	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** 515B1, **Status:** ER, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:24	trc01		**EVENT REMARK** - 113A1 -- SUSP IS IN CUFFS,STILL UNOCCP
10/13/22 12:47:34	trc06		**EVENT REMARK** - PMS T4 TO MAKE IT GALVESTON/CLAIREMONT
10/13/22 12:47:49	$2926N1		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time:** 10/13/22 12:47:12, **Total Assigned Units:** 14,
10/13/22 12:47:49	$2926N1		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** 2926N1, **Status:** AV, **Location:** , **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:55	trc08		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time:** 10/13/22 12:47:55, **Total Assigned Units:** 15,
10/13/22 12:47:55	trc08		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** 2909N1, **Status:** DP, **Location:** 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:56	trc08		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** 2909N1, **Status:** ER, **Location:** 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:47:58	trc01		**EVENT REMARK** - 113A1 -- CORRECTION, STILL UNCOOP
10/13/22 12:48:28	$121J1		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** 121J1, **Status:** 97, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:49:03	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** 171S, **Status:** 97, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:49:05	trc01		**EVENT REMARK** - 171S -- CODE 4 **
10/13/22 12:49:05	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** 171S, **Status:** CU, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:49:14	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units:** 16,
10/13/22 12:49:14	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** 122J1, **Status:** DP, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:49:15	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** 122J1, **Status:** ER, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:49:21	trc11		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time:** 10/13/22 12:49:21, **Total Assigned Units:** 17,
10/13/22 12:49:21	trc11		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** L2, **Status:** DP, **Location:** 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:49:22	trc11		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** L2, **Status:** ER, **Location:** 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:51:19	tct17	9118600	**UPDATED/ADDITIONAL CALL** - **Call Source:** ANI/ALI, **Caller Name:** [redacted] **Caller Phone Number:** [redacted] **Caller Address:** DRIVING
10/13/22 12:51:19	tct17	9118600	**EVENT REMARK** - [redacted] Duplicate Event: SD : @CLAIREMONT AT CHECK THE WELFARE, Caller Name: [redacted] Caller Ph Number: [redacted] Caller Address: DRIVING, Call Source: ANI/ALI, Alarm Level: 0
10/13/22 12:51:19	tct17	9118600	**EVENT REMARK** - ** LOI search completed at 10/13/22 12:48:34 [redacted] WPH2 -117.240890 32.8003530 [redacted] RP RECEIVED CALL FROM [redacted] ..HEARD HIM SCREAMING "STOP,STOP, STOP"....THINKS HE IS BEING PHYSICALLY ASSAULTED [redacted] WMA, 35Y, 6'5, 210#, RED HAIR,WRG UNK....DIAG W/BIPOLAR, UNSTABLE, MENTALLY COMPROMISED [redacted] MALE LIVES AT [redacted] AND WAS [redacted] RP ENRT TO AREA....ETA 5MIN [redacted] POSS RELATED TO E19247
10/13/22 12:51:19	tct17	9118600	**EVENT REMARK** - [redacted] End of Duplicate Event data

10/13/22 12:51:19	tct17	9118600	**EVENT REMARK** - ** Cross Referenced to Event # E22100019262 at: 10/13/22 12:51:19
10/13/22 12:51:35	$515B1	[redacted]	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit:** 515B1, **Status:** 97, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:52:12	$173K		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit:** 173K, **Status:** 97, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:52:14	$173K		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit:** 173K, **Status:** 97, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:53:04	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time:** 10/13/22 12:53:04, **Total Assigned Units:** 18,
10/13/22 12:53:04	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit:** 180S, **Status:** DP, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:53:05	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit:** 180S, **Status:** ER, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:53:40	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit:** 2912N1, **Status:** 97, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:53:44	trc01		**EVENT REMARK** - 2912N1 -- MOTHER IS 97
10/13/22 12:54:04	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time:** 10/13/22 12:54:04, **Total Assigned Units:** 19,
10/13/22 12:54:04	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit:** 182D, **Status:** DP, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:54:05	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit:** 182D, **Status:** ER, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:54:13	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit:** 113J1, **Status:** 97, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:54:18	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit:** 122J1, **Status:** 97, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:54:20	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** [redacted] 116G1, **Status:** 97, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:54:24	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit:** 115J1, **Status:** 97, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:54:26	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit:** 114J1, **Status:** 97, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:55:46	trc01		**EVENT REMARK** - 110PT1 -- ENG 25
10/13/22 12:56:03	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit:** 111J1, **Status:** 97, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:56:10	$112S1		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit:** 112S1, **Status:** 97, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:56:57	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units:** 18,
10/13/22 12:56:57	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** 121J1, **Status:** AV, **Location:** , **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:56:57	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** 121J1, **Status:** UC, **Location:** , **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:57:12	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time:** 10/13/22 12:57:12, **Total Assigned Units:** 17,
10/13/22 12:57:12	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** 115J1, **Status:** UC, **Location:** , **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 12:57:12	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit:** 115J1, **Status:** AV, **Location:** , **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 13:01:00	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time:** 10/13/22 13:01:00, **Total Assigned Units:** 18,
10/13/22 13:01:00	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit:** 181D, **Status:** DP, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]
10/13/22 13:01:01	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit:** 181D, **Status:** ER, **Location:** GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees:** [redacted]

10/13/22 13:02:14	trc09	[redacted]	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 13:02:14, **Total Assigned Units**: 17,
10/13/22 13:02:14	trc09		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 515B1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:02:14	trc09		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 515B1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:03:05	trc01		**EVENT REMARK** - 113A1 -- OFFICER BEING TRANSPORTED TO SCRIPPS LA JOLLA BY MED 50
10/13/22 13:05:36	trc01		**EVENT REMARK** - 116G1 -- VALID 594 TO STARBUCKS
10/13/22 13:10:25	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 13:10:25, **Total Assigned Units**: 16,
10/13/22 13:10:25	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 122J1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:10:25	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 122J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:11:57	tct28	9118451	**EVENT REMARK** - [redacted]RP CB, WANTS PD CONTACT, IS 1023 IN P/LOT BEHIND SPROUTS IN RED FORD ECO SPORT SUV/ RP WFA, WRNG BRN SHIRT, BLK PANTS
10/13/22 13:13:05	trc01	[redacted]	**EVENT REMARK** - 171S ENRT TO CONTACT VIRGINIA SHORTLY
10/13/22 13:15:45	trc01		**EVENT REMARK** - 113A1 -- OFFICERS TRANSPORTING INJURED OFFICER TO SCRIPPS LA JOLLA
10/13/22 13:15:58	trc01		**EVENT REMARK** - 113A1 -- SUSP BEING TRANSPORTED BY MED 50 TO SHARP MEMORIAL
10/13/22 13:16:43	$2909N1		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Total Assigned Units**: 15,
10/13/22 13:16:43	$2909N1		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 2909N1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:26:29	trc01		**EVENT REMARK** - ** Tow Request Rotational Service requested for AUTORETURN -- code is 1000 - City Owned Tow / Service Request ** TOW REQUEST #121191 initiated at 10/13/2022 1:26:29 PM from trc01 for E22100019247 ** FLAT TIRE ON POLICE CAR ** TOW REQUEST #121191 has been closed : >>>> by: 8560 at 10/13/2022 1:26:29 PM on terminal: trc01
10/13/22 13:26:29	DBServer		**EVENT REMARK** - ** VEH search completed at 10/13/22 13:26:29
10/13/22 13:26:29	trc01		**ADD SUPPLEMENTAL- Revision Number**: 1, **Supplemental Type**: Vehicle, **LicensePlateNumber:** 7601
10/13/22 13:26:29	trc01		**TOW REQUEST** - **Status** : 4, **Location** : GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Comment** : CLEARED
10/13/22 13:26:36	cadint2	99990011	**EVENT REMARK** - Tow Request Created TowID: 20221013A0043 Tow Request Update- TowID: 20221013A0043 Status: DISPATCHED Tow Company: Pacific Autow Center
10/13/22 13:26:49	cadint2	99990011	**EVENT REMARK** - Tow Request Update- TowID: 20221013A0043 Status: ACCEPTED
10/13/22 13:28:47	$111J1	[redacted]	**EVENT UPDATED** - **Primary Employee Id**: 1639, **Primary Unit Id**: 111J1, **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 13:28:47,
10/13/22 13:28:47	$111J1		**EVENT REMARK** - ** Case number 22044558 has been assigned to event E22100019247
10/13/22 13:28:47	$111J1		**CASE NUMBER ASSIGNED** - 22044558
10/13/22 13:31:09	tct27	9118730	**EVENT REMARK** - [redacted]RP C/B // STILL WAITING BEH SPRO
10/13/22 13:31:15	trc01	[redacted]	**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 173K, **Status**: CL, **Location**: 9888 GENESEE AVE SD: @SCRIPPS LA JOLLA, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:31:15	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 173K, **Status**: ER, **Location**: 9888 GENESEE AVE SD: @SCRIPPS LA JOLLA, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:31:16	DBServer		**EVENT REMARK** - ** LOI search completed at 10/13/22 13:31:16
10/13/22 13:31:16	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 173K, **Status**: 97, **Location**: 9888 GENESEE AVE SD: @SCRIPPS LA JOLLA, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22	$L2		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 13:34:28, **Total Assigned Units**: 14,

13:34:28			
10/13/22 13:34:28	$L2	[redacted]	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: L2, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:37:29	$2912N1		**EVENT UPDATED - Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 13:37:29, **Total Assigned Units**: 13,
10/13/22 13:37:29	$2912N1		**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 2912N1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:38:11	$116G1		**UNIT UPDAT** 116G1, **Status**: DC, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:38:12	$116G1		**UNIT UPDAT** 116G1, **Status**: DC, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:38:13	$116G1		**UNIT UPDAT** 116G1, **Status**: DC, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:38:14	$116G1		**UNIT UPDAT** 116G1, **Status**: DC, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:38:15	$116G1		**UNIT UPDAT** 116G1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: GALVESTON ST/CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:39:00	$113A1		**EVENT UPDATED - Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 13:39:00, **Total Assigned Units**: 12,
10/13/22 13:39:00	$113A1		**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 113A1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:41:52	cadint2	99990011	**EVENT REMARK -** Tow Request Update- TowID: 20221013A0043 Status: ON_SITE
10/13/22 13:45:58	DBServer		**EVENT REMARK -** ** LOI search completed at 10/13/22 13:45:58
10/13/22 13:45:58	trc01		**ATED - Unit**: 110PT1, **Status**: CL, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:45:58	trc01		**ATED - Unit**: 110PT1, **Status**: 97, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:46:09	DBServer		**EVENT REMARK -** ** LOI search completed at 10/13/22 13:46:09
10/13/22 13:46:09	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 171S, **Status**: 97, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:46:09	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 171S, **Status**: CL, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:48:22	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED - Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 13:48:22, **Total Assigned Units**: 11,
10/13/22 13:48:22	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 180S, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:49:01	$112S1		**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 112S1, **Status**: CL, **Location**: SCRIPPS LJ, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:49:01	$112S1		**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 112S1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: SCRIPPS LJ, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:49:02	DBServer		**EVENT REMARK -** ** LOI search completed at 10/13/22 13:49:02
10/13/22 13:49:47	$114J1		**EVENT REMARK -** photographs
10/13/22 13:50:31	trc01		**EVENT REMARK -** 110PT1 -- C4 WITH MOM
10/13/22 13:50:48	trc01		**EVENT REMARK -** 110PT1 -- BEHIND THE STARBUCKS
10/13/22 13:51:29	DBServer		**EVENT REMARK -** ** VEH search completed at 10/13/22 13:51:29
10/13/22 13:51:29	trc01		**ADD SUPPLEMENTAL- Revision Number**: 1, **Supplemental Type**: Vehicle, **LicensePlateNumber:** [redacted] **ModelYear:** 0 **UnitId:** 110PT1
10/13/22 13:51:29	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 110PT1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:51:29	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 110PT1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:51:52	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED - Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 13:51:52, **Total Assigned Units**: 12,
10/13/22 13:51:52	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 172J, **Status**: DP, **Location**: SCRIPPS LJ, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 172J, **Status**: ER, **Location**: SCRIPPS LJ, **Employees**: [redacted]

13:51:53			
10/13/22 13:53:12	DBServer		**EVENT REMARK** - ** LOI search completed at 10/13/22 13:53:12
10/13/22 13:53:12	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 172J, **Status**: ER, **Location**: 9888 GENESEE AVE SD: @SCRIPPS LA JOLLA, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:53:12	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 172J, **Status**: CL, **Location**: 9888 GENESEE AVE SD: @SCRIPPS LA JOLLA, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:53:12	trc01		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 172J, **Status**: ER, **Location**: 9888 GENESEE AVE SD: @SCRIPPS LA JOLLA, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 13:54:42	$171S		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 13:54:42, **Total Assigned Units**: 11,
10/13/22 13:54:42	$171S		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 171S, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 14:00:27	$111J1		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 111J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 14:01:10	$111J1		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 111J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 14:05:26	DBServer		**EVENT REMARK** - ** LOI search completed at 10/13/22 14:05:26
10/13/22 14:05:26	$114J1		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 114J1, **Status**: CL, **Location**: SUB/ PPR, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 14:05:26	$114J1		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 114J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: SUB/ PPR, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 14:10:07	$172J		**UNIT UPDAT** - **Unit**: 172J, **Status**: 97, **Location**: 9888 GENESEE AVE SD: @SCRIPPS LA JOLLA, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 14:14:48	cadint2	99990011	**EVENT REMARK** - Tow Request Update- TowID: 20221013A0043 Status: IN_TOW
10/13/22 14:14:53	cadint2	99990011	**EVENT REMARK** - Tow Request Update- TowID: 20221013A0043 Status: CLEARED
10/13/22 14:15:02	$114J1		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 114J1, **Status**: 97, **Location**: SUB/ PPR, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 14:27:54	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 14:27 54, **Total Assigned Units**: 12,
10/13/22 14:27:54	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 115J1, **Status**: DP, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 14:27:55	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 115J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 14:33:20	$114J1		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 14:33:20, **Total Assigned Units**: 11,
10/13/22 14:33:20	$114J1		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 114J1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 14:38:42	$172J		**EVENT UPDATED** **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 14:38:42, **Total Assigned Units**: 10,
10/13/22 14:38:42	$172J		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 172J, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 15:01:58	DBServer		**EVENT REMARK** - ** LOI search completed at 10/13/22 15:01:58
10/13/22 15:01:58	$113J1		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 113J1, **Status**: ER, **Location**: SUB, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 15:01:58	$113J1		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 113J1, **Status**: CL, **Location**: SUB, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 15:07:09	$116J1		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 15:07:09, **Total Assigned Units**: 9,
10/13/22 15:07:09	$116J1		**DISPOSITION ASSIGNED** - A
10/13/22 15:07:09	$116J1		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 116J1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 15:09:35	trc01		**EVENT UPDATED** - **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 15:09:35, **Total Assigned Units**: 8,
10/13/22 15:09:35	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 115J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 15:09:35	trc01		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 115J1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 15:15:15	$113J1		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 113J1, **Status**: 97, **Location**: SUB, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22	$116G1		**UNIT UPDATED** - **Unit**: 116G1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: [redacted]

16:01:01		**Employees**: 1701, 7807
10/13/22 16:01:17	$116G1	[redacted] **ATED - Unit**: 116G1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 16:20:12	$116G1	**EVENT UPDATED - Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 16:20:12, **Total Assigned Units**: 7,
10/13/22 16:20:12	$116G1	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 116G1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 16:20:18	$113J1	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 113J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 16:20:27	$113J1	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 113J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: [redacted] **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 16:20:35	$113J1	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 113J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: [redacted] **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 16:20:44	$113J1	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 113J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: [redacted] **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 16:20:53	$113J1	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 113J1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: [redacted] **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 16:25:43	$173K	**EVENT UPDATED - First Unit Transported Time**: 10/13/22 16:25:43, **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 16:25:43,
10/13/22 16:25:43	$173K	**UNIT UPDAT[redacted] - Unit**: 173K, **Status**: TR, **Location**: 1173 FRONT ST SD: @CENTRAL JAIL, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 16:30:24	$113J1	**EVENT UPDATED - Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 16:30:24, **Total Assigned Units**: 6,
10/13/22 16:30:24	$113J1	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 113J1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 17:02:49	$173K	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 173K, **Status**: UC, **Location**: 4275 EASTGATE MALL SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 17:03:12	$173K	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 173K, **Status**: UC, **Location**: 4500 OCEAN BLVD SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 17:03:26	$173K	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 173K, **Status**: UC, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 17:03:51	$173K	**EVENT UPDATED - First Unit Transport Arrived Time**: 10/13/22 17:03:51, **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 17:03:51,
10/13/22 17:03:51	$173K	**UNIT UPDAT[redacted] - Unit**: 173K, **Status**: TA, **Location**: 1173 FRONT ST SD: @CENTRAL JAIL, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 17:36:40	$112S1	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 112S1, **Status**: UC, **Location**: 3001 CLAIREMONT DR SD, **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 17:36:41	$112S1	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 112S1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 17:36:42	$112S1	**EVENT UPDATED - Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 17:36:42, **Total Assigned Units**: 5,
10/13/22 17:56:33	$111J1	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 4,
10/13/22 17:56:33	$111J1	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 111J1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 17:57:10	$110PT1	**EVENT UPDATED - Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 17:57:10, **Total Assigned Units**: 3,
10/13/22 17:57:10	$110PT1	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 110PT1, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 17:59:30	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 181D, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 17:59:31	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 2,
10/13/22 17:59:33	trc01	**EVENT UPDATED - Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 17:59:33, **Total Assigned Units**: 1,
10/13/22 17:59:33	trc01	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 182D, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/13/22 18:47:55	$173K	**EVENT UPDATED - Total Assigned Units**: 0,
10/13/22 18:47:55	$173K	**EVENT CLOSED** -
10/13/22 18:47:55	$173K	**EVENT UPDATED - Closing Employee Id**: 7925, **Closing Terminal**: $173K, **Closing Time**: 10/13/22 18:47:55, **Is Open**: False, **Rms Transfer Time**: 10/13/22 18:47:55,
10/13/22 18:47:55	$173K	**EVENT REMARK** - ** Event E22100019247 closed.

10/13/22 18:47:55	$173K	[redacted]	**UNIT UPDATED - Unit**: 173K, **Status**: AV, **Location**: , **Employees**: [redacted]
10/14/22 12:45:00	trc01		**EVENT REMARK** - ** Cross Referenced to Event # E22100020791 at: 10/14/22 12:45:00
10/14/22 16:34:40	tct02		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/16/22 09:53:11	trc06		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/16/22 09:53:11	trc06		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/18/22 00:11:04	trc01		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/18/22 00:11:04	trc01		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/18/22 00:11:04	trc01		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/18/22 00:11:04	trc01		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/18/22 00:11:04	trc01		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/18/22 00:11:04	trc01		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/18/22 00:11:04	trc01		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/18/22 00:11:04	trc01		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/18/22 00:11:04	trc01		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/18/22 00:11:04	trc01		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/18/22 00:11:04	trc01		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/18/22 00:13:17	trc01		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/18/22 09:41:46	tct04		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -
10/19/22 09:16:55	tct14		**EVENT CROSS-REFERENCED** -